

**MOLECULAR VARIATION OF VIRUSES INFECTING
HOPS IN AUSTRALIA AND ASSOCIATED STUDIES**

By

Damian Raymund Crowle, B. App. Sci. (Hons)

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

University of Tasmania, Hobart, Australia

November, 2010

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for a degree or diploma by the University or any other institution, except by way of background information and duly acknowledged in this thesis, and to the best of my knowledge and belief no material previously published or written by another person except where due acknowledgement is made in the text of this thesis, nor does this thesis contain any material that infringes copyright.

This thesis may be made available for loan and limited copying in accordance with the *Copyright Act 1968*.

Damian Crowle

University of Tasmania

Hobart, Tasmania

November, 2010

Abstract

The objectives of this study were to investigate the virus incidence and molecular variation of *Apple mosaic virus* (ApMV), *Hop mosaic virus* (HpMV) and *Hop latent virus* (HpLV) and to examine the *Hop latent viroid* (HLVd) infection status of Australian hop varieties.

HLVd was found to be ubiquitous in all hop gardens surveyed. This was the first survey of HLVd in Australia. This confirms findings in the Czech Republic where infection was also found to be ubiquitous, while viroid status in other countries also indicates high levels of infection.

A virus survey, primarily to collect viruses for use in molecular analysis, was conducted. The percentage of infected plants detected in this study correlates with those previously undertaken by Pethybridge *et al.*, 2000b. Cultivar 'Victoria' had the greatest level of ilarvirus infections (61%) significantly more than 'Super Pride' (6%). Cultivar Opal had the greatest incidence of carlavirus infections (38%) but this was not significantly different to other cultivars sampled. Hops from the farm at Bushy Park recorded the highest incidences of ilarvirus infection (44%) although this was not significantly different to the other sampled farms. However, hops sampled from the Gunns Plains farm showed significantly more carlavirus infections (40%) than the other three sampled farms.

Experiments testing transmission capacity of local aphid species (*Macrosiphum euphorbiae* and *Myzus persicae*) of the carlaviruses HpMV and HpLV was performed. It was found that both aphid species transmitted both carlaviruses, this being the first study to demonstrate transmission of HpLV by an aphid other than

the hop aphid, *Phorodon humuli*. This study also showed that prior infection by either virus did not significantly affect subsequent the efficiency of transmission of the other which may have explained observations of greater than expected co-infection of both carlaviruses within the field.

It was known that two serologically distinct ilarvirus strains infect hop. Prior literature indicated that these were strains of *Prunus necrotic ringspot virus* (PNRSV) designated –intermediate (PNRSV-I) type and PNRSV-A (apple serotype). This study undertook molecular analysis of hop-infecting ilarviruses to clarify strain diversity and taxonomic relationships. Analyses showed Australian hops are infected with two distinct strains of ApMV (and not PNRSV) these being distinct to ApMV strain commonly found in Apple. It was proposed that hop infecting strains of ilarvirus be termed ApMV-Hop (the former PNRSV-apple serotype) and ApMV-Intermediate (the former PNRSV intermediate serotype). PCR based assays were developed that could be used to distinguish the two strain types.

Suggestions of strains of HpMV had been described due to lethal and non-lethal response following infection in ‘English Golding’ hops. Molecular analysis of HpMV from Australian hop gardens indicated that there were at least two distinct clades of HpMV present with approximately 80% homology. Further work conducted at the conclusion of this study identified a possible third clade of HpMV. All HpLV isolates that were sequenced in this study had a high degree of identity. This was supported by recent publication of several further sequences on GenBank that also show this high degree of identity.

Statement of co-authorship and publications

Several of the chapters in this work have been published as scientific manuscripts. Unless stated as a percentage, experimental design, field and laboratory work, data analysis and interpretation, and manuscript preparation were the primary responsibility of the candidate. However, they were carried out with the supervision of the co-authors. These publications are presented in Chapter 8 – Appendix 4.

In Chapter 3, Shirofugen cherry virus indexing was conducted by Dr Michael Barkley, New South Wales Department of Agriculture, Camden, New South Wales, Australia.

Crowle DR, Pethybridge SJ, Leggett GW, Sherriff LJ, Wilson CR. (2003) Diversity of the coat protein-coding region among *Ilarvirus* isolates infecting hop in Australia *Plant Pathology*, **52**:655–662.

Wilson CR, Pethybridge SJ, Hay FS, Crowle DR (5%). (2004) Epidemiology and significance of viruses affecting hop (*Humulus lupulus* L.) and the implications for disease management. In: Pandalai SG (ed.), Recent Research Developments in Plant Pathology, Vol. 3, Kerala, India, *Research Signpost*, 99–123.

Crowle DR, Pethybridge SJ, Wilson CR. (2006) Transmission of Hop Latent and Hop Mosaic Carlaviruses by *Macrosiphum euphorbiae* and *Myzus persicae*. *Journal of Phytopathology*, **154**:745-747.

Poke FS, Crowle DR (10%), Whittock SP, Wilson CR (2010) Molecular variation of Hop mosaic virus isolates. *Archives of Virology*. Online publication prior to printing, 2 August, 2010. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00705-010-0780-3>

Acknowledgements

I am heartily thankful to my supervisor, Calum Wilson, whose encouragement, guidance, support (and patience) from the beginning of my research through a new millennium and four football world cups to final submission.

I would like to acknowledge the support from many other people during my research. Special thanks to Sarah Pethybridge, Peter Cross and Carl Grosser for technical expertise, as well as Grey Leggett and Leanne Sherriff from Australian Hop Marketers (now Hop Products Australia). I would like to thank for their assistance and encouragement received, my friends and colleagues not mentioned above from the University of Tasmania, Department of Primary Industry laboratories in New Town and La Trobe University, Bendigo.

I would like to thank the Australian Research Council and Hop Products Australia for funding this research.

I would like to thank my family, especially my mother, for constant interest and support in my education.

I would mostly like to dedicate this work to my wife Janene for her love and patience in a decade long endeavour, for giving me the opportunity to absent myself from her while working on my doctorate and for constant encouragement and support.

Table of Contents

MOLECULAR VARIATION OF VIRUSES INFECTING HOPS IN AUSTRALIA AND ASSOCIATED STUDIES	1
Abstract	3
Statement of co-authorship and publications	5
Acknowledgements	6
Table of Contents	7
Chapter 1	10
Literature Review	10
1.1. Hops.....	10
1.2. Viruses	12
1.3. Viroids.....	24
1.4. Virus incidence in Australian hops	25
1.5. Effects of virus infection.....	25
1.6. Virus detection techniques	28
1.7 Study objectives	35
Chapter 2	36
Viruses and Viroid Survey.....	36
2.1. Introduction.....	36

2.2. Materials and Methods	39
2.3 Results	44
2.4. Discussion	48
Chapter 3	51
Molecular studies of Ilarvirus coat protein gene sequences	51
3.1. Introduction.....	51
3.2. Materials and methods	54
3.3. Results	58
3.4. Discussion	67
Chapter 4	71
Molecular Variation of Carlaviruses	71
4.1. Introduction.....	71
4.2. Materials and Methods	76
4.3. Results	85
4.4 Discussion	96
Chapter 5	104
Transmission of <i>Hop Latent</i> and <i>Hop Mosaic</i> Carlaviruses by aphid species <i>Macrosiphum euphorbiae</i> and <i>Myzus persicae</i>	104
5.1. Introduction.....	104

5.2. Materials and Methods	106
5.3. Results	109
Acquisition sources.....	110
5.4. Discussion	111
Chapter 6.....	114
Conclusions.....	114
6.1. Chapter 2	114
6.2. Chapter 3	115
6.3. Chapter 4	116
6.4. Chapter 5	118
Chapter 7.....	119
References.....	119
Chapter 8.....	132
Appendices	132
Appendix 1.....	132
Appendix 2.....	134
Appendix 3.....	138
Appendix 4.....	140