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This paper defines the “modern era of science and environmental consciousness” both in a global sense and, more specifically, when applied to the sub-Antarctic archipelagos. It briefly describes some key changes occurring in the sub-Antarctic terrestrial biome including climate change and the introduction of non-indigenous species. Three case studies are presented that demonstrate how important these changes are in the context of long-term natural climate and environmental variability. Finally, the role of science and environmental consciousnesses in informing future management of the sub-Antarctic islands is considered.
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INTRODUCTION

The “modern era of science” in the Antarctic and sub-Antarctic is relatively easy to define as a legacy of the International Geophysical Year (IGY 1958) when many of the first systematic long-term monitoring programs were initiated and permanent scientific facilities established. It marked a partial shift in effort from economic exploitation towards systematic data gathering and scientific research.

The “modern era of environmental consciousness” is, however, a more nebulous concept. For some it is interpreted as a developing awareness of the natural world over the past c. 140 years. This has been marked by a number of pivotal events: the creation of the World’s first national park at Yellowstone in California in 1872, the publication of Rachel Carson’s Silent Spring in 1962 (Carson 1962), the Vietnam war, Civil Rights, Peace, and Women’s movements of the 1960s, the 1970s Earth Day and the growth of the green movement, the publication of James Lovelock’s Gaia theory in 1972 (Lovelock 2000) and, in Tasmania, the campaigns against the damming of Lake Pedder, the 1982–83 blockades to prevent damming of the Franklin River and, both in Tasmania and elsewhere, ongoing campaigns for the application of democracy to old-growth forest management.

However, when considering the sub-Antarctic, the concept of a developing environmental consciousness is not simple to apply. Accounts of the earliest expeditions suggest that the perception of their participants was that nature was something to be feared and tamed. For example, Captain James Cook’s crew variously described their discovery of South Georgia as follows: “It exceed(s) in wretchedness both Tierra del Fuego and Staten Land which places ’till I saw this, I thought might vie with any of the works of Providence in that particular” (Charles Clerke 1775 in Beaglehole 1961, and Headland 1984, p. 29); “It is … of less value than the smallest farmstead in England” (Anders Sparman 1775 in Headland 1984, p. 29); and “The very thought to live here for a year fills the whole Soul with horror and despair … charity lets me hope that human nature was never thought so low by his Maker, as to be doomed to lead or rather languish out so miserable a life” (J.R. Forster in Headland 1984, p. 29).
PLATE 1
Possession Bay in the Island of South Georgia, woodcut by William Hodges.
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Annenkov Island and Fan Lake. South Georgia can be seen on the top right of the photograph (courtesy of K. Schafer).
rarely documented (their voyages so that others couldn’t share in the bounty). Several waves of exploitation ensued with many of the islands’ whales, fur seals, elephant seals and penguins killed for fur and blubber, with some species being hunted to near extinction (Bergstrom & Selkirk 2007). Here, poisons were applied for the first time, not to remove non-indigenous species (NIS) as occurs today, but to remove native wildlife that was interfering with the penguin oil industry. A. Hamilton at Macquarie Island notes in his 1894 diary that: “sailing about overhead were numbers of .. skua the terror of all other birds. The working party find them so destructive to the young penguins that, by means of poison, a very large number have been killed to protect the oil interest” (Hamilton 1894, p. 571). Thus, at this time environmental consciousness was centred primarily on identifying and exploiting the economic opportunities of the newly discovered islands.

Today’s visitors (both tourists and scientists) clearly see the sub-Antarctic in a different way to these early pioneers, pre-conditioned as they are by a diet of television documentaries. For others, such as fishermen and tourism operators, the islands still represent their economic livelihood so, just as their predecessors exploited the blubber and oil, they respectively exploit the sub-Antarctic for its fish and to provide visitors with access to its natural attractions. What most visitors have in common is that they now perceive that there are threats to the natural environment. Two of the most important threats are climate change and the introduction of NIS (Bergstrom & Selkirk 2007).

CLIMATE CHANGE

With the establishment of meteorological stations on many sub-Antarctic islands, climate change is relatively easy to measure. Despite the ongoing need for a robust database of quality-controlled sub-Antarctic meteorological data (Pendlebury & Barnes-Keogh 2007), it is possible to calculate trends in the longer records with some confidence. For example, surface air temperature trends for Marion and Gough islands have risen at a rate of +2.8 and +0.4°C per 100 years respectively (Jacks et al. 2004). These temperature trends are broadly consistent with positive sea surface temperature trends in the sub-Antarctic region (Pendlebury & Barnes-Keogh 2007). There is also variation in the months when this warming is experienced; for example, at Marion Island warming has been experienced in all months except June (Pendlebury & Barnes-Keogh 2007), and on Macquarie Island, warming is most pronounced in late summer and early autumn, although the island cools during severe ENSO events (Frenot et al. 2005).

Other parameters such as precipitation have also changed. For example, at Marion Island annual precipitation has decreased since the mid-1960s, with the 1990s being the driest of the five decades that precipitation has been measured at the island (Smith 2002). Inter-annual variability in annual total sunshine hours is also large, and irregular, but a significant proportion of that variability can be ascribed to an average increase of 3.3 hours each year between 1951 and 1999 (Smith 2002, Stern 2009). A recent synopsis of climate change in the sub-Antarctic (Pendlebury & Barnes-Keogh 2007) identifies some of the climate projections through the twenty-first century including warming across the region and a decline in precipitation extending southwards towards 50ºS over much of the sub-Antarctic, though these trends are still likely to show considerable variation at a sub-regional scale. These climate changes are predicted to have major changes on the biology of the sub-Antarctic (Bergstrom & Selkirk 2007, Convey 2006, Convey et al. 2006, Frenot et al. 2005, Smith 2007).

NON-INDIGENOUS SPECIES

The threat of NIS involves both the existing consequences of NIS already established on the islands and the consequences of the introduction and establishment of new ones (Frenot et al. 2005, Smith 2007). Numbers of NIS are often difficult to determine, but often their impacts are relatively easy to measure. NIS were often introduced as a way of keeping a ready store of food in the event of forced stays on the islands (European Rabbits, Oryctolagus cuniculus Linnaeus, 1758), Reindeer, Rangifer tarandus Linnaeus, 1758, Cattle, Bos taurus, Linnaeus, 1758, Pigs, Sus scrofa Linnaeus, 1758, to make the islands feel more like home or control other pests (Domestic Cats, Felis catus Linnaeus, 1758), and accidentally through ship’s cargo movements and shipwrecks (rodents). Sometimes even the exact date of introduction is known. For example, on 22 October 1874 naval officer Cyril Corbet, part of an expedition that set out to observe the transit of Venus at Îles Kerguelen (49º20’S), stopped at Robben Island (near Cape Town) to collect 150 European Rabbits and on arrival at Kerguelen, noted in his diary that: “a hole being in the hill-side, the bottom of the box was knocked out, and the poor little things left to burrow if they liked; but I’m afraid they have not a chance against these molyhawks and these other sea birds that also live in holes in the ground” (Corbet 1875, p. 60). History has proved him quite wrong in this prediction! The impacts of NIS, including humans, are widespread in the sub-Antarctic. These impacts have been recently reviewed by Bergstrom & Selkirk (2007), Smith (2007) and Convey (2008).

ASSESSING THE IMPACTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE AND NIS

Although the impacts of climate change and NIS are considered tangible threats to the sub-Antarctic terrestrial biome, it is important to judge their impacts within the context of long-term natural variability.

In order to define natural variability it is usually necessary to look beyond the instrumental measurements that began during the IGY (and occasionally before). Here geomorphological evidence and palaeoecological records can provide an invaluable longer term perspective, although it remains a challenge to provide unequivocal baseline descriptions of “unmodified” sub-Antarctic ecosystems, in either the marine or terrestrial realms. Such studies show that there are considerable variations in the impacts of climate change and NIS on different islands. For example, that there are islands where no change (outside of the range of natural variability) has occurred, islands where the combined impact of climate change and NIS are more significant than either in isolation, and other islands where recent impacts of NIS likely exceed all measures of natural ecological variability on millennial timescales. Examples of these progressive degrees of impact are described below.

An example of an island that has experienced few or no detectable recent impacts from climate change and NIS is
Annenkov Island, just off the south coast of South Georgia (pl. 2). Here, a 5 m lake sediment core has been drilled into 18 m-deep Fan Lake and analysed for its sedimentology and biological fossils for a period spanning more than 7850 years (Hodgson unpubl. data). What is remarkable about this record is the absence of any floristic changes in the diatom communities (which are sensitive indicators of environmental change) in the past 200 years that are outside of the range of natural variability. Annenkov Island, like a number of the smaller offshore islands in the sub-Antarctic archipelagos, has remained, to the best of our knowledge, free of NIS and to date the reconstructions of its past environments suggest that it has not yet experienced any measurable ecological effects from recent directional climate change. However, like other islands in the South Georgia archipelago, the island is not immune to sporadic visits and indirect human activities, and, like other islands, is subject to the adverse effects of long-line fishing practices which are responsible for the rapid decline in some albatross populations. The first monitoring of these populations has been carried out on Annenkov Island and other islands in the South Georgia archipelago (e.g., Poncet et al. 2006), and future surveys will be able to establish if any systematic changes are taking place.

An example of an island which is currently experiencing the combined impacts of both NIS and climate change is the mainland of South Georgia. High-latitude glaciers are known to respond to climate changes, with the majority of the island’s glaciers extending to the north and south of the island (Graham et al. 2008). In this example of an island with no barriers to wildlife, is now set to be the catalyst for further destruction. Sediment cores have been collected from lakes on the island, and when analysed will reveal to what extent the environmental changes taking place exceed those that might have occurred naturally. The first results suggest that the impacts of NIS might exceed all natural changes of the past few thousand years. For example, in one of the lakes studied, sedimentation rates have increased by two orders of magnitude in the past 200 years as a result of catchment slope instability presumably brought about by the burrowing and grazing activities of the rabbits. The dominant diatom species in the lake have also changed. Collectively this suggests that a regime shift has occurred in the lake ecosystem and lake catchment which is unprecedented in the Holocene (K. Saunders unpubl. data). Modern geomorphological mapping is also revealing the extent of the slope instability and erosion from the burrowing activities of NIS, and vegetation mapping is revealing the spatial pattern of vegetation change and the distribution of non-indigenous plant species (Bergstrom et al. 2009). Wildlife monitoring has documented the extinction of two endemic flightless birds, a rail and a parakeet, both extinctions attributed to humans and NIS. In this example of an island with no barriers to the distribution of NIS it appears that the impacts of NIS far outweigh any impacts that have occurred as a result of both millennial-scale and recent climate changes.

**THE IMPORTANCE OF THE SCIENTIFIC ERA**

In these three brief case studies, different degrees of intervention continue to be required if the islands are to be well managed in the future. Management plans are in place for most of the major sub-Antarctic islands and these are reviewed regularly. However, the objectives and principles of these management plans have yet to be more generally defined for the entire sub-Antarctic region on decadal to centennial time scales because, as shown above, these are influenced by the changing state of “environmental consciousness”. This not only differs between individuals and the organisations and nations that they represent, but also has changed quite radically since less than a century ago. It is therefore...
possibly naive to think that a century from now they won't have changed quite substantially again. Fundamentally, it is not uniformly agreed for what the sub-Antarctic islands are being managed. Are they to be preserved, or conserved? How much economic exploitation is acceptable? What role should tourism play? Although most managers today would cite some form of conservation as their goal the answers to these questions differ subtly between management plans and unlike the Antarctic Treaty Area, are not underwritten by any single multilateral agreement.

These questions will continue to be debated. But what has changed since the IGY is that we now have scientific data to inform our management. Complementary long-term records archived in lake, terrestrial and marine sediments are now, on some islands, extending this instrumental record back thousands of years. Critically this means that many of the changes now taking place in the sub-Antarctic biome can be measured against pre-human impact status and long-term natural variability. It is apparent from these scientific data that islands such as Annenkov Island, Bird Island, Heard Island, Prince Edward Island, and some of the minor islands of the sub-Antarctic archipelagos are now the most appropriate places to meet preservation objectives, where the ancient biomes of the sub-Antarctic still, to a varied extent, survive. In December 2008 a team of researchers visited Prince Edward Island 20 km northeast of the much larger Marion Island for a week and "enjoyed noticing the abundance of spiders and caterpillars crawling through our tents and over our shoes, and the noise of burrowing birds calling all night. This does not occur on Marion Island due to the legacy of cats and mice" (Shaw 2009, p.9). However, preservation aims must be tempered by the reality that all systems will change, with or without human intervention. Natural colonisation will occur and in the absence of agreed rules as to how to identify a NIS, it is hard to define what you do with them. For example, during the 2003–04 austral summer a single specimen of the plant Leptinella plumosa Hook.f. (Asteraceae) was reported having likely arrived via natural means with a seabird, or via human mediated dispersal on Heard Island, and this raises interesting ethical and management issues for the degree of intervention required and the ongoing management of the island (Turner et al. 2006).

Where conservation and remediation is the aim, for example on Macquarie Island, detailed vegetation mapping and wildlife surveys are now permitting thorough assessments of the response of the islands' flora and fauna to the ongoing NIS eradication programs (Bergstrom et al. 2009), and the dangers of unintended consequences. For example, on Macquarie Island vegetation mapping has identified enhanced damage by rabbits following the successful eradication of cats, demonstrating the complexity of managing islands with an NIS problem and the need for well-defined long-term objectives to be built into management plans. Palaeolimnological and palaeoecological studies will also enable the efficacy of these programs to be judged in the context of the longer term historical record, and benchmarked against natural baselines and natural variability. On South Georgia, satellite-based monitoring of the retreat of the tidewater glaciers has revealed the imminent danger of the rat population extending its range. Where economic objectives exist, for example on islands such as South Georgia, the relentless exploitation of the penguin, seal and whaling stocks to the point of economic collapse has now been replaced by a fisheries management informed by scientific data gathering and including real time computer modelling of fish stocks and fishing effort. If this continues to be successfully applied, and illegal fishing brought under control, then a long-term sustainable fishery might result. Economic tourism is also being monitored to a limited extent with surveys and scientific data being gathered on impacts of visitors on vegetation and wildlife from which the overall potential impact of the industry could in principle be better managed. In all these examples the "modern era of science" has put managers in a position where they can chose to be well informed by objective data (Frenot et al. 2005, Tejedo et al. 2009, Tin et al. 2009).

**CONCLUSIONS**

When invited to present this paper my mandate was to discuss "changes in the sub-Antarctic in the modern era of science and environmental consciousness". I have shown that "environmental consciousness" is a difficult concept to define, and is different between individuals and organisations, and changes substantially over time. Although in some parts of Western society there has been a growing awareness of the need for environmental conservation since the mid-1800s this has generally been a view held by minorities. Until relatively recently, environmental consciousness has not been applied in a consistent way to the sub-Antarctic biome which has experienced successive waves of economic exploitation and, latterly, with the development of management plans, varied efforts at conservation and preservation. What can be defined, though, is the "era of science". This has, particularly since the IGY, provided data that enable us, for the first time, to manage and exploit the islands with knowledge of their past variability, precise measurements of our direct and indirect impacts (climate change, NIS, economic exploitation) and informed forecasts of the nature and direction of future environmental changes. With this post-IGY legacy of science, the tools have been made available for the development and implementation of scientifically-informed management plans and an empirical route to good custodianship. It remains to be seen if we will use them.
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