

THE GEOLOGY AND GEOCHEMISTRY OF THE ANSONS BAY BATHOLITH,  
N.E. TASMANIA.

Paul A. Kitto, B.Sc., Dip.Ed.

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment  
of the requirements for the degree of  
Bachelor of Science with Honours.

GEOLOGY DEPARTMENT  
UNIVERSITY OF TASMANIA

December, 1982

## ABSTRACT

The granitic complex forming the Ansons Bay Batholith (300 km<sup>2</sup>) in northeastern Tasmania, is composed of a variety of granitoids which intrude the low-grade regionally metamorphosed Ordovician to Lower Devonian Mathinna Beds.

The granitoids are divided into five major types based on mafic mineralogy, plagioclase composition and textural variation. Geochemical evidence suggests that the granodiorite, microgranite and biotite-, garnet-biotite, and alkali feldspar granites have been derived by Rayleigh fractional crystallization of a melt from a chemically inhomogeneous source region. Major and trace element modelling of the biotite-, garnet-biotite, and alkali feldspar granites explains the linear trend observed between these granites by 25% fractional crystallization of a solid composed of 64% plagioclase, 20% biotite, and 16% K-feldspar.

Late Devonian dolerite dykes (up to 10 km long) associated with late stage crystallization of the granites, may provide an upper age limit to the Tabberabberan Orogeny within the Ansons Bay Batholith.

An agmatic migmatite, 2 km south of Eddystone Point, has a K-feldspar-cordierite hornfels facies mineral assemblage. P-T conditions of 1 kb and 600°C are inferred for the migmatite formation. Granite contamination and Mathinna Bed xenoliths are largely restricted to the migmatite contact.

Mafic xenoliths from both the granodiorite and garnet-biotite granites have cognate (cumulate) origins and are unrelated to the country rocks. The aplitic xenoliths from the garnet-biotite granites, however, are interpreted as dismembered alkali feldspar dykes.

The mafic mineral assemblages from the garnet-biotite granites suggest low pressure conditions (1 kb) of emplacement at temperatures close to 700°C. Garnet-biotite (cordierite) geothermometry and feldspar geothermometry confirm these temperatures.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

For their assistance and support, I would like to acknowledge the following people:

Dr Rick Varne, supervisor;

Drs Neville Higgins, Mike Solomon, Jan van Moort, Ron Berry, Vic Wall, David Ellis and Messrs Ramsay Ford, Ewan Reid, Phil Robinson, and Scott Kuehner for their advice and assistance in their various fields of expertise;

Steve Hunns, Malcolm Bendel, Peter Bruce, and Phil Viney for their assistance with field work;

Tiny Le Fevre and Rod Pearce from the National Parks and Wildlife Service for allowing access to and providing free accommodation within Mt William National Park;

John Denman and family from the Eddystone Point Lighthouse for their hospitality and mechanical assistance.;

Charlie and Pat Stanley for making available their shack at Ansons Bay;

June Pongratz for typing (and retyping) the manuscript;

All the Honours students for their help and companionship;

Especially my wife Sue, and son Joel, for their patience, understanding and support throughout the year.

## CONTENTS

	PAGE
ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Tectonic setting	1
1.2 Aim of the study	1
1.3 Previous work	3
1.4 Present work	3
Chapter 2 REGIONAL SETTING, GEOLOGY AND PETROGRAPHY OF THE GRANITOIDS	4
2.1 Regional setting	4
2.2 Geology and petrology	6
2.3 Granodiorites	8
2.3.1 Gardens Pluton Granodiorite	8
2.3.2 Boulder Point Granodiorite	13
2.4 Microgranite	17
2.5 Biotite granites	19
2.5.1 Ansons Bay Biotite Granite	19
2.5.2 Eddystone Point Biotite Granite	20
2.6 Garnet-biotite granites	22
2.7 Alkali feldspar granite	34
2.8 Leucocratic and mafic intrusives	37
2.8.1 Aplite dykes	37
2.8.2 Porphyritic mafic aplite dykes	38
2.8.3 Pegmatite dykes	38
2.8.4 Microgranite dykes	38
2.8.5 Dolerite dykes	42
2.8.6 Lamprophyre dyke	45
2.9 Intrusive succession	45
Chapter 3 GEOLOGY, PETROGRAPHY AND GEOCHEMISTRY OF THE AGMATIC MIGMATITE CONTACT	50
3.1 Introduction	50
3.2 Structure of the agmatic migmatite contact	50
3.3 Petrography of the agmatic migmatite contact	57
3.4 P-T conditions of formation of the agmatic migmatite contact	65
3.5 Geochemistry of the agmatic migmatite contact	68
3.5.1 Introduction	68
3.5.2 Chemical variation of the contact migmatite	68
3.5.3 Discussion of results	68
3.6 Summary of the agmatic migmatite contact	70

Contents cont.

Chapter 4	GEOLOGY, PETROGRAPHY AND GEOCHEMISTRY OF THE GRANITOID XENOLITHS	71
4.1	Introduction	71
4.2	Mafic xenoliths from the granodiorite	71
4.2.1	Geology and petrography of the mafic xenoliths	71
4.2.2	Facies diagrams for the granodiorite mafic xenoliths	73
4.3	Mafic xenoliths from the garnet-biotite granite	77
4.3.1	Geology and petrography of the mafic xenoliths	77
4.3.2	Facies diagrams for the garnet-biotite granite mafic xenoliths	81
4.4	Aplitic xenoliths from the garnet-biotite granite	81
4.4.1	Geology and petrography of the aplitic xenoliths	81
4.4.2	Facies diagrams for the aplitic xenoliths	82
4.5	Garnet-biotite (cordierite) rims to xenoliths	83
4.5.1	Geology and petrography	83
4.5.2	Garnet geochemistry	85
Chapter 5	GEO THERMOMETRY	85
5.1	Introduction	91
5.2	Garnet-biotite (cordierite) geothermometry	91
5.3	Feldspar geothermometry	93
Chapter 6	GEOCHEMISTRY OF THE ANSONS BAY BATHOLITH	95
6.1	Introduction	95
6.2	Major element variation	95
6.3	Trace element variation	106
6.4	Rare earth element geochemistry	112
6.5	Geochemical modelling	114
6.6	Discussion	117
Chapter 7	SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS	119
	REFERENCES	124
Appendix 1	Modal analyses of granitoid rocks	128
2	Major and trace element analyses	131
3A	Microprobe analyses of plagioclase	144
3B	Microprobe analyses of perthites	150
3C	Microprobe analyses of biotites	166
3D	Microprobe analyses of hornblende	170
3E	Microprobe analyses of chlorite	173
3F	Microprobe analyses of muscovite	175
3G	Microprobe analyses of garnet-biotites for geothermometry	177
4	CIPW norms	180
5	Sample catalogue	193