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INTRODUCTION

Over the past 50 years Heard Island has experienced widespread glacier recession, together with rapid recolonisation by king penguins (Aptenodytes patagonica) and fur seals (Arctocephalus gazella). The most recent observations show that several glaciers now terminate at the head of broad lagoons, 1–2 km inland from their former coastal ice cliffs (Garvin et al. 1999), and that in 1992–93 there were approximately 11 000 breeding pairs of king penguins (Green et al. 1998), 350 fur seal pups (Shaughnessy et al. 1998) and 22 000 non-breeding fur seals (Green 1993b).

These changes are interesting in themselves, as large-scale and strangely concurrent natural phenomena; in their consequences, which include alterations in the island’s coastline and vegetation; and in their potential causes, which include climate change, volcanic activity, and changes in terrestrial and marine ecosystems.

Although the changes in glaciers, king penguins and fur seals have been documented since their beginnings by members of the nine wintering parties and 11 summer expeditions that have visited Heard Island since 1947, with emphasis upon those of the years between 1947 and 1971. These early years were notable for an initial period (1947–55) of minimal change, and a subsequent period (1963–71) of rapid change in which a complex pattern of asynchronous glacier retreat and readvance was observed and the main features of the island’s recolonisation by king penguins and fur seals were established. Subsequent expeditions (1980–93) have reported continuing glacier recession, evidently in response to warmer air temperatures, and a continuing exponential increase in king penguins and fur seals. The glacier observations show that the Heard Island glaciers are sensitive indicators of climate change in the Southern Ocean, and of the interactions between climate and glacier topography. The island’s recolonisation by king penguins and fur seals is attributed mainly to an improved food supply which may itself, like the glacier recession, be a response to changes in atmospheric and oceanic circulation. Glacier recession and its consequences are changing the island’s coastline, and the expanding populations of king penguins and fur seals are damaging the vegetation. All these phenomena, occurring as they do in an island with a hitherto undamaged ecosystem and no introduced predators, clearly warrant continuing study.
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TABLE 1

Scientific expeditions to Heard Island, 1947–93*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Expedition</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>ANARE expedition</td>
<td>Budd 1964a, b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>Private expedition (South Indian Ocean Expedition to Heard Island) in yacht Patanela</td>
<td>Anon 1965, Temple 1966, Tilman 1966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>ANARE expedition in USCGC Southwind</td>
<td>Budd 1970a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969–70</td>
<td>US wintering expedition</td>
<td>Veenstra &amp; Manning 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>ANARE party with National Mapping expedition in M.V. Cape Pillar</td>
<td>Veenstra &amp; Manning 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Private expedition (Project Blizzard) in yacht Anaconda II</td>
<td>Vining 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Private expedition (HIDX) in whale chaser Cheynes II</td>
<td>Jenkins-Smith 1985, Smith 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>ANARE expedition</td>
<td>Burton &amp; Williams 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986–87</td>
<td>ANARE expedition</td>
<td>Ledingham 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>ANARE early winter expedition</td>
<td>Green 1990a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992–93</td>
<td>ANARE wintering expedition</td>
<td>Green 1993a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Expeditions were undertaken in summer, using ANARE ships, except where otherwise stated. ANARE = Australian National Antarctic Research Expeditions.

and 1951, as well as in July 1951 and December 1954; and Long Beach was visited during the circuit trips of 1951 and 1954.

The period 1947–55 yielded seven years of uninterrupted observations in meteorology and geophysics, and established the main features of the island’s topography, geology, glaciology, and biology. It also yielded suggestive evidence of minor glacier recession, and hints of a slight increase in the numbers of non-breeding king penguins and fur seals that were visiting the island.

Subsequent expeditions (1963–93)
Except for the wintering parties of 1969 and 1992, all subsequent expeditions were undertaken in summer (table 1). The 1963 expedition obtained the first evidence of major glacier retreat and recolonisation by king penguins and fur seals, and the following expeditions recorded the progress of these phenomena. Heard Island is well suited for such studies. It is remote, uninhabited, and infrequently visited by humans; its ecosystem has not been damaged by past occupation; there are no introduced predators; and it

![FIG. 1 — Heard Island (1948 map), showing places mentioned in the text.](image-url)
presents sharp contrasts of terrain, glacier topography, and local climate.

**Methods (1963–71)**

The expeditions of 1963–71 benefited from consistency of field methods, in that the principal investigator took part in all four expeditions (as well as in the 1954–55 expedition and a brief visit in March 1960) and another observer took part in three of them. Glaciers were photographed from the same or similar viewpoints each year, and changes were assessed by reference to adjacent rock features. In these years the numbers of king penguins and fur seals at each locality were still small enough to permit accurate direct counts (confirmed by photography), without the problems, described elsewhere (Budd 1962), that large and dense concentrations of animals present. The techniques used for counting fur seals (Budd 1970c) yielded close agreement between observers and between repeat counts.

**CHANGES IN GLACIERS, KING PENGUINS AND FUR SEALS**

**Glaciers**

**Overview (1874–1997)**

The main features of the Heard Island glaciers have been described by Lambeth (1951) and by Allison & Keage (1986). Photographic and other records showed no apparent change between 1874 and 1929 but suggestive evidence of general minor recession by 1955, and by 1963 there was obvious major recession in most of the glaciers (Budd 1964a,b, Budd & Stephenson 1970). However, the next eight years brought a mixed pattern of asynchronous retreat and readvance (Budd 1973a), which is summarised in table 2. Subsequent observations (Allison & Keage 1986, Garvin et al. 1999) have shown continuing major recession. Details of the observations between 1963 and 1971 are given below.

**1963**

The greatest change was shown by the Winston Glacier, which had retreated approximately 1.5 km since 1947 and 0.8 km since 1954, and was now divided by a central rock promontory into (a) a southwest stream curving around South Barrier and (b) a northwest ice sheet descending from the eastern side of Big Ben and exposing high rocky bluffs above a beach on Winston Lagoon.

Striking retreat was also obvious elsewhere. On the northeast coast the terminal ice cliffs of the Stephenson and Brown Glaciers, which in 1954 were more than 15 m high and washed by the sea, had disappeared and the glaciers now terminated more than 100 m inland behind a beach and a lagoon. The tongue of the Compton Glacier, which in 1947 had extended out into the sea, had receded leaving a line of shoal water that suggested an early stage in the formation of a beach and lagoon.

In Cornithian Bay the Little Challenger Glacier (subsequently renamed the Nares), which in 1954 had terminated in 20 m sea cliffs, now had a sloping terminus behind a broad beach. The neighbouring Baudissin Glacier showed reduced thickness and lower sea cliffs, and its western tongue — the Schmidt Glacier — was reduced in width and thickness. In Southwest Bay the sea cliffs of the Vahsel Glacier were lower and round-edged, had retreated

| TABLE 2 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glacier fluctuations at Heard Island from 1954 to 1971</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pattern/Glacier</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous retreat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephenson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmidt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readvance by 1965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winston – SW stream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readvance by 1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Challenger (Nares)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baudissin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readvance by 1971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vahsel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbotsmith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winston – NE sheet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Changes shown are those detected since the previous year of observation, beginning with 1954. R, retreat; S, stationary; A, advance. Table modified from Budd (1973a).
† Not inspected. Abbotsmith glacier in 1971 was readvancing after having retreated 800 m since 1954.

at their northern margin beside Erratic Point, and had developed extensive moraines at their southern margin near Cape Gazert. On the Laurens Peninsula the Jacka Glacier was greatly reduced in thickness, and its terminus had retreated from its 1954 position immediately behind the beach.

**1965**

Examination of the eastern half of the island showed further retreat of the Stephenson and Brown Glaciers. However, the Winston Glacier’s southwestern stream had increased in thickness and readvanced more than 200 m, although its northwestern ice sheet was unchanged.

**1969**

Examination of all glaciers on the north and northeast coast showed that the Baudissin and Little Challenger had readvanced and now differed little from their 1954 appearance (Budd 1970a, 1973a, Budd & Stephenson 1970). The Baudissin had increased in thickness, and photographic comparisons with the known height of the adjacent Church Rock showed that the sea cliffs of its eastern part were now 30–40 m high instead of the 20–25 m observed in 1963. The Little Challenger had advanced and spread laterally, and had again developed sea cliffs 20 m high.

Further retreat was apparent in the Stephenson, Brown, Compton, Vahsel and Jacka Glaciers. The ice front of the Brown Glacier had retreated about 300 m in the six years since 1963. The shoal water observed off the Compton Glacier in 1963 had now developed into a beach, broken in the centre by a wide outlet from a lagoon that was
backed by sharp-edged ice cliffs more than 100 m from the beach.

1971
This year’s overland circuit of the island revealed continuing retreat of the Stephenson, Brown, Compton, Schmidt and Jacka Glaciers; no further change in the Baudissin and Little Challenger Glaciers; and strong readvance in the Vahsel Glacier and both parts of the Winston Glacier. It also revealed major recession and subsequent readvance in the Abbotsmith Glacier behind Henderson Bluff, which had not been visited since 1954. Details of these changes follow.

Vahsel Glacier
The Vahsel Glacier had developed high and sharp-edged sea cliffs which extended closer to Erratic Point and Cape Gazert, and its general appearance resembled that of 1954.

Abbotsmith Glacier
The Abbotsmith Glacier reaches the sea in high ice cliffs beneath an ice fall between Walsh Bluff and Henderson Bluff, and also has a sloping terminus on the gravel terrace behind Henderson Bluff. In February 1971 it was found that this land-based terminus had retreated 800 m since the previous visit in 1954 but was now re-advancing, with broad ice slabs extruding forward for several metres and curving upwards (pl. 1), in some places as far as the vertical plane. Similar features have been reported at the termini of advancing glaciers in Iceland (Heim 1984, 1985, Humlum 1985).

Winston Glacier
The southwestern stream of the Winston Glacier had advanced a further 200 m since 1965, and its northwestern ice sheet was also advancing; the ice above the bluffs, which in 1963 and 1965 had appeared stagnant, was now thicker, had developed sharply defined and overhanging ice cliffs, and showed considerable avalanche activity. Moreover, an ice tongue on the northwestern side of the central rock promontory had thickened, had spread laterally to cover some of the adjacent bluffs, and had advanced into the lagoon on a broad front.

Stephenson, Brown and Mary Powell Glaciers
The Stephenson Glacier had developed a lake, several hundred metres wide, at the point where a large meltwater stream flowed through the Dovers moraine to the northern beach of Spit Bay. The Brown Glacier had retreated another 50 m since 1969. The Mary Powell Glacier above Saddle Point had retreated about 100 m, probably since 1969, and had developed a lake backed by ice cliffs about 6 m in height.

Summary
In summary, the observations from 1963 to 1971 (table 2) showed
• continuous retreat of glaciers on the northeast coast (Stephenson, Brown, Compton and Jacka) throughout all eight years;
• readvance through 1965 and 1971 of the southwestern stream of the Winston Glacier on the southeast coast;
• readvance by 1969 of the north-facing glaciers of Corinthian Bay (Baudissin, Little Challenger), while the Vahsel on the west coast continued to retreat; and
• readvance by 1971 of the Vahsel and Abbotsmith (which had retreated since 1954), and of the northwestern ice sheet of the Winston Glacier, while the Baudissin and Little Challenger showed no further change.

King Penguins
Early history (1858–1929)
King penguins were abundant in the Spit Bay area of Heard Island in 1858 (Crowther 1970), and according to sealers were still present there in 1929 (Falla 1937). Unfortunately the BANZARE party that spent a week ashore in November–December 1929 were unable to visit Spit Bay, and in a thorough search at Southwest Bay they found only a single adult king penguin (Falla 1937).

Continuous ANARE occupation (1947–55)
The first ANARE wintering party found no king penguins at Spit Bay in November 1948, but they did find a small breeding group on the Vahsel moraine in Southwest Bay (Downes et al. 1959). These birds raised two chicks, but most of the colony was removed to Australian zoos in February 1949, owing to an unfortunate failure to appreciate the precarious status of the king penguin at Heard Island.
Subsequent observations up to March 1955 have been summarised by Downes et al. (1959). There was no further attempt to breed at Vahsel moraine, and only two king penguins were seen on the adjoining beach during a brief visit in March 1960 (Budd, pers. obs.). Wandering individuals and small groups were seen each summer near the ANARE station, and in November 1951 a moulting chick and four other king penguins were seen at Spit Bay. No other evidence of breeding was found at Spit Bay, although field parties had worked there in November in the years 1948, 1949, 1950 and 1951, as well as in July 1951 and December 1954. No breeding birds or even groups of more than a few non-breeders had been seen at other parts of the island, although all parties visiting Spit Bay had walked over the northern beaches and two had continued right around the island. Downes et al. (1959) therefore concluded that the occasional birds seen were probably visitors from the large colonies at Kerguelen, 460 km to the northwest, and that the king penguin was no longer breeding regularly at Heard Island.

**Summer expeditions (1963–71)**

1963

In February and March it was discovered that regular breeding had recommenced (Budd & Downes 1965). More than 100 king penguins were seen, and 23 of them had eggs or chicks. The two largest colonies, with a total of 37 adults and 20 eggs or chicks, were in the tussock grass behind the north and south beaches at Spit Bay (fig. 2). In addition, single incubating birds were seen on the grassy slopes of Vahsel moraine (near the site of the abandoned 1948 colony) and at Saddle Point, and a well-grown chick of the current summer was seen at Skua Beach.

1965

Observations in the eastern half of the island between 31 January and 5 February showed that the breeding population at Spit Bay had more than doubled since 1963 (Budd 1968), with 81 adults and 45 eggs or chicks present in the two colonies. Single incubating birds were seen at Long Beach and Skua Beach. These figures underestimate the breeding population in 1965, because the counts were made three weeks earlier in the laying period than those of 1963.

1969

Counts in mid-March showed a further doubling of the breeding population in the two Spit Bay colonies, to 153 adults and 86 eggs or chicks (Budd 1970b), and a growth of the Vahsel moraine colony to 40 adults and 17 eggs or chicks. Pairs of adults were also seen at Red Island, West Bay and Atlas Cove; Long Beach was not visited. The total count of 199 adults and 103 eggs or chicks indicated an almost fivefold increase in the breeding population since 1963.

1969–70

On 24 October 1969, members of the American wintering party observed a chick in down, accompanied by eight adults, at Red Island; and on 14 February 1970 they counted 54 adults and 19 eggs or chicks at Vahsel moraine (Ben D. Roth, pers. comm.).

1971

The Vahsel moraine colony, counted on 3 and 26 February, had doubled in size since 1969, with 67 adults and 38 eggs or chicks (Budd 1973b). Breeding had also commenced at West Bay, where one bird was found incubating on 8 March; another bird nearby had been incubating a stone since 26 February, presumably because it had lost its egg. No eggs or chicks were seen during searches at Red Island on 31 January and 3 March.

**Pattern and rate of increase between 1963 and 1971**

Figure 2 summarises the results for Spit Bay and Vahsel moraine (Budd 1975). The north colony at Spit Bay was initially three to four times larger than the south one, but after 1965 the south colony grew more rapidly and the north one more slowly. Consequently the total breeding population at Spit Bay increased almost linearly between 1963 and 1969, at a rate of 11 pairs per year. At Vahsel moraine the pattern of increase paralleled that of the south colony at Spit Bay: both colonies increased exponentially, doubling in size every 2.1 years at Spit Bay south colony and every 1.6 years at Vahsel moraine.

**Subsequent observations (1980–93)**

1980–87

Observations at Spit Bay and Vahsel moraine in 1980, 1983, 1985, 1986 and 1987, summarised by Gales & Pemberton (1988), showed that exponential population growth was continuing, at much the same rate as in 1963–71. These authors calculated that the breeding population at Spit Bay...
was doubling every 2.7 years at the south colony and every 6.9 years at the north colony. At the Vahsel moraine colony, which between 1980 and 1985 had moved about 1 km to the vicinity of the Schmidt Glacier, there were 175 eggs on 19 January 1987.

1988
At Spit Bay on 16 January 1988 there were 3100 eggs or chicks in the south colony and 215 in the north colony.

1990
In the winter of 1990 a total of 2920 chicks were counted between 22 May and 17 June in four unspecified colonies at the eastern end of Heard Island; 2500 of them were in the Spit Bay south colony (Green & Wong 1990).

1992–93
Counts at the Vahsel moraine (now Schmidt Glacier) colony in February 1992, and at Spit Bay in February–March 1993, yielded a whole-island total of 10 745 breeding pairs, most of which were in the Spit Bay south colony (Green et al. 1998). These findings would seem to show that the breeding population at Spit Bay increased threefold in the five years 1988–93.

Breeding success to 1971
Several lines of evidence, summarised below, suggest that in the period 1947–71 few chicks had survived to fledging.

1. Observations throughout 1969 at Vahsel moraine (Richard Cohen, pers. comm.) appeared to show that only one of the 16 large chicks seen in March had survived to fledging in late November.

2. “Early breeders” comprised 70% of the breeding adults seen in 1963 and 90% of those seen in 1969 and 1971, although at an established colony in South Georgia they had comprised only 40% (Stonehouse 1960). Early breeders are birds which had not raised a chick in the previous season, either because they had not attempted to breed (as would be the case with newly arrived immigrants) or else had done so and failed.

3. No chicks of the previous season were ever seen in the late-summer visits (31 January to 17 March) between 1963 and 1971, although at South Georgia and the Crozets they may be seen until early March (Stonehouse 1960, Despin, Mougin & Segonzac 1972). The absence of such chicks at Heard Island, although partly explained by the predominance of early breeders (whose chicks fledge before January), suggested that the chicks of late breeders had all died. This suggestion is consistent with the observation that the single moulting chicks seen at Spit Bay in November 1951 and at Red Island in October 1969 were clearly from early breeders and may have been the sole survivors of whatever eggs had been laid that year.

In explanation of this apparently high rate of breeding failure it was suggested (Budd 1975) that the chicks of small colonies would have difficulty in surviving the Heard Island winter because they would be too few to obtain much benefit from huddling together in the colder weather. It would also be expected that the chicks of late breeders would be more vulnerable to cold than those of early breeders because they would be smaller and less mature at the onset of winter.

Recent findings at Kerguelen and îles Crozet are consistent with the above observations and their interpretation. Weimerskirch and his colleagues reported that at these islands small colonies of up to 150 pairs of king penguins have produced very few fledglings, or none at all, because of predation by giant petrels on the small huddles of chicks guarded by too few adults (Weimerskirch et al. 1989, 1992, Jouventin & Weimerskirch 1990). Weimerskirch et al. (1992) concluded that breeding success varies directly with colony size, and that only colonies of more than 200–300 pairs produce chicks that are likely to be recruited later as breeding adults. Southern giant petrels (Macronectes giganteus) breed at five places on Heard Island (Downes et al. 1959) and were the main cause of chick deaths during the winter months in 1992 (Moore & Robertson 1993), although the colonies of king penguins were by then so large that many thousands of chicks nevertheless survived to fledging.

Habitat preference and distribution
In the first two decades of recolonisation king penguins bred at nearly every beach on Heard Island, usually on the tussock grass (Poa cookii) and azorella (Azorella selago) some hundreds of metres behind the beaches (Budd 1975). At most beaches they persisted in breeding for a year or two, with no great increase in their numbers, and then abandoned the site. However, a few sites, such as Spit Bay and Vahsel moraine, seem to have proved especially attractive, and at these sites rapid population growth has ensued.

An interesting reversal of habitat preference occurred at Spit Bay in the first decade of colonisation. In 1963 and 1965 the south colony was only one-quarter the size of the north colony (fig. 2), but thereafter population growth accelerated in the south colony and slowed in the north colony, so that by mid-January 1988 (Gales & Pemberton 1988) there were 14 times as many eggs or chicks in the south colony as in the north (3100 and 215 respectively). Moreover, the finding of king penguin bones at a depth of 45–60 cm near the south colony (Green 1990b) would seem to show that this site has been used in former times. Why the king penguins should prefer Spit Bay and Vahsel moraine over other localities, and why they switched their preference from the north to the south colony at Spit Bay, are matters for conjecture, but inspection suggests that the favoured sites are more sheltered than other localities, and at Spit Bay they are sunnier (Budd 1975).

Immigration
The rapidity of the increase in the numbers of king penguins at Heard Island between 1963 and 1971, despite what appeared to have been a high rate of breeding failure, strongly suggested that the population growth at that time was due, at least in part, to a continuous recruitment of immigrants from some other island. This interpretation was confirmed by the finding that between 31 January and 5 February 1965 there were more juvenile king penguins (birds hatched in early 1963) in the eastern half of Heard Island than there had been eggs or chicks in the whole island in 1963 (Budd 1968); and it was later extended by the conclusion (Weimerskirch et al. 1989) that small colonies of king penguins can grow only through the immigration of birds from large colonies. No king penguins have been seen at the offlying McDonald Islands (Budd 1972a, Johnstone 1982) or Shag Island (Tremont & Vining 1983), and the most likely source would seem to be the large colonies at Kerguelen (Budd 1968, Weimerskirch et al. 1989).
However, Weimerskirch et al.'s findings also implied that since about 1980, when the size of individual colonies at Heard Island first exceeded 300 pairs (Johnstone 1982, Gales & Pemberton 1988: table 1), local recruitment from successful breeding in these colonies would have made an increasing contribution to population growth, reducing the relative contribution of immigration.

Fur Seals

Early history (1855–1955)

Fur seals were present at Heard Island in the 1850s but were virtually exterminated by sealing (Gwynn 1953, Ingham 1960), and until 1947 there were no further reports of their presence. Between 1947 and 1955 they were frequent summer visitors, but the largest concentration seen was 50 seals (at Red Island on 17 February 1954) and there was no firm evidence of breeding. Small seals of about 1 m in length were occasionally seen, but they were never in their black natal fur and were never seen to suckle, and it is probable— as the observers usually concluded—that they were yearlings (Gwynn 1953, Ingham 1960).

Summer expeditions (1963–71)

1963

Between 19 February and 8 March—the probable time of maximum numbers (Csordas & Ingham 1965, Shaughnessy & Goldsworthy 1990)—approximately 500 fur seals were ashore: 464 were counted, and there were probably another 20–30 at the unvisited Green Valley (subsequently renamed Paddick Valley), where 26 were counted in 1965 (Budd & Downes 1969). The main concentrations were 131 at Red Island, 118 at Fairchild Beach and 126 at Spit Bay.

Two pups were seen and photographed while suckling. One of them, still in its black natal fur, was at Red Island on 25 February; the other, already moulted, was at Fairchild Beach on 7 March.

The skulls of two adult males were identified by Miss J.E. King of the Natural History Museum, London, as typical examples of Arctocephalus tropicalis gazella, the southern race of the Kerguelen fur seal (subsequently reclassified as Arctocephalus gazella, the Antarctic fur seal).

1965

Only the eastern half of Heard Island (between the Golley and Compton Glaciers) was searched, between 31 January and 5 February (Budd & Downes 1969). Although these searches were made 3–5 weeks earlier than in 1963 and the seasonal haul-out would not have been complete, the counts were already slightly greater than in 1963, showing that the population had increased. No suckling was seen on this occasion.

1969

Counts at Red Island, Saddle Point, Fairchild Beach, Skua Beach and Spit Bay between 12 and 16 March showed a sixfold increase since 1963 (from 439 to 2662) that was evenly distributed among the five localities (Budd 1970c). If a similar increase had occurred at the unvisited Green (Paddick) Valley, the total population of the island would have been about 3000 seals.

Three pups, two of them suckling, were seen at the western end of Fairchild Beach, close to the place where suckling was seen in 1963. (This locality, subsequently named “Fur Seal Creek”, was the largest breeding site on the island (93 pups) in the summer of 1987–88—Goldsworthy & Shaughnessy 1989). The pups seen in 1969 had already moulted from their black natal fur, and were accompanied by their mothers, a yearling and a bull. Several more pups were seen at Red Island, making a probable total of six pups for this year.

A plot of the counts made in late February and March at Red Island over the 18 years from 1951 to 1969 (fig. 3) showed that the population there had increased exponentially over this period. When allowance was made for the underestimate, by perhaps 40%, of the maximum population in the early counts of 1965, it was apparent that exponential population growth had also occurred at Saddle Point, Fairchild Beach, Skua Beach and Spit Bay.

1971

Repeated counts at Red Island on 31 January and 1 February, and two more counts on 3 March, yielded a mean value of 948 seals—39% more than the 683 seals counted in the same place on 18 March 1969 (Budd 1972b). There were about a dozen yearlings, and three black pups which were usually accompanied by their mothers and were seen suckling. One of the pups was already moulted on 1 February, but the other two were still in their black coats on 3 March.

Classified counts during this census showed that 84% of the seals were large bulls more than 1.5 m in length; most of the remainder, of length 1.0–1.5 m, were thought to be immature males. This distribution was similar to that of other herds on the island in 1971 and previous years, and it showed no obvious change between 1 February and 3 March.

Subsequent observations (1980–93)

1986–87 and 1987–88 were 46, 172 and 248 (Shaughnessy et al. 1988, Shaughnessy & Goldsworthy 1990) and the estimated number in 1992–93 was 343 pups (Shaughnessy et al. 1998). These results show that both the breeding and the non-breeding populations have continued to increase exponentially.

Habitat preference and distribution
The fur seals at Heard Island appear to have shown a strong preference for localities sheltered from wind. In 1963, almost all were on the lee (the northern and eastern) side of the island, many of them in places that were further sheltered by hills or moraines (Budd & Downes 1969) — in contrast to the Subantarctic fur seal Arctocephalus tropicalis at Amsterdam Island, which is reported to prefer the windward coasts (Paulian 1964). Like the fur seals at South Georgia (Bonner 1958, 1968, Boyd 1993) and Marion Island (Rand 1956), the Heard Island seals avoided rocky areas: breeding and non-breeding animals alike occupied the vegetated hills and terraces behind the beaches, sitting or lying on grass tussocks (Poa cookii) or among tall stands of Kerguelen cabbage (Pringlea antiscorbutica). They amicably shared their habitat with elephant seals and with nesting gentoo penguins (Pygoscelis papua), king penguins and giant petrels, although they usually threatened and often charged any men who came near them.

As their numbers increased the fur seals tended to take up localities they had previously avoided, even though there remained room for expansion in the main concentrations — which indeed continued to expand. Such less-favoured (and sometimes less sheltered) localities included Skua Beach, Saddle Point, and the south beach of Spit Bay in 1969 (Budd 1970c); Long Beach, Jacka Glacier and Mt Aubert de la Rue in 1971 (Budd 1972b); and Capsize Beach and Cape Gazett in 1988 (Shaughnessy & Goldsworthy 1990).

Source of the Heard Island fur seals
Initially it was assumed (Gwynn 1953, Ingham 1960) that the Heard Island fur seals were summer visitors from either Kerguelen, 466 km northwest of Heard Island (where they had been abundant before being virtually exterminated by sealers in the 19th century — Allen 1942), or from the McDonald Islands, 54 km west of Heard Island. However, a review of sightings at Kerguelen since 1951 (Budd & Downes 1969) showed that fewer fur seals had been seen there than at Heard Island, and that there was no evidence of breeding.

McDonald Islands
These islands seemed to be a likely source, because they were small, precipitous, inaccessible, and apparently unvisited (Tizard et al. 1885). In these characteristics they resembled the Willis Islands, about 10 km west of South Georgia, where a relic population that had survived the sealing era was thought to be the source of the fur seals colonising the other islands of the Scotia Ridge (Bonner 1964, 1968). During the first recorded landing on McDonald Island, on 27 January 1971, it was found that under the eastern cliffs a small sandy beach backed by talus, incompletely inspected and photographed from the cliff-tops above, was occupied by at least 46 black fur seal pups, 68 cows and 32 bulls (Budd 1972a, b). The seals were grouped in seven distinct harems, the largest of which contained 18 cows and 12 pups. Another 53 bulls occupied an adjacent pebble beach.

These observations revealed sharp contrasts in breeding status and habitat between the fur seals of McDonald Island and Heard Island. At McDonald Island at least 68 cows were breeding on one small sandy beach, leaving the adjacent pebble beach to a similar number of non-breeding bulls, whereas at Heard Island an expanding population of 3000 or more, mainly non-breeding bulls, occupied the grassy terraces and hills and, up to that time, had produced only six or so pups each year. It was concluded that the breeding seals at McDonald Island were clearly too few to account for the rapid and continuing increase in the Heard Island population.

A more complete search of McDonald Island, on 12 March 1980 (Johnstone 1982), revealed “up to 100 pups and over 200 others” on the same beaches as in 1971, and showed that there were no other fur seals on the McDonald Islands. The only other potential local source of fur seals was the precipitous Shag Island, 10 km north of Heard Island, but the first recorded landing, on 26 January 1983, showed that there were no fur seals on the island (Tremont & Vining 1983).

Kerguelen
The first breeding colony of fur seals to be reported from Kerguelen since the 19th century was discovered in 1982 at Île de Croy, one of the Îles Nuages group 20 km northwest of the northern tip of Kerguelen, where geologists found “a breeding colony of about 1,200 animals” (Jouventin et al. 1982). A biological survey from 17 November to 14 December 1984 revealed “some 1,500 breeding females” and a total estimated population of “over 5,000 animals” (Jouventin & Stonehouse 1986); a further visit in late summer 1994 yielded a figure of “about 1,900 pups” (Cherel et al. 1997). This colony was considered too small to have provided the influx of summer visitors at Heard Island (Shaughnessy & Goldsworthy 1990).

South Georgia
Other breeding colonies may eventually be discovered among the numerous islands of the Kerguelen archipelago. However, the sighting at Red Island in March 1971 of an anomalous pale-coated fur seal raised the possibility that the crowded and expanding colonies at South Georgia (Bonner 1968) might be the source of the fur seals at Heard and McDonald Islands (Budd 1972b). Pale coasts occur in about 0.1% of the fur seals at South Georgia and the South Orkney Islands, and the apparent absence of this characteristic from islands outside the Scotia Ridge suggested (Bonner 1964, 1968) that the fur seals of this region may be derived from a single parent population, probably that of South Georgia. Although Bonner (pers. comm.) considered, and recent photographs confirm, that the Heard Island seal was less pale than its counterparts at South Georgia, its presence was nevertheless suggestive, for Csorbas (1962) and several later authors (summarised in Shaughnessy & Goldsworthy 1990) have reported instances of fur seals moving over long distances. Boyd (1993), summarising the results of recent studies of the Antarctic fur seal at all its breeding sites throughout the Southern Ocean, noted that several authors have recognised that many of the fur seals probably derive from the South Georgia population. The results of current genetic studies will clearly be of great interest.
CONSEQUENCES

Glacier recession has exposed substantial areas of ice-free terrain, has created several lakes and large coastal lagoons, and has caused changes in coastal currents and sediment transport. These have resulted in severe erosion of some beaches (resulting in the loss of seals’ relics of archaeological value), broadening of other beaches, and changes in orientation of the Spit at the east end of the island (Allison & Keage 1986, Green 1998). Vegetation has colonised ground newly exposed by retreating glaciers (Scott 1990), while plant distributions elsewhere have changed and new species (e.g. *Poa anserina*) have appeared, apparently as a result of animal disturbance and warmer temperatures (Scott 1989, 1990). Similar effects on vegetation have been observed at Kerguelen, where recently deglaciated areas have been colonised by the introduced grass *Poa annua* as well as by the native grass *P. kerguelensis* (Frenot et al. 1997), and at Marion Island, where warmer temperatures are causing complex changes in nutrient cycles (Smith & Steenkamp 1990).

A potential future dilemma for conservation and management is posed by the expanding populations of king penguins and particularly fur seals at Heard Island, which have already caused substantial damage to tussock grass (*Poa couchii*) and Kerguelen cabbage (*Pringlea antiscorbutica*) (Scott 1990), and presumably to other vegetation. The dilemma has been well articulated by Bonner (1985) and Smith (1988, 1990). Although manuring by seals and seabirds constitutes an important source of nutrients for terrestrial ecosystems (Smith 1978), the recovery of fur seal populations to levels probably higher than they were before exploitation is causing widespread and perhaps irreversible damage to lowland vegetation at South Georgia (Bonner 1985) and the South Orkney Islands (Smith 1988, 1990, 1997). At Bird Island on South Georgia, trampling by fur seals and pressure from their lying on tussocks have destroyed large areas of tussock grass (*Poa flabellata*) and Antarctic hair grass (*Deschampsia antarctica*), leading to severe erosion of soil and peat, and have deprived six species of birds of breeding habitat and exposed them to predation by skuas (*Catharacta lombarrii*). In addition, disturbance by fur seals may have deterred some young wandering albatrosses (*Diomedea exulans*) from breeding in their natal areas (Croxall et al. 1990). At Signy Island (South Orkneys), the increase in fur seals from “a few dozen” in the early 1970s to 20 500 in 1994/95 has caused “widespread devastation” in most lowland terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems (Smith 1988, 1990, 1997). Trampling and the toxicity of excreta and “fur wash” have eradicated extensive communities of mosses and lichens, killed or damaged grasses, caused eutrophication of freshwater lakes, disturbed or eroded lake sediments and moss banks 3000–7000 years old, and destroyed several colonies of giant petrels and Antarctic terns (*Sterna vittata*).

CAUSES OF THE OBSERVED CHANGES

Glaciers

Possible causes of the observed glacier fluctuations include geothermal heat from volcanic activity, and climate change.

Volcanic activity

Observed activity to 1971, summarised by Budd & Stephenson (1970), included a major eruption in 1910 (Padang 1963), a flow of incandescent lava near the summit of Big Ben in 1950 — which may have been responsible for long trenches observed beside the upper Abbotsmith and Gordley Glaciers in December 1954 — and intermittent fumarole activity at various levels on the southwestern slopes between 1949 and 1954. However, by February 1963 the trenches had disappeared; crevasses at the 2100 m level gave no indication that any ash eruptions had occurred for many years; and the summit crater showed only fumarole activity when it was visited for the first time in 1965 (Temple 1966). It was concluded (Budd & Stephenson 1970) that such intermittent, minor and localised volcanic activity could not explain the widespread glacier retreat that had occurred since 1955. This conclusion has been endorsed by subsequent studies (Allison & Keage 1986, Ruddell & Allison 1998); although volcanic activity has intensified in recent years (Williams & Duhamel 1986, Green 1993a), numerical modelling (Williams 1998) suggests that volcanic activity this century has had a negligible influence on the dynamic behaviour and mass balance of the Heard Island glaciers.

Climate change

Air temperature

Annual mean air temperature at Heard Island increased by 1.8°C between 1948 and 1951, and in 1954 was still 1.3°C higher than in 1948 (Budd & Stephenson 1970). Similarly, at Kerguelen the mean air temperature throughout the 1950s was 1.3°C higher than the 30-year mean for 1902–31 (Budd & Stephenson 1970); it then decreased by about 0.8°C in the early 1960s, warmed by 1.3°C between 1964 and 1982, and thereafter remained steady until at least 1991 (Radok & Watts 1975, Allison & Keage 1986, Frenot et al. 1993). These changes in air temperature were accompanied by a steady rise in the sea-surface temperature between latitudes 30°S and 50°S, which increased by about 1.0°C from the 1930s to the 1980s (Allison & Keage 1986: fig. 8).

The timing, magnitude and direction of the above fluctuations in air temperature seem adequate to explain the accompanying glacier retreat and readvance of the 1950s and 1960s at Heard Island and Kerguelen, and the steady retreat that has subsequently occurred at both islands (Budd & Stephenson 1970, Allison & Keage 1986, Frenot et al. 1993, Garvin et al. 1999). It is clear that the glaciers of Heard Island are sensitive indicators of regional climate change. Their sensitivity is further demonstrated by the asynchronous timing of the readvances between 1963 and 1971, which presumably was due to interactions between changes in air temperature (and perhaps precipitation and cloud cover) and the individual glaciers’ aspect, topography and altitude range (Ruddell & Allison 1998).
Causes of changes in air temperature — atmospheric and oceanic circulation

Loewe et al. (1952) suggested, on the basis of sealers' records from the 1830s, that the wind regime at Heard Island and Kerguelen had changed over the past century. Subsequently, Radok & Watts (1975) analysed synoptic surface-weather charts for the period 1949-64, and concluded that above-average air temperatures and glacier recession at Heard Island and Kerguelen had occurred at a time when more low-pressure systems ("cyclones") were passing Heard Island on its southern side than on its northern side, and that the reversal of this distribution in the 1960s was accompanied by the cooler temperatures and glacier readvances observed at that time. However, a subsequent extension of this analysis to cover the period 1972-81 (Allison & Keage 1986) showed that the northerly cyclone paths had persisted although air temperature had once again increased. This finding, although reversing the relationship between cyclone paths and air temperature reported by Radok & Watts (1975), nevertheless confirmed that there had been a "strong and persistent" shift in atmospheric circulation between 1950 and 1980 (Allison & Keage 1986).

Similar changes in circulation have been inferred at other islands. At Marion Island, Smith & Steenkamp (1990) reported a strong correlation between air and sea-surface temperatures, which between 1968 and 1985 had increased by 1.6° and 1.2°C, respectively, and attributed these changes to transforming atmospheric and oceanic circulation patterns in the region. Likewise, at South Georgia, Gordon & Timmis (1992), analysing synoptic records of atmospheric pressure, attributed rising air temperatures and glacier recession since about 1950 to regional changes in atmospheric circulation. These inferences would seem to be supported by the recent finding (de la Mare 1997) that the summer sea-ice edge around Antarctica abruptly moved southwards by 2.8° of latitude between the mid 1950s and early 1970s and then stabilised in its new position.

King Penguins and Fur Seals

Possible causes of the observed recolonisations include recovery from past exploitation, climate change, and an increased food supply.

Recovery from past exploitation

Although the circumpolar increase in king penguins and fur seals over the past 40 years (Conroy & White 1973, Croxall et al. 1988, Jouvæntin & Weimerskirch 1990, Boyd 1993, Guinet et al. 1996) shows that they clearly are recovering from past exploitation, such exploitation ended at different times among the numerous islands concerned, and recovery from it therefore seems unlikely to be the sole explanation for the present increase at Heard Island.

Climate change — terrestrial effects

The observation that recolonisation of Heard Island by king penguins and fur seals coincided with a period of major glacier recession naturally raised the question whether regional warming might have been partly or wholly responsible for all three phenomena (Budd & Downes 1965, 1969). The available information about the microclimate of king penguin colonies at Heard Island and elsewhere (Budd & Downes 1965), and their apparently high rate of breeding failure at Heard Island between 1963 and 1971, appeared to support an earlier suggestion (Downes et al. 1959) that the Heard Island winter might be too severe for king penguins to raise their chicks. Moreover, the preference of both king penguins and fur seals for sheltered and sunny habitats seemed to show a degree of sensitivity to cold that might previously have discouraged them from colonising Heard Island.

However, evidence to the contrary was provided by historical records of king penguins and fur seals on Heard Island in the 19th century, when the glaciers were not in recession; by the presence of large breeding colonies of fur seals on colder islands such as the South Shetlands, both in the 19th century (Bonner 1968) and in recent decades (Bonner 1964, Boyd 1993); and by the lack of any obvious effect of the lower air temperatures during the 1960s (Budd 1975). On balance, it appeared unlikely that warmer temperatures on shore had influenced the recolonisation of Heard Island by king penguins and fur seals.

Food supply

The demonstrated importance of immigration to the increase in king penguins and fur seals at Heard Island, and the circumpolar distribution of similar increases at other islands, suggested that the main cause of recolonisation might lie as much with conditions at the migrants' parent colonies, or in the sea, as with conditions at Heard Island itself. It was therefore suggested (Budd & Downes 1965, 1969, Budd 1975) that the increase was probably a response to an increase in the availability of food, consistent with the suggestion (Sladen 1964) that a concurrent increase in Adelie and chinstrap penguins (Pygoscelis adeliae and P. antarctica) might be due to a surplus of krill resulting from the depletion of whale stocks by over-fishing (Mackintosh 1970).

Reduced competition from whales

Subsequent studies of Antarctic marine ecosystems (e.g. Croxall 1992, Guinet et al. 1996) have generally supported Sladen’s suggestion, while also identifying additional causes of population changes in seabirds and seals. Guinet et al. (1996) drew attention to the paradox that although the depletion of whale stocks ought to have mainly benefited predators of crustaceans (such as macaroni penguins, Eudyptes chrysolophus), in fact the highest population growth rates at Crozet and Kerguelen have been in king penguins and fur seals, which in this region feel mainly on pelagic lantern fish (myctophids). In explanation the authors note that Antarctic and Subantarctic myctophids are themselves major consumers of crustaceans, and they suggest that myctophid stocks may have been enhanced by the biomass of crustaceans freed by the removal of baleen whales.

Changes in atmospheric and oceanic circulation

Guinet et al. (1996), in a summary that applies equally well to Heard Island, attributed recent major changes in the populations of seabirds and seals at Crozet and Kerguelen to multiple factors, which include not only recovery from past exploitation and reduced competition from whales but also long-term fluctuations in the abundance and/or availability of the main prey species. Changes in atmospheric and oceanic circulation are major influences on such fluctuations in prey species (Pridde et al. 1988, Croxall 1992, Murphy & King 1997). Their effects on ice cover near the Antarctic Peninsula have been linked to population changes in Adelie and chinstrap penguins (Fraser et al. 1992); and El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) events have been associated
with changes in the populations of fur seals on Crozet, Amsterdam, and St Paul islands (Guinet et al. 1994), with reductions in survival and breeding success of seals and seabirds at South Georgia (Croxall et al. 1988, Pridie et al. 1988), and with fluctuations in the breeding population of elephant seals (Mirounga leonina) in the South Shetland Islands (Vergani & Stangeloni 1990).

Similar mechanisms, acting over a period of decades rather than years, may explain the changes of the past 50 years in the climate, glaciers, and animal populations of the South Indian Ocean, and possibly of the entire Subantarctic region. The strong and persistent shift in cyclone paths near Heard Island (Radok & Warts 1975, Allison & Keage 1986), and the southward movement of the summer sea-ice edge around Antarctica (de la Mare 1987), may both reflect long-term changes in atmospheric and oceanic circulation which have altered marine ecosystems in a way that has improved the food supply of king penguins and fur seals. If this suggestion is correct, then the concurrent changes of the past 50 years in the Heard Island glaciers, king penguins and fur seals may indeed have had a common origin.

CONCLUSIONS

The Heard Island glaciers have proved to be sensitive indicators of climate change in the Southern Ocean, and of the interactions between climate and glacier topography. The island’s recolonisation by king penguins and fur seals is attributed mainly to an improved food supply which may itself, like the glacier recession, be a response to changes in atmospheric and oceanic circulation. Glacier recession and its consequences are changing the island’s coastline, and the expanding populations of king penguins and fur seals are damaging the vegetation. All these phenomena, occurring as they do in an island with a hitherto undamaged ecosystem and no introduced predators, clearly warrant continuing study.
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