

Ecological impacts of pollution on marine soft- sediment assemblages

Joanne Banks

Research Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for a Bachelor of Science with Honours

School of Zoology
University of Tasmania

2006

Acknowledgements

Thank you to my supervisors Professor Craig Johnson and Catriona Macleod who made this project so enjoyable. Thanks to Ruth Eriksen, Christine Coughanowr and Mike Rushton of The Derwent Estuary Program (DPIWE) for financial, intellectual and physical assistance. Much appreciation goes to the general staff in the Department of Zoology and at TAFI for help with equipment, materials, boats etc. with a particular debt of gratitude to Richard Holmes who worked so hard with me in the field and was ever chipper in the face of mud. Huge amounts of gratitude go to my friends, turned volunteers....Jane Basset, Mark Davidson and Tim Tewson. Finally, and most importantly, to my ever patient partner Jan Huisken, who supported me through this very challenging year and kept me sane, thank you!

Table of Contents

1.	ABSTRACT	7
2.	INTRODUCTION	8
3.	METHODS	13
3.1	STUDY SITES	13
3.2	SAMPLE COLLECTION AND PROCESSING	14
3.2.1	Surveys	14
3.2.2	Collections for manipulative experiments	15
3.3	MESOCOSM DESIGN	15
3.4	EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	17
3.4.1	Experiment 1: Does sediment toxicity directly influence faunal assemblages?	17
3.4.2	Experiment 2: Do sediments and resident assemblages collectively influence fauna?	18
3.4.3	Experiment 3: Separating the effects of sediment contamination and residual fauna on newly establishing individuals	19
3.5	DATA ANALYSIS	21
3.5.1	Univariate analysis	21
3.5.2	Multivariate analysis of experimental data	22
4.	RESULTS	24
4.1	TEMPORAL SURVEY	24
4.1.1	Heavy metal contamination	24
4.1.2	Assemblage characteristics	25
4.1.3	Community composition	29
4.2	EXPERIMENTAL MANIPULATIONS	33
4.2.1	Experiment 1: Does sediment toxicity directly influence faunal assemblages?	34
4.2.2	Experiment 2: Do sediments and resident assemblages collectively influence fauna?	34
4.2.3	Experiment 3: Separating the effects of sediment contamination and residual fauna on newly establishing individuals	41
5.	DISCUSSION	46
5.1	REGRESSION OF SENSITIVE AND PROLIFERATION OF TOLERANT SPECIES	46

5.2	DOMINANCE BY OPPORTUNISTIC SPECIES	48
5.3	MECHANISMS RESPONSIBLE FOR OBSERVED RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN SEDIMENT CHEMISTRY AND FAUNA	50
5.3.1	The direct effects of sediment toxicity on infaunal assemblages	50
5.3.2	The effects of metals on community structure and interspecific interactions	52
5.4	REVIEW OF METHODOLOGY AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH	54
5.4.1	Whole sediment treatments	54
5.4.2	The mesocosm approach	55
5.4.3	Stochastic variation between samples	55
5.4.4	Further research	56
6.	CONCLUSIONS	57
7.	LITERATURE CITED	58

List of Figures and Tables

FIGURE 1.	Study sites in the Derwent estuary, south-eastern Tasmania.....	13
FIGURE 2.	Design of mesocosm boxes illustrating water flow.....	16
FIGURE 3.	Experimental set-up.....	16
FIGURE 4.	Schematic representation of the design of experiment 2.....	19
FIGURE 5.	Metal concentrations: sieved and unsieved sediments.....	20
FIGURE 6.	Schematic representation of the design of experiment 3.....	21
TABLE 1.	Heavy metal concentrations within sediments at study sites.....	24
FIGURE 7.	Mean density, species richness and diversity of assemblages.....	26
TABLE 2.	2-way ANOVA of mean density and species richness.....	27
TABLE 3.	1-way ANOVA of Shannon diversity index.....	27
FIGURE 8.	Rank abundance curves of fauna from both sites.....	27
FIGURE 9.	Mean taxonomic richness of assemblages at four taxonomic levels.....	28
TABLE 4.	1-way ANOVA of taxonomic richness.....	28
FIGURE 10.	nMDS ordination of multivariate assemblages.....	29
TABLE 5.	SIMPER analysis partitioning between-site dissimilarity.....	30
FIGURE 11.	Bubble plots	33
TABLE 6.	Tests for 'mesocosm effect'	35

TABLE 7. Mean abundance of individual taxa identified by SIMPER	37
TABLE 8. PERMANOVA of treatments groups in experiment 2 (reference site).....	39
FIGURE 12. nMDS of treatments groups in exp. 2 (reference site).....	39
TABLE 9. PERMANOVA of treatments groups in experiment 2 (polluted site).....	40
FIGURE 13. nMDS ordination of treatments groups in exp. 2 (polluted site).....	40
Table 10. PERMANOVA of treatments groups in exp. 3 (reference site).....	42
FIGURE 14. nMDS ordination of treatments groups in exp. 3 (reference site).....	42
TABLE 11. Final composition of treatments groups in exp. 3 (reference site).....	43
TABLE 12. Mean abundance of individual taxa identified by SIMPER	44
TABLE 13. PERMANOVA of treatments groups in exp. 3 (polluted site).....	45
FIGURE 15. nMDS ordination of treatments groups in exp. 3 (polluted site).....	45