

**Development and novel application of an *in vitro* fish
gill cell assay to elucidate the ichthyotoxic
mechanism of the microalga *Chattonella marina*
(Raphidophyceae)**

by

Juan José Dorantes Aranda

M. Sc., National Autonomous University of Mexico

Submitted in fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of

Doctor
of
Philosophy

University of Tasmania

January, 2012

Declaration of Originality

I declare that the material presented in this thesis is original, except where due acknowledgement is given, and has not been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "JUAN JOSÉ D.A.", written over a horizontal line. The signature is stylized, with a large loop for the letter 'J' and a distinct 'A' at the end.

Juan José Dorantes Aranda

January 2012

Authority of Access

This thesis may be available for loan and limited copying in accordance with the
Copyright Act 1968

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "JUAN JOSÉ D. A." with a large, stylized flourish over the "J" and "S". The signature is written above a horizontal line.

Juan José Dorantes Aranda

January 2012

Statement regarding published work contained in this thesis

The publishers of the papers comprising Chapter 2, part of Chapters 4, 6 and Chapter 7 hold the copyright for that content, and access to the material should be sought from the respective journals. The remaining non published content of the thesis may be made available for loan and limited copying and communication in accordance with the *Copyright Act* 1968.

Statement of authorship (chapter 2)

The following people contributed to the publication of the work undertaken as part of this thesis:

Juan José Dorantes-Aranda (candidate) (50%), T. David Waite (author 2) (10%), Aurélie Godrant (author 3) (5%), Andrew L. Rose (author 4) (5%), César D. Tovar (author 5) (5%), Gregory M. Woods (author 6) (5%), Gustaaf M. Hallegraeff (author 7) (20%)

Details of the authors roles:

Juan José Dorantes-Aranda (the candidate) and Gustaaf M. Hallegraeff contributed to the idea, development, refinement, presentation and formalization

T. David Waite, Aurélie Godrant and Andrew L. Rose contributed to the idea and presentation

César D. Tovar and Gregory M. Woods contributed with training for part of the experimental work

We the undersigned agree with the above stated “proportion of work undertaken” for each of the above published peer-reviewed manuscript contributing to this thesis:

Signed: _____

Professor Gustaaf Hallegraeff
Supervisor
Institute for Marine and
Antarctic Studies
University of Tasmania

Professor Millard Coffin
Executive director
Institute for Marine and
Antarctic Studies
University of Tasmania

Date: _____

Statement of co-authorship (part of chapter 4 and 6)

The following people contributed to the publication of the work undertaken as part of this thesis:

Juan José Dorantes-Aranda (candidate) (15%), Ben D. Mooney (author 1) (55%), Allen R. Place (author 3) (10%), Gustaaf M. Hallegraeff (author 4) (20%)

Details of the authors roles:

Ben D. Mooney, Allen R. Place and Gustaaf M. Hallegraeff contributed to the idea, development, refinement, presentation and formalization

Juan José Dorantes-Aranda (the candidate) contributed with experimental work, resulting in data published in the manuscript, and presentation

We the undersigned agree with the above stated “proportion of work undertaken” for each of the above published peer-reviewed manuscript contributing to this thesis:

Signed: _____

Professor Gustaaf Hallegraeff
Supervisor
Institute for Marine and
Antarctic Studies
University of Tasmania

Professor Millard Coffin
Executive director
Institute for Marine and
Antarctic Studies
University of Tasmania

Date: _____

Statement of authorship (chapter 7)

The following people contributed to the publication of the work undertaken as part of this thesis:

Juan José Dorantes-Aranda (candidate) (50%), Peter D. Nichols (author 2) (20%), T. David Waite (author 3) (10%), Gustaaf M. Hallegraeff (author 4) (20%)

Details of the authors roles:

Juan José Dorantes-Aranda (the candidate), Peter D. Nichols and Gustaaf M. Hallegraeff contributed to the idea, development, refinement, presentation and formalization

T. David Waite contributed with training for part of the experimental work and discussion

We the undersigned agree with the above stated “proportion of work undertaken” for each of the above published peer-reviewed manuscript contributing to this thesis:

Signed: _____

Professor Gustaaf Hallegraeff
Supervisor
Institute for Marine and
Antarctic Studies
University of Tasmania

Professor Millard Coffin
Executive director
Institute for Marine and
Antarctic Studies
University of Tasmania

Date: _____

Dedication

To my parents,
Juan José Dorantes Mondragón and Aurora Aranda Elizalde,
for their love, support, patience and guidance in life

To my sisters, nephews and nieces,
Jackeline J. Dorantes Aranda
Delsy Dorantes Aranda
Ariadna Dorantes Aranda
J. Alejandro Dorantes Dorantes
K. Jahaziel Arroyo Dorantes
Axel J. Cisneros Dorantes
Angélica S. Arroyo Dorantes
Alexa Dorantes Dorantes
Ian A. Cisneros Dorantes
Joshua Arroyo Dorantes
Valeria Dorantes Dorantes
for their love, support and all good moments of joy and laughter

Acknowledgements

To my main supervisor, Professor Gustaaf M. Hallegraef, for his direction, teaching, support and shared knowledge to perform and make the best of this project.

To my supervisors, Dr. Peter D. Nichols and Professor T. David Waite, for their teaching, collaboration, support, advices and guidance given to my research.

To Dr. Ben D. Mooney and Professor Allen R. Place for the gift of fatty acid fractions and karlotoxin, respectively, for the development of chapter 5.

To Mrs. Helen Bond for all her support and help in the laboratory.

To the Australian Government for the IEPRS scholarship that covered the full tuition fees and health cover (OSHC) for my PhD.

To the Mexican National Council for Science and Technology, CONACyT (190179), and the Mexican Secretary for Public Education, SEP-DGRI, for the living expenses scholarships.

To the University of Tasmania and Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies for the top-up scholarship and funding to attend and present at the 14th International Conference on Harmful Algae.

To The University of New South Wales, UNSW, and Dr. Aurélie Godrant for letting me do an internship and for sharing her knowledge to learn new techniques, respectively.

To The Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, CSIRO-Hobart, for making possible to perform the lipid work in their facilities.

To the Australian Antarctic Division, AAD, Rick van den Enden and Jennifer Penschow, for their availability and help for the electron microscopy work.

To the Menzies Research Institute, Professor Gregory M. Woods and César D. Tovar for the training regarding the maintenance and culturing of the gill cells. And to

Professor David Small and Dr. Robert Gasperini for letting me use their plate reader during the early stage of this research.

To the International Society for the Study of Harmful Algae, ISSHA, for the travel award to attend and present at the 14th International Conference on Harmful Algae.

To the School of Plant Science (UTAS), Dr. Adam Smolenski, Dr. Valérie Hecht and Dr. Evan Evans for letting me use their facilities to perform key assays for my research.

To Professor Andrew L. Rose for his ideas, advices and collaboration in part of this thesis.

To my Mexican colleagues, Dr. Rosalba Alonso Rodríguez, Dr. Lourdes Morquecho Escamilla, Dr. Ana Carolina Ruíz Fernández. Dr. Federico Páez Osuna and Dr. Luz M. García de la Parra, for their teaching and great input in my academic formation, encouragement and recommendations to continue my postgraduate studies.

To my friends in Mexico, Elvira Castro Andriano, Karina C. Lugo Ibarra, Eric Bautista Guerrero, Luis F. Vásquez Bedoya, Elizabeth Frausto Sotelo, Gerardo Gálvez Salinas, Alexis Rodríguez Suárez, Korynthia López Aguiar, Francisco Flores de Santiago, Carlos Sierra Becerra and Leonardo Camacho Cruz for all the great moments of happiness, and for their help by mailing me the forms and letters I needed in order to apply for this PhD. I have missed you heaps.

To my friends in Australia, César Tovar, Byron Smith, Steve Billingham, Gregor Sanders, Susanne Kennedy, Carl Snyman, Steven Smith, Richard Dearden, Hannah Smith, Nick O’Leary, Karen S. Luna Ramírez, Alexandre Kreiss, Marcus Ryan, Franco Solis, Fabiola Aburto, Joana Cubillos and Pamela Walker for their friendship, care and warm company.

Contents

Chapter 1. Introduction, hypotheses, rationale and objectives.	
1. Introduction.....	1
1.1. Overview of ichthyotoxic microalgae and their impacts on finfish mariculture.....	1
1.2. Use of <i>in vivo</i> and <i>in vitro</i> assays for ichthyotoxicity tests of red tide phytoplankton.....	17
1.3. RTgill-W1 cell line. An <i>in vitro</i> model for ichthyotoxic assessment of marine microalgae.....	24
2. Hypotheses.....	25
3. Rationale.....	26
4. Objectives.....	26
Chapter 2. Development of an <i>in vitro</i> assay using the fish cell line RTgill-W1 as a model	
Abstract.....	27
1. Introduction.....	28
2. Methods.....	29
3. Results.....	37
4. Discussion.....	48
Chapter 3. Toxicity of different geographic strains of <i>Chattonella marina</i> towards gill cells	
Abstract.....	52
1. Introduction.....	53
2. Methods.....	54
3. Results.....	57
4. Discussion.....	66
Chapter 4. Comparative toxicity to gill cells by eleven species of raphidophytes, dinophytes, haptophytes and green algae	
Abstract.....	69

1. Introduction.....	70
2. Methods.....	71
3. Results.....	76
4. Discussion.....	85
 Chapter 5. Production of superoxide anion by <i>Chattonella marina</i> as influenced by iron, growth phase and dark adaptation	
Abstract.....	89
1. Introduction.....	89
2. Methods.....	90
3. Results.....	92
4. Discussion.....	94
 Chapter 6. <i>In vitro</i> toxicity of fatty acid fractions and karlotoxins from dinoflagellates	
Abstract.....	97
1. Introduction.....	98
2. Methods.....	99
3. Results.....	102
4. Discussion.....	109
 Chapter 7. Strain variability in fatty acid composition of <i>Chattonella marina</i> and its relation to differing toxicity	
Abstract.....	113
1. Introduction.....	114
2. Methods.....	116
3. Results.....	121
4. Discussion.....	133
 Conclusions.....	 144
 References.....	 146

Abstract

Chattonella marina algal blooms have been associated with major farmed and wild fish mortalities in tropical (Mexico), subtropical (South Australia) and temperate regions (Japan). However, the precise toxic mechanisms involved remain incompletely known and disputed. A novel sensitive *in vitro* assay using the rainbow trout cell line RTgill-W1 was developed to assess toxicity of lipid extracts, ruptured cells and intact cultures of 6 strains of *C. marina*. *Chattonella* was found to be less toxic than the haptophyte *Prymnesium parvum*, but more toxic than the raphidophytes *Heterosigma akashiwo*, *Fibrocapsa japonica* and dinoflagellate *Karenia mikimotoi*. Ruptured cells from Australian CMPL01 and Japanese N-118 *C. marina* strains were the most toxic, decreasing gill cell viability by 71 and 65%, respectively. The Mexican CMCV-1 strain was the least toxic ($\leq 35\%$), possibly because it is larger and less fragile than other strains. *Chattonella marina* is unique among ichthyotoxic microalgae in its high production of superoxide anion (≤ 19 pmol cell⁻¹ hr⁻¹). Sonicated cultures showed higher levels of superoxide than intact cultures (19.0 vs 9.5 pmol cell⁻¹ hr⁻¹, respectively). However, O₂⁻ on its own did not appear to be the main cause of toxicity (only 14% loss of cell viability). Superoxide production was highest when grown in medium enriched with 1 μ M Fe(III) compared to the 5 μ M standard medium (25 vs 9.5 pmol cell⁻¹ hr⁻¹), especially after a sudden change from dark adaptation to light conditions (200 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) (up to 37.6), but lowest (4.1) at 100 nM Fe(III). The three major fatty acids in *C. marina* were palmitic (PA), eicosapentaenoic (EPA), and octadecatetraenoic (OTA) acids. Higher levels of EPA were found in ruptured cells (21.4 – 29.4% of total fatty acids) compared to intact cells (8.5 – 25.3%). Gill damaging effects from free fatty acid (FFA) fractions were conclusively demonstrated (LC₅₀ at 1 hr of 0.44 μ g mL⁻¹), and remain candidates of ichthyotoxicity when well-defined toxins such as brevetoxin or karlotoxin can be ruled out as causative factors. The aldehydes 2*E*,4*E*-decadienal and 2*E*,4*E*-heptadienal, which may be generated by FFA oxidation, also showed high impacts on gill cell viability, with LC₅₀ (at 1 hr) of 0.34 and 0.36 μ g mL⁻¹, respectively. In conclusion, *C. marina* was more toxic after cell disruption and when switching from dark to light conditions, associated with higher EPA levels and a

higher production of superoxide anion. Implications of this work for finfish aquaculture management and mitigation are discussed.