

**Geology and Geochemistry
of the
Que River Shale,
Western Tasmania**



by

Briony Jean Sinclair B.Sc.(ADEL.)

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Science with Honours.



**CENTRE FOR ORE DEPOSIT
AND EXPLORATION STUDIES**

A National Key Centre at the University of Tasmania

Geology Department,
University of Tasmania,
November 1994

Acknowledgements

Firstly I would like to thank Dr J.B. Gemmell and Dr R.R. Large for suggesting the project. I would also like to thank the Tasmanian Government for providing the opportunity for me to undertake my honours degree at CODES. I owe thanks to many of the staff and post graduate students of both CODES and the Geology Department for their assistance, in particular Dr P. McGoldrick, Dr J. McPhie, Dr A. Crawford, Dr R. Berry and Dr S Abbott. Special thanks to Dr D. Cooke for reading the thesis.

Thanks must also go to Aberfoyle Resources with out whom the project would not have been possible. In particular for the logistical support offered during the field work component of the project.

Finally I would like to thank my fellow honours students for their humor, advice and assistance during the year. Special thanks to A. Verbeeten for her friendship and assistance.

Abstract

The Que River Shale Formation overlies the Que-Hellyer Volcanics, which are the host sequence to the Hellyer and Que River massive sulphide deposits, western Tasmania.

The Que River Shale is a homogeneous unit of finely laminated, fine grained (<100µm) quartz, muscovite and calcite grains in a dirty brown chloritic matrix. The shale contains intercalated resedimented volcanoclastic sandstones at the top and base of the unit. Shale composition varies by less than 5 wt % with regards to major elements.

Deposition of the Que River Shale began after the formation of the Hellyer Massive sulfide deposit but contemporaneous with the mixed sequence. Shale deposition extended throughout the end of deposition of the Mount Charter group, synchronous with the Southwell Subgroup volcanism. However the eruption of Upper Basalts and Andesites and the influx of predominantly rhyolitic volcanoclastic mass-flows and turbidites associated with the Southwell Subgroup restricted the finely laminated shale mostly to a period of quiescence between the two styles of volcanism.

The depositional environment of the Que River Shale is interpreted to represent quiet, reducing bottom waters, due to a high proportion of complete agnostid trilobite specimens along with the presence of carbonaceous material and pyrite nodules. Geochemical techniques have been used to further define the basin as inhospitable (little or no oxygen present) to euxinic (free H₂S). While S-isotopes range from δ³⁴S +17 to +43 suggesting that the sediment may have been a closed system with respect to SO₄²⁻ and H₂S.

The Que River Shale has an andesitic provenance suggesting a local source for the shale. However, the Que River Shale cannot be derived directly from any one source, or combination of sources in the Mount Read Volcanics without significant modification. The only quantification that can be placed on the source rocks is that the Que River Shale must contain 20-25 % Hellyer Basalt, to obtain the high Cr values observed (up to 1.3 wt % Cr₂O₃). The Que River shale must have been well homogenised by sedimentary processes to account for its restricted range in chemical composition.

The Que River shale does not show an extensive alteration plume unlike the underlying basalts. Alteration in the suite therefore cannot be used as an exploration indicator. However, anomalous base metal values (up to 400 ppm Pb and 938 ppm Zn) are spatially associated to the orebody and may be useful as vectors to mineralisation.

TABLE CONTENTS

<u>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</u>	i
<u>ABSTRACT</u>	ii
<u>TABLE CONTENTS</u>	iii
<u>LIST OF FIGURES</u>	vi
<u>LIST OF TABLES</u>	ix
<u>LIST OF PLATES</u>	x
<u>CHAPTER 1</u>	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Methods	3
1.3 Previous Work	3
<u>CHAPTER 2</u>	
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 The Mount Read Volcanics	6
2.3 The Mount Charter Group	7
2.4 Que River Shale Distribution	11
2.5 Structure	11
2.6 Tectonic Setting	12
2.7 Hellyer	15
<u>CHAPTER 3</u>	
3.1 Introduction	17
3.2 Que-Hellyer Volcanics	18
3.3 Que River Shale	19
3.3.1 Shale Component	19
3.3.2 Volcaniclastic Layers	23
3.3.3 Pyrite	24
3.3.3.1 Petrography	24
3.3.3.2 Interpretation	25
3.3.4 Fossils	31

3.3.5 Age and Duration of Sedimentation	31-
3.3.6 Sedimentation Rate	33
3.4 Southwell Subgroup	35
3.5 Summary	36

CHAPTER 4

4.1 Introduction	39
4.2 Degree of Pyritisation Index	39
4.2.1 Preliminary Discussion	39
4.2.2 Results	41
4.2.3 Interpretation	42
4.3 Organic Carbon to Sulfide Sulfur	43
4.3.1 Preliminary Discussion	43
4.3.2 Results	43
4.3.3 Discussion	47
4.4 DOP Versus Organic Carbon Relationship	47
4.5 Sulphur Isotopes	50
4.5.1 Previous Work	50
4.5.2 Methology and Results	50
4.5.3 Interpretation	52
4.6 Summary	54

CHAPTER 5

5.1 Introduction	56
5.2 Characterisation of the Que River Shale	56
5.2.1 Average Composition	56
5.2.2 Covariance	57
5.2.3 Frequency Distribution	58
5.3 Provenance	63
5.3.1 Introduction	63
5.3.2 Major Elements	65
5.3.3 Trace Elements	70
5.3.4 Principal Component Analysis	74
5.4 Distribution of Elements	74
5.4.1 Introduction	74
5.4.2 Results	74
5.4.3 Interpretation	75
5.4.4 Zinc Number	78
5.5 Vectors to Mineralisation	79
5.6 Summary	80

Chapter 6

6.0 Introduction	81
6.1 Summary	81
6.2 Discussion	83
6.2.1 Tectonic History and Basin Evolution	83
6.2.2 Deposition	84
6.2.3 Depositional Environment	84
6.2.4 Provenance	85
6.3 Significance of the Que River Shale Formation for Exploration	85

<u>REFERENCES</u>	87
--------------------------	----

<u>APPENDIX 1</u>	Log Sheets	94
--------------------------	------------	----

<u>APPENDIX 2</u>	Geochemical Methods, XRD and XRF	106
--------------------------	----------------------------------	-----