



Little Penguin (*Eudyptula minor*) Photograph by Raelee Turner, Cradle Coast, NRM.

Good Practice Guidelines for Little Penguin Tourism in Tasmania

by

Wendy Mitchell

University of Tasmania

Declaration

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for a Masters Degree at the School of Geography and Environmental Studies, University of Tasmania.

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any tertiary institution, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference is made in the text of the thesis.

Signed

Wendy Mitchell

28 May 2010

This thesis is an uncorrected text as submitted for examination.

Abstract

This paper seeks to analyse the current management practices of Little Penguins, (*Eudyptula minor*.) in Tasmania with a view to determining if these are sufficient to adequately protect the Little Penguins from interaction with humans. It seeks to identify and document the current applicable legislation and management plans with a view to analysing the adequacy or otherwise of that legislation

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank sincerely the School of Geography and in particular my supervisor Michael Lockwood for his incredible patience, guidance and untiring support.

I would also like to thank the following people:

Dr Eric Woehler for his untiring support, advice and awe inspiring enthusiasm and energy and passion for wildlife, and in particular Tasmanian birds;

We find friends in wonderful places and Stephanie Knox from the Planning Institute of Australia, PIA, is a one of those, I would like to thank Stephanie for her continually encouragement to complete the research;

Mr Drew Lee for the initially inspiring me with his enthusiasm and dedication to welfare of the Tasmania Little Penguin to start this thesis;

Dr. Peter Dann, Chief Researcher and Scientist at the Phillip Island Nature Park who supplied the information I needed immediately and unfailingly, including his unpublished work for use within this thesis;

To the Department of Parks and Wildlife Service for their cooperation and for their committed and dedicated staff who are so passionate about the Tasmanian wildlife and there protection;

To the Natural Resource Management groups in particular, NRM South, NRM North and NRM Tamar;

For my husband Llew who never complained of the time devoted to this project in the past year; and

I would also like to acknowledge the support and cooperation from the Nick Wardlaw and Paul Male from Bicheno Penguin Tours and Dr Tonia Cochran of Inala Nature Tours;

Finally I would like to acknowledge the financial assistance from:

Birds Tasmania;

NRM South for their support and financial assistance;

The University of Tasmania; and

Tourism Tasmania.

Contents

Declaration	1
Abstract	1
Acknowledgments	2
Contents	3
List of Figures	6
Chapter 1 Introduction	9
1.1 Purpose	11
1.2 Introduction to Approach and Methodology	12
1.3 Scope and Limitations	13
1.4 Thesis structure	14
1.4.1 Chapter 1	14
1.4.2 Chapter 2	14
1.4.3 Chapter 3	14
1.4.4 Chapter 4	15
1.4.5 Chapter 5	15
1.4.6 Chapter 6	15
1.4.7 Chapter 7	15
1.4.8 Chapter 8	15
Chapter 2 Methods	17
2.1 Introduction	17
2.2 Literature and Legislative Reviews	17
2.3 Case Study Selection	18
2.4 Key Informant Interviews	20
2.5 Visitor Surveys	22
2.6 Field Observations	24
2.7 Ethics and Parks and Wildlife Service Approvals	27
Chapter 3 Characteristics and Potential Impacts of Commercial Wildlife Tourism	30
3.1 Introduction	30
3.2 Characteristics of Ecotourism and Wildlife Tourism	30
3.3 Potential Impacts of Wildlife Tourism on Little Penguins	34
3.4 Potential Impacts of Commercial Little Penguin Tourism	38
Chapter 4 - Good Practice Commercial Little Penguin Tourism	45
4.1 Introduction	45
4.2 Penguin Biology	46
4.3 Commercial Little Penguin Tourism examples	59
4.3.1 Granite Island Commercial Little Penguin Tourism	60
4.3.2 The Phillip Island Penguin Parade, Victoria	65
4.3.3 Manly Point	69
4.4 Summary	73
4.4 Characteristics of Good Practice Commercial Wildlife Tourism	74
4.5.1 Baseline Information and Review	75
4.5.2 Vision, Goals and Objectives	75
4.5.3 Protection of Biodiversity and Ecosystems	76
4.5.4 Land Classifications and Reserves	76
4.5.5 Licensing	77
4.5.6 Impact Assessment	77
4.5.7 Impact Management and Mitigation	78
4.5.8 Decision Making	79

4.5.9	Risk Management	79
4.5.10	Implementation.....	79
4.5.11	Monitoring and Reporting.....	80
4.5.12	Adaptive Management.....	80
4.5.13	Concluding Remarks.....	80
Chapter 5	Regulation and Management of Little Penguin Tourism in Tasmania ..	82
5.1	Introduction.....	82
5.2	International and National Policies and Legislation	82
5.3	Tasmanian Legislation.....	84
5.3.1	Nature Conservation Act 2002.....	85
5.3.2.	Conservation Area	87
5.3.3	National Parks and Reserves Management Act 2002.....	88
5.3.4	Commercial Visitors Service License.....	89
5.3.5	Parks and Wildlife - Authorised Officers	90
5.3.6	Crown Lands Act 1976.....	91
5.3.7	Tasmanian Government Resource Management and Planning System	92
5.3.8	Resource Planning and Development Commission Act 1997	93
5.3.9	Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993	94
5.3.10	Dog Control Act 2002.....	95
5.3.11	Vermin Control Act 2000.....	95
5.4	Tasmanian policies	95
5.4.1	Tasmanian Nature Conservation Strategy	96
5.4.2	Tasmanian National Parks and Reserves Code of Practice	96
5.4.3	Tasmanian State Coastal Policy 1996.....	97
Chapter 6	Examples of Current Practice in Tasmania	100
6.1	Introduction	100
6.2	Case Study One – Bruny Island.....	101
6.2.1	Theme 1: Governance and tenure	104
6.2.2	Theme 3: Threats to population sustainability	109
6.2.3	Theme 5 Pressures from development and tourism.....	113
6.2.4	Theme 6 Potential threats to the population.....	114
6.2.5	Summary of findings - Bruny Island - Case Study One	115
6.3	Case Study Two – Low Head.....	118
6.3.1	Case Study Introduction.....	118
6.3.2	Site description	118
6.3.3	Theme 1: Governance and Tenure.....	121
6.3.4	Theme 2: Public Perception and Appreciation of Little Penguins	123
6.3.5	Theme 3: Threats to Population Sustainability	126
6.3.6	Theme 5: Pressure on the Colony from Commercial Tourism	133
6.3.7	Theme 6: Visitor Experience.....	137
6.3.8	Summary of Findings – Low Head Case Study Two	137
6.4	Case Study Three - Bicheno Penguin Tours	142
6.4.1	Introduction	142
6.4.2	Site description, use and regulation	143
6.4.3	Theme 1: Governance and management.....	145
6.4.4	Theme 2: Public perception and appreciation of Little Penguins.....	147
6.4.5	Theme 3: Threat to population sustainability – introduced predators .	149
6.4.6	Theme 4 Threats to population sustainability – Tourism	152
6.4.7	Theme 5 Indirect threats to population sustainability.....	155
6.4.8	Visitor survey results	161

6.4.9	Visitor Characteristics.....	161
6.4.10	Visitors motivations.....	163
6.4.11	Summary of findings	169
Chapter 7	Researchers Analysis	175
7.1	Introduction	175
7.2	The Importance of Strategic Planning and Vision Establishment	176
7.3	Legislation: the Power and the Will to Enforce	177
7.4	The Need for Management of Introduced Species	180
7.5	The Importance of Risk Assessment and Mitigation Measures	181
7.6	The Need to Understand and Address the Impacts of Visitation	182
7.7	The Importance of Collaborative Relations and Partnerships.....	184
7.8	Community Support.....	184
7.9	Improve Operator Engagement and Responsibilities for Biodiversity Protection	185
7.10	The Necessity for Critical Habitat Maintenance	185
7.12	Effective Communication	186
7.13	Critical Infrastructure.....	187
7.14	Critical Need for Education and Communication	188
7.15	The Importance of Research and Monitoring.....	189
7.16	The Need for Investment and Funding	190
7.17	Marine Impacts Including Recreational and Commercial Fishing.....	191
7.18	Rehabilitation Programs.....	191
7.19	Commitment to Maintain Biological Diversity	191
7.20	The Establishment of Exclusion Zones not Accessible to Visitation Other Than Approved Research	192
7.21	Conclusion.....	192
Chapter 8	Conclusion and Recommendations.....	193
8.1	Introduction	193
8.2	Summation and Findings.....	194
8.3	Recommendations.....	200
8.3.1	Recommendations.....	201
8.3.2	Good Practice Guidelines for Operators	203
8.3.3	Standard Operating Procedures	204
8.3	Concluding Statement	204
Chapter 9	References	207

List of Figures

Figure 1 Relationships between objectives and methods within this thesis.....	13
Figure 2 Interview Schedule Commercial Tourism Operators, Key Staff and Parks and Wildlife Rangers	21
Figure 3 Observations during Field Studies	26
Figure 4 Analysis matrix of case study sites	29
Figure 5 Ecotourism effects (Page <i>et al.</i> , 2002: 186)	34
Figure 6 The number of active Little Penguins burrows at Granite Island 2001-2006, (Page <i>et al.</i> , 2002, as cited in Higginbottom, 2004:10).	38
Figure 7 Mean numbers of penguins crossing at Summerland Beach at Phillip Island 1977 – 2009 (Dakin 2006:12).	42
Figure 8 Little Penguin annual cycle as adopted from Hodgson (1975:217).....	48
Figure 9 Satellite Tracking of Little Penguins at Phillip Island showing penguins during Yguard stage, (Dann: 2007, unpublished data)	50
Figure 10 Satellite Tracking of Little Penguins at Phillip Island showing penguins during guard and post guard stage (Dann: 2007, unpublished data)	50
Figure 11 Pressures on Little Penguin Colonies (Wendy Mitchell - adapted from Dann 1996)	53
Figure 12 Philip Island Strategic Planning outline (Dakin, 2006:6)	67
Figure 13 Key Supply side impediments, (Jackson, 2009:22)	75
Figure 14 Bruny Island (Source: Google Earth).....	103
Figure 15 Vegetation Significance, the LIST, Coastal Values, Vegetation Classes, Baker, 2009.....	104
Figure 16 Parks and Wildlife Commercial Visitor License condition extracts (Parks and Wildlife, 2009).....	106
Figure 17 Bruny Island Risk Matrix, adapted from Brothers <i>et al.</i> , 2001	113
Figure 18 National Parks and Reserves Management Act 2002, Schedule 1 with commentary	117
Figure 19 Low Head Case Study site as depicted by purple infill (The List)	118
Figure 20 2010 Goggle view of Low Head Little Penguin Rockery – an open informal track networks through African Boxthorn (<i>Lycium Ferocissimum</i>).....	119
Figure 21 Group of visitors making a tunnel through which the Little Penguins must pass in order to reach their burrow (Low Head Penguin Tour web site, 2009)	120
Figure 22 Low Head Commercial License agreement directives and observations.....	123
Figure 23 A 2009 view - attempts at regeneration at Low Head Penguin Colony by NRM Tamar and NRM North (photograph by Wendy Mitchell)	124
Figure 24 A 2009 view of Concrete burrows, unplaced and unoccupied (photograph by Wendy Mitchell)	125

Figure 25 Low Head Risk Matrix, adapted from Brothers <i>et al.</i> , 2001	127
Figure 26 Low Head Weed Description (The List, Sharpe’s 2009)	129
Figure 27 Privately owned Land in the Conservation area shown in white (The LIST 2010)	131
Figure 28 A 2009 view of privately held land in the Conservation Area (photograph by Wendy Mitchell)	131
Figure 29 Low Head area, early 19th century (Launceston State Library Collection)	134
Figure 30 A 2009 view of the Low Head commercially operated Little Penguin colony showing one of the many informal tracks forged by both visitors, walkers and sheep (photograph by Wendy Mitchell)	134
Figure 31 National Parks and Reserves Management Act 2002, Schedule 1 and commentary	139
Figure 32 Site of the Bicheno Penguin Tours (Google Earth)	144
Figure 33 Native Flora and introduced species at Bicheno Little Penguin Tourism site area, (Operator Interviewees #1 and #2 2009).	145
Figure 34 Bicheno site is classified under the Public Land Classification as Public Reserve (The LIST).....	146
Figure 35 Bicheno Risk Matrix, adapted from Brothers <i>et al.</i> , 2001	155
Figure 36 2009 view of the Bicheno Little Penguin colony site adjacent to a major tourism structure in the distance and grazing land to the right (photograph by Wendy Mitchell)	156
Figure 37 Native Vegetation viability, (The List 2009).....	158
Figure 38 Visitor nationality (n=53)	161
Figure 39 Visitors origins, percentage responses against each question, (n = 53)	162
Figure 40 Visitor Respondent age range, percentage responses against each question, (n = 53)	162
Figure 41 Respondents Educational standards, percentage responses against each question, (n = 53)	163
Figure 42 Tour motivations, percentage responses against each question, (n = 53)	163
Figure 43 Satisfaction Levels of visitors, percentage responses against each question, (n=53)	164
Figure 44 Information and educational, percentage responses against each question, (n = 53)	165
Figure 45 Modified behavior as a result of information, percentage responses against each question, (n = 53)	166
Figure 46 Visitor perceptions and understanding, percentage responses against each question, (n = 53)	167
Figure 47 Support for changes which may assist Little Penguin sustainability, percentage responses against each question, (n = 53).....	168
Figure 48 Crown Lands Act 1989, Schedule 3 with commentary	170
Figure 49 The Improvement Management Plan Model (Jones 2005:1).	176

Figure 50 Influences on Penguin Populations (Ellis <i>et al.</i> , 1998: 98).	182
Figure 51 Recommendations, (Wendy Mitchell 2010).....	202
Figure 52 Good Practice Guidelines (Wendy Mitchell, 2010).....	203
Figure 53 Standard Operating Procedures, (Wendy Mitchell 2010, adapted from Parks and Wildlife Service License agreements)	204