THE FIRST RECORD OF LARVAE OF THE GIANT CRAB PSEUDOCARCINUS GIGAS IN THE PLANKTON
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This note reports the first collection of Pseudocarcinus gigas zoeas from the plankton. Plankton samples were collected in November 1992 from oceanic waters on the edge of the continental slope near Pedra Branca, southern Tasmania (longitude 147°09'32"E to 147°28'30"E, latitude 44°11'23"S to 44°12'30"S). Although samples were collected during the period when P. gigas larvae would be expected to be abundant, only three stage-2 P. gigas zoeas were captured from a total of 342 Brachyuran larvae. All three zoas were captured in the upper 100 m of water and were from different samples taken during both day and night.
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INTRODUCTION

Giant crabs are the basis of a small, high-value fishery in Tasmania, which developed in 1991, mainly around the northeast and northwest of the State. An important aspect of the biology of the species for management is the larval development which influences dispersal and, thus, likelihood of local depletion. Although several laboratory studies have been conducted on the larvae of the giant crab, no larvae or recently settled juveniles have been collected from the wild. Observations on natural distribution are clearly important for validating laboratory studies on vertical migration behaviour (Gardner 1996).

METHODS

Plankton samples were collected from oceanic waters in the vicinity of Pedra Branca off southern Tasmania (within the region, longitude 147°09'32"E to 147°28'30"E, latitude 44°11'23"S to 44°12'30"S) in November 1992. This location is at the southern limit of the range of P. gigas, which extends from southern Western Australia to southern New South Wales (McNeill 1920). Based on the catch rates of commercial fishes (Gardner 1998), there appears to be low abundance of adult giant crabs in southern Tasmania relative to more northern areas.

Samples were collected near the edge of the continental shelf, which is where the fishery is based and, also, where larval release is thought to occur (Levings et al. 1996). The timing of sampling coincided with the period when larvae would be expected to be in the water column, as hatching occurs in October and November (Gardner 1996). Larval development of P. gigas in the laboratory is relatively long, with an average duration of 92 days through five zoeal stages and a megalopa to crab 1 (Gardner & Quintana 1998).

Brachyuran larvae were collected in plankton tows at ten sampling depths, from 10 to 900 m, collected at nine periods over 48 hours. Different depths were sampled in a continuous tow using an EZ plankton net (1 m² mouth) deployed from the CSIRO’s FRV Southern Surveyor (modified Tucker trawl, see Harding et al. 1987). Bottom depth ranged from 965 to 1584 m and sampling of plankton tows was at 100 m intervals, from 10 to 900 m depth. The volume of water filtered at each sampling depth ranged between 1650 and 550 m³ and averaged 1140 m³. Sampling was conducted almost continually over 14 and 15 November 1992.

Counts of Brachyuran larvae include both zoas and megalopas. Only higher Brachyuran larvae were sorted given in Gardner & Quintana 1998). Identification was based on form of the telson, presence of a dorsal spine on the first abdominal somite, and on the setation patterns of the maxillule and maxillae (full description given in Gardner & Quintana 1998).

A total of 342 Brachyuran larvae were collected and, of these, only three were identified as Pseudocarcinus gigas. Larval development was based on form of the telson, presence of a dorsal spine on the first abdominal somite, and on the setation patterns of the maxillule and maxillae (full description given in Gardner & Quintana 1998).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen number</th>
<th>Sample time (24 hr clock)</th>
<th>Sample depth (m)</th>
<th>Mean temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Density of total Brachyuran larvae (larvae/1000 m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.17-4.37</td>
<td>10-100</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.09-9.12</td>
<td>10-100</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.01-18.55</td>
<td>10-100</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
observations on the response of *P. gigas* larvae to light, pressure, gravity, currents and temperature. This research predicted that early stage zoeas would be found near the surface at all times of the day, unless temperature exceeded 16.2°C (Gardner 1996, and unpublished data on behavioural response to temperature).

Brachyuran larvae were distributed predominantly in the surface waters, above 100 m, and this distribution did not appear to be affected by time of sampling (approximately 98% of zoeas captured were in the 10–100 m sample). No diel vertical migration cycles were apparent although this may have been due to the low resolution of samples with grouping of zoeas from the upper 100 m. Only one sample appeared to have a different pattern of larval distribution, with most brachyuran larvae at >800 m (midday sample: 11.34–14.30 h: four zoeas in 10–100 m; ten zoeas in 800–900 m). The presence of zoeas at this depth is rare (Rice 1979), although very few larvae were captured in these tows (n = 14), so the unusual depth distribution may be spurious. Temperature declined steadily with depth and there were no indications of thermoclines which may influence larval distribution (McConnaughey & Sulkin 1984).

The presence of very few *P. gigas* larvae in samples is probably due to the southern latitude of the sampling program. Hatching should have occurred prior to the sampling and the presence of stage-2 zoeas also suggests that the plankton sampling was after the peak period of hatch. The duration of the zoeal stages in the laboratory is around 50 days (Gardner & Northam 1997), which indicates that the more benthic megalopa stage would not be found before late December. Consequently, the low capture rate of *P. gigas* zoeas may have been due to limited larval supply at this southerly latitude, although it is also possible that larvae released from this region had been dispersed by currents prior to sampling.
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