ON HER MAJESTY'S SERVICE.

The Ston d. S. Clar Hobart Jarmania

FEDERAL CONVENTION.
PARLIAMENT HOUSE, MELBOURNE.





C4/C15



PARLIAMENT HOUSE,

14 4 Ret 1898 My dear clark The papers will have laid y we on the latte of the convention andered to be functed. The paper is regarded as a very valuable me, & by it zanhave added to your heavy services to the cause of Dederution. There is not a set of Blatch finds reported, of the Holzoke Water Company & the Connecticut trater Company, is not mentined

mentioned in the Dijeste or to by the lest writers, so that all we can find out about the case is contained an de wien of Shipman T (probably a surge of a State Jant moerles with federal junidiction in arant 1 4 good law, but To far Banes, O'Cuman I feel a good deal of doubt about it, of it means that he creating the federal junidretion confer a a cityen of a State a legal right a suffer which right was previously him existent you paper cause at an offaline moment, tapested owands the settlement of the Rivas

question in the lines that been suggesting- i.e. sample reliance en the trade & commerce à poron of legislation: have to thank you further for your telegram as to the stuting out of the power from to the High land to deal with ease, of mand amos & probetion against officers of the Commonwealt. have of us here had wad the case menhaned by you for if seen if her been forjotten - It seems however to be reglas the und, on the reconsideration of the clause.

Our wall here will occupy how or three week, une, I that then, are gory very hand gelegale, so for to leake the difficulties of colleagues who come from other colleagues who come from other colleagues of your seen to yours very tuly Edward Barton

Clark's contribution to Federalism

C4/C15 E. Barton to A.l. Clark

Federal Convention Victoria

Parliament House Melbourne 14th February 1898

My dear Clark

The Papers will have told you that your notes were laid by me on the table of the convention & ordered to be printed. The paper is regarded as a very valuable one, & by it you have added to your many services to the cause of Federation. There is not a set of Blatchfords' reports to be had in Melbourne, and the case you cited, therein reported, of the Holgate* Water Company v. the Connecticut Water Company, is not mentioned in the Digests or by the text writers, so that all we can find out about the case is contained in your notes. Wise thinks the decision of Shipman J (probably a Judge of a State Court invested with federal jurisdiction on circuit) is good law, but so far Isaacs, O'Connor & I feel a good deal of doubt about it, if it means that the creation of the federal jurisdiction confers on a citizen of a state a legal right as against a citizen of another state, which right was previously non-existent. Your paper came at an opportune moment, & assisted towards the settlement of the Rivers question on the lines I had been suggesting - i.e. simple reliance on the "trade & commerce" power of legislation;

I have to thank you further for your telegram as to the striking out of the power given to the High Court to deal with cases of mandamus & prohibition against officers of the Commonwealth. None of us here had read the case mentioned by you of Marbury v. Madison or if seen it had been forgotten - It seems however to be a leading case. I have given notice to restore the words on the reconsideration of the clause.

Our work here will occupy two or three weeks more, I think. Things are going very well so far, & there is a disposition on the part of delegates to realise the difficulties of colleagues who come from other Colonies.

Yours very truly

Edmund Barton

^{*} It might be Holyoke