

**The threat of non-indigenous marine species towards
Tasmanian marine protected areas**

Mr Dominic E P Bryant

**A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of
Master of Philosophy**



**National Centre for Marine Conservation and Resource
Sustainability**

Australian Maritime College

University of Tasmania

September 2011

Thesis Statements and Declarations

Declaration of Originality

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for a degree or diploma by the University or any other institution, except by way of background information and duly acknowledged in the thesis, and to the best of my knowledge and belief no material previously published or written by another person except where due acknowledgement is made in the text of the thesis, nor does the thesis contain any material that infringes copyright.

Dominic Bryant

Date: 09/09/11

Authority of Access

This thesis may be made available for loan and limited copying and communication in accordance with the Copyright Act 1968.

Dominic Bryant

Date: 09/09/11

Statement of Ethical Conduct

The research associated with this thesis abides by the international and Australian codes on human and animal experimentation, the guidelines by the Australian Government's Office of the Gene Technology Regulator and the rulings of the safety, Ethics and Institutional Biosafety Committees of the University.

Dominic Bryant

Date: 09/09/11

Statement regarding published work contained in thesis

The publishers of the papers comprising Chapters two and three hold the copyright for that content, and access to the material should be sought from the respective journals. The remaining non published content of the thesis may be made available for loan and limited copying in accordance with the Copyright Act 1968.

Dominic Bryant

Date: 09/09/11

Acknowledgments

I would first like to thank my parents Nicholas and Mary, without their support this experience would not have been possible. Secondly, I would like to thank my supervisors Marnie Campbell and Chad Hewitt for their support, patience in times of lowered motivation, and belief in my ability to get to this stage. I would also like to thank my two brothers, Alexander and Steve, as well as my friends for all their support.

The data collected for this thesis would not have been possible without the help and advice of my peers, staff and friends at the National Centre for Marine Conservation and Resource Sustainability. I would like to thank my field team of volunteers Kaeden Leonard, Chris Mabin, Matt Kuipers, Jo-Anne Fearman, Martin Filleul and Natalie Moltschaniwsky for their time and diving expertise in the field. Not forgetting the two coxswains Stephen McGowan and Martin Filleul whose professionalism in the field was outstanding. The university dive officer Simon Talbot was extremely helpful at getting me enrolled onto the university field safety system (TUFFS) and providing boats and gear. Andrew from Go dive Launceston, Bruce from Bicheno Dive Centre, and Peter from Bay of Fires Dive were extremely efficient at providing air fills for the diving field work.

I would also like to acknowledge Craig Mundy from the Tasmanian Aquaculture and Fisheries Institute, and Richard Koch from Parks and Wildlife Tasmania, for providing me with information on some of the Tasmanian MPAs.

This work contains research (Chapter 3) that was conducted under approval from the University of Tasmania's Social Science Human Research Ethics Committee (Ethics reference number H10546).

Abstract

Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) are one of the most widely used protection methods for vulnerable marine species and ecosystems. An indirect drawback of many MPAs is that they often attract large numbers of people to the MPAs and its surrounds, which increases the potential for detrimental human-mediated impacts. The introduction of non-indigenous species to terrestrial protected areas is a well established hazard directly associated with increased human visitation rates. Similarly, an increased human visitation rate to islands is correlated with higher levels of coastal invasions. Whether this trend exists in marine systems is unknown; with the potential that invasion risk will increase if the people that use the MPA and surrounds are unaware of non-indigenous marine species (NIMS) and their impacts. This thesis aims to explore whether NIMS are a threat to three MPAs in Tasmania, Australia, using two techniques: a qualitative ecological survey to detect NIMS in the selected MPAs; and face-to-face surveys of recreational marine users to see if their activities and their self-rated knowledge of NIMS represents a marine biosecurity issue.

The three MPAs selected for this study were: 1) the Bay of Fires Abalone Research Area (41.11 °S 148.28°E), where collection of abalone is either not allowed or restricted in parts; 2) Governor Island Marine Reserve in Bicheno(41.36°S 148.05.°E), where diving and snorkelling are the only activities allowed; and 3) Tinderbox Marine Reserve(43.11.°S .147.29°E), which is 25.5km south of Hobart and allows diving and snorkelling activities only, but has a boat ramp and boat moorings within the MPA and therefore increased visitation inside the MPA.

A qualitative ecological survey with a nested sampling design was used to detect NIMS within and outside the three study MPAs. There were five haphazardly chosen sites within and immediately outside each MPA; within these sites five randomly placed 10m transects were laid out and on each transect, five 0.10m² quadrats were photographed for qualitative analysis upon return to the laboratory. Qualitative methods (photo-quadrats) were selected to minimise impact via destructive sampling, within the protected areas.

A difference between the prevalence of NIMS between MPAs; inside MPAs and outside MPAs; and IUCN categories was found during the photographic survey. Although the sampling methods used covered a large area in order to find NIMS at randomly located sites, a more targeted approach may have been more useful to find NIMS in more vulnerable areas (e.g. boat ramps, moorings jetties etc..) Also more destructive sampling techniques that allow for laboratory analysis of the species in the quadrats would give more certain results for future research on how human could be a vector for NIMS entering MPAs.

A survey instrument (questionnaire) was used to determine the recreational marine activities that occur at boat ramps close to the three study MPAs and to assess the marine recreational users awareness level of four target NIMS (*Undaria pinnatifida*, *Asterias amurensis*, *Maoricolpus roseus*, and *Carcinus maenas*) that are already found in Tasmanian waters. The majority (70%) of questionnaire respondents stated that they are aware of NIMS in Tasmania. Yet the accuracy of identification was very low with the average respondent accurately identifying less than 50% of the target NIMS in the questionnaire. This indicates that there is a potential for recreational marine users to inadvertently transfer NIMS into MPAs because their self-rated awareness was inaccurate, or that they are unaware of the NIMS concept (30% of the respondents). If people are unaware that their actions may be contributing to a problem then the management of this problem needs to address this issue. Therefore, increased use of awareness raising campaigns should be a top priority incorporated into managing the spread of introduced species, especially into areas such as MPAs.

This research aimed to assess the threat of NIMS to three Tasmanian MPAs. After analysing marine recreational user group awareness of NIMS in Tasmania, there appears to be a threat of spread based on the inability of these user groups to accurately identify four well known NIMS that are already well established in Tasmania. Photographic analysis revealed all three sites had been invaded at varying degrees by NIMS. I suggest that a thorough risk analysis that uses semi-

quantitative sampling data linked with a vector analysis for each MPA site would provide direction for improving the management of the threat of NIMS entering MPAs in Tasmania.

Table of Contents

Title Page	i
Thesis Statements and Declarations	ii
Acknowledgements	iv
Abstract	v
Table of Contents	viii
List of Figures	x
List of Tables	xii
Chapter 1 Marine Protected Areas and their vulnerability to the Invasion of non-indigenous marine species	1
1.1 What are Marine Protected Areas and their role in marine conservation?	1
1.1.1 A History of MPA initiatives	2
1.1.2 The benefits of MPAs	6
1.2 MPA management	8
1.3 Global examples of Marine Protected Areas	10
1.4 MPAs in Australia and Tasmania	12
1.5 Threats to MPAs	18
1.6.1 Humans as a vector for NIMS transfer into MPAs	20
1.6.2 Human perception of MPAs and NIMS	20
1.7 Thesis aims	21
Chapter 2 Are Tasmanian MPAs invaded by non-indigenous marine species?	23
2.1 Introduction	23
2.2 Materials and Methods	24
2.2.2 Sampling design	27
2.2.3 Photographic analysis	28
2.2.4 Data analysis	28
2.3 Results	29
2.4 Discussion	32
2.4.1 Improving sampling design and detection of NIMS in MPAs	34

2.4.2	Conclusions	36
Chapter 3	Are recreational marine users a hazard to Tasmanian MPAs by the transfer of non-indigenous marine species NIMS through a lack of awareness?	37
3.1.1	Introduction	37
3.1.2	The human dimensions of NIMS	38
3.2	Materials and Methods	39
3.2.1	Survey Sites	39
3.2.2	Survey instrument (questionnaire)	41
3.2.2.1	Demographics and marine activities	41
3.2.2.2	Awareness and accuracy of NIMS in Tasmania	42
3.2.3	Data analysis	42
3.2.3.1	Overall awareness and accuracy	42
3.2.3.2	Awareness and accuracy levels for each site	42
3.2.3.3	Awareness and accuracy levels for different marine user groups	43
3.3	Results	43
3.3.1	Overall awareness and accuracy (results)	46
3.3.2	Awareness and accuracy levels for each site (results)	49
3.3.3	Awareness and accuracy levels for different marine user groups (results)	50
3.4	Discussion	51
3.4.1	Conclusions	56
Chapter 4	Synthesis	55
4.1	Introduction	57
4.1.1	Thesis Aims	57
4.2	Are NIMS a threat in the three examined MPAs? Thesis outcomes	57
4.3	Linking the ecological and human factors	58
4.4	Strengths and weaknesses of this thesis and ways for improvement	59
4.5	Conclusions and future questions	61
	References	64
Appendix A	The survey instrument (questionnaire)	80