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by R. Mesibov and H. Ruhberg

(with two text-figures and four plates)


Tasmanipatus barretti and T. anophtalmus are parapatrically distributed in northeastern Tasmania with known ranges of about 600 km² and 200 km² respectively. Both species occur in wet sclerophyll forest. Both appear to tolerate habitat disturbance such as occasional bushfires, but are eliminated by forest clearing for agriculture or pine plantations. Both are found in forest reserves, and are to be further protected by a habitat management programme devised by the Tasmanian Forestry Commission.
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INTRODUCTION

Two rare and unusual species of peripatopsid onychophorans have recently been found in northeastern Tasmania. One species, *Tasmanipatus barretti*, locally known as the giant velvet worm, is the largest Tasmanian onychophoran. *Tasmanipatus barretti* extends to 75 mm in length when walking and is pink-mauve above with a white underside. It was apparently collected and photographed more than 50 years ago (Barrett 1938), although the first museum specimens, from the St Helens area, were taken in 1984. The second species, *T. anophtalmus*, the blind velvet worm, is eyeless, completely white and up to 50 mm long. It was first collected near St Marys in 1987 by a party led by N. Tait and D. Briscoe of Macquarie University, New South Wales. Both species are viviparous with 15 pairs of legs.

In this paper details of the distribution, habitats and conservation status of the two rare onychophorans are presented. Taxonomic descriptions are provided in an accompanying article (Ruhberg et al. 1991).

METHODS

The distribution maps in figures 1 and 2 are based on data from the following unpublished sources: (a) a report on a Tasmanian onychophoran survey by N. Tait and D. Briscoe, 1987 (8 localities); (b) a report on a *Tasmanipatus* mapping study by RM, 1987 (51 localities); (c) records of incidental collections by RM and P. Bell during a snail survey in the Douglas-Apsley area, 1988 (14 localities); (d) a report on an onychophoran mapping and conservation study in eastern Tasmania by RM, 1988 (34 localities); (e) records of collections by M. Luttrell (*T. anophtalmus* 1988, 4 localities) and C. Stanley (*T. barretti* 1988, 1 locality); (f) records of incidental collections by RM during a snail survey near Pioneer, 1989 (11 localities); (g) records of observations during a *Tasmanipatus* conservation study by R. Taylor and RM, 1989 (4 localities); (h) records of collections by HR and RM during a *Tasmanipatus* ecology study, 1989 (13 localities); and (i) records of incidental collections by RM during private field trips, 1984-90 (13 localities).

At each site visited in studies (a), (b), (d) and (h), onychophorans were hunted by gently breaking apart rotting logs and stumps. Less thorough inspections were made under intact logs and fallen limbs, under stones and in well-rotted accumulations of plant litter.

In their natural habitat, such as logs and stumps, onychophorans are easily missed, even by experienced observers. However, it is unlikely that the relatively conspicuous *Tasmanipatus* species were overlooked at sites where the cryptically-coloured *Oesperipatellus insignis* (Dendy 1890) was collected (see fig. 1).

Voucher specimens were taken at all but a few localities and were killed and preserved in 70–75% ethanol or isopropanol. In all cases the locality was recorded as a six-digit grid reference (e.g. FP028965 for a locality on St Patricks Head), which pinpoints the collecting site within a square 100 × 100 m. Apart from a small number of specimens required for further study, all voucher material has been deposited in Tasmanian museums (116 *T. barretti* and 47 *T. anophtalmus* at the Queen Victoria Museum, Launceston; three *T. barretti* and five *T. anophtalmus* at the Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, Hobart).

RESULTS

Distribution and Habitat

*Tasmanipatus barretti* ranges over about 600 km²; the distribution area includes the Avenue/Scamander River, Golden Fleece Rivulet and George River catchments, the Dans Rivulet and Evercreech Rivulet catchments on the upper South Esk River and most of the major coastal creek catchments between St Helens and Chain of Lagoons (fig. 1). *Tasmanipatus barretti* occurs at about 20 m elevation within 0.5 km of the sea at Beaumaris and Four Mile Creek, and above 400 m elevation near South Sister and Mt Young. In the drier portion of its range, near the coast, it is found along...
Inside the “habitat island” of Plate 1. Woody litter is moss-covered under 100% canopy cover. Ground fern is Polystichum proliferum. White stick is 1 m long.
The oviparous onychophoran _Ooperipatellus insignis_ occurs on both sides of the mixing zone. It was found in the same log as _T. barretti_ at a locality near St Helens, and in the same log as _T. anophthalmus_ at two localities near St Marys.

**Microhabitat and Biology**

Both _Tasmanipatus_ species have most often been found in fallen logs of mature eucalypts (0.5–1 m in diameter) lying close to flowlines. Both species have been recovered from unburned, surface-charred and deeply burned logs; from bare logs lying in full sun and moss-covered logs under 100% canopy cover; and from intact, nearly cylindrical logs as well as logs collapsed to ground level. Although within the logs the onychophoran's immediate surroundings were invariably moist, the extent of decay ranged from unrotted wood (specimen under loose bark) to friable material and the clay-like 'mud guts' associated with termite activity. At two sites _T. anophthalmus_ was found under stones on shaded ground. _Tasmanipatus barretti_ has been collected from the "litter cone" around the base of a standing, mature eucalypt, and from a pile of rotting bark waste about ten years post logging. Neither species has been seen to emerge from shelter at night, although it is believed that both species do so in order to disperse, mate and perhaps hunt. Young _T. barretti_ of approximately equal size are sometimes found in close proximity in a single log, suggesting that a brood may shelter together for some time before dispersing.

![FIG. 2 — Onychophoran localities in the Dublin Town area, 6 km northwest of St Marys. • = T. barretti, ○ = T. anophthalmus, ◆ = Ooperipatellus insignis. Roads and tracks omitted for clarity. Shaded areas are cleared land. The two hills at lower right are North Sister (above) and South Sister (below). Numbered creeks: (1) and (2) Scales Ck, (3) Catos Ck, (4) Bolpeys Ck, (5) Binns Ck, (6) Workers Ck, (7) and (8) Yorkys Ck. Map adapted from TASMAP 1:25 000 Dublin Town sheet; contour elevations are in metres.](image)

The microhabitats occupied by the two species are rich in litter fauna, but neither feeding by, nor predation upon either species has been observed in the field. Associated fauna include trichid flatworms, the land nemertine _Argunemertes australiensis_, oligochaetes, isopods, amphipods, spiders, mites, the scorpion _Cercopithecus squama_, harvestmen, centipedes, millipedes, symphylans, collembolans, cockroaches, termites, crickets, dipteran larvae, beetle larvae and adults (chiefly carabids, elaterids, lucanids and tenebrionids), ants, snails and occasional skinks. In captivity _T. anophthalmus_ will eat killed termites and isopods (M. Lutrell, pers. comm.), and _T. barretti_ will catch and eat live workers of _Porosternes adansonii_, the common dampwood termite of Tasmania.

One specimen of _T. anophthalmus_ gave birth to 12 live and two dead young shortly after her capture (M. Lutrell, pers. comm.); a second female produced 16 live young under similar circumstances. Birth of live young has not yet been observed in _T. barretti_.

Phoretic mites have been found on both _Tasmanipatus_ species and will be described in a future publication.

**Conservation**

**Impact**

The geographical ranges of _T. barretti_ and _T. anophthalmus_ cannot be drawn precisely on a map, due to the small number of known localities and the evidently fragmented nature of the distributions. Nevertheless, an assessment of European impacts on their combined range has been attempted (Mesibov 1988), and a summary of that assessment is presented here.

From present-day vegetation patterns and a limited number of historical sources (travellers' accounts, local histories and early photographs), it seems likely that, prior to European settlement in the early 1890s, virtually the whole of the combined range was covered in eucalypt forest and woodland, the largest exception being the Break O'Day Plains, west of St Marys.

To date, only a small proportion of the forest cover has been removed and replaced by pasture, forestry plantations or town developments, three land uses which are incompatible with onychophoran survival. _Tasmanipatus barretti_ has probably lost habit to farms on Dans and Evercreech Rivulets and the George River, and along the coastal strip between St Helens and Chain of Lagoons, notably in the wide clearing at Falmouth. Plantations of _Pinus radiata_ have almost certainly displaced _T. barretti_ in the Evercreech Rivulet catchment and on Skyline and Loila Tiers, and possibly also at Saddleback Plantation near Mathinna. A significant area on the upper Break O'Day River flats has been developed as pasture; however, it is unclear whether these flats (which included the Break O'Day Plains) supported suitable habitat for _T. anophthalmus_ prior to settlement. In the Mt Elephant area, the 5 km × 5 km block centred on the Tasman Highway/Mt Elephant Road junction (corners at FP010920, FP060920, FP060870 and FP010870) is known to be well-populated by _T. anophthalmus_, within this block about 12 km² have been alienated, of which 3.2 km² have so far been deforested.

Neither _Tasmanipatus_ species seems to suffer from "edge effects". Juveniles and adults can be found within a few metres of a road clearing or grass paddock. Both species have been collected from selectively logged forest, and at
abandoned mining sites where the surrounding forest has recolonised disturbed ground.

The impact of fire is of particular interest. There is clear evidence of fire at all but one of the c. 100 sites where either species of *Tasmanipatus* has been collected. Many of these sites carry eucalypt regrowth dating from an intense establishment fire, or fires, which killed understorey vegetation. A number of collections of *T. barretti* and *T. anophthalmus* were from rot pockets within eucalypt logs with recently burned ends and blackened surfaces (pl. 3); *T. barretti* has been found in charcoal deposits within such logs. From this evidence it is inferred that *Tasmanipatus* populations will tolerate occasional burning of their forest habitat. However, within the ranges of both species there are forest patches where onychophorans were expected but not found, and where the vegetation pattern and a lack of large logs indicated a history of frequent or very hot burning. Hence, it is concluded that too-frequent burning or fires of too high intensity can eliminate *T. barretti* and *T. anophthalmus* from otherwise suitable forest habitat.

Habitat management

More than 80% of the range of *T. barretti* site forest, most of the remainder being partly cleared private property. The Tasmanian Forestry Commission has recently developed forest-management guidelines aimed at conserving *Tasmanipatus* in state forest (R. Taylor, pers. comm.). Fire damage is to be avoided in "habitat islands" of wet sclerophyll vegetation along flowlines and on south- and east-facing slopes, and wildlife priority areas (WPA) have been established in which onychophoran conservation has a higher priority than wood production. In the *T. barretti* range there are four such WPA, each of which contains relatively large blocks of wet sclerophyll habitat known to be populated by this species, the aggregate area being about 1700 ha. Protection from logging disturbance is also afforded in three forest reserves from which *T. barretti* has been recorded: Mathinna Falls (250 ha), Evercreech (52 ha), and Scamander (210 ha). *Tasmanipatus anophthalmus* is to be conserved in the Mt Elephant WPA (1950 ha), which overlaps the 300 ha Lower Marsh Creek Forest Reserve. Fire protection for *T. anophthalmus* populations in state forest outside the Mt Elephant WPA is to be provided under the management guidelines referred to above.

The St Patricks Head State Reserve (150 ha) and the recently proclaimed Douglas-Apsley National Park (about 16 000 ha), both of which contain *T. anophthalmus* populations, are managed by the Tasmanian Department of Parks, Wildlife and Heritage. The Department has not yet announced plans specifically aimed at conserving onychophorans on crown land under its jurisdiction.

No formal protection is available for *Tasmanipatus* populations on private property.

DISCUSSION

Absence of Eyes and Pigmentation

The absence of eyes and pigmentation in *T. anophthalmus* are characters shared by the South African peripatopsid *Peripatopsis delina* (Lawrence 1931) and the Jamaican peripatid *Speleoperipatus speleus* (Peck 1975). However, the latter two species are troglobites restricted in each case to a single cave system, whereas *T. anophthalmus* occurs in forest litter throughout its known range. In this respect the Tasmanian species resembles the eyeless but pigmented peripatid *Typhloperipatus williamsoni*, found under stones in scrub jungle in the eastern Himalayan foothills (Kemp 1914).

Speculation that *Tasmanipatus anophthalmus* may be a cave-evolved species which has adapted to the forest habitat is encouraged by the fact that its distribution overlaps a cluster of Permian limestone outcrops in the St Marys area (Tasmanian Department of Mines 1984), and that the centre of its distribution, Mt Elephant, contains a small cave system (Matthews 1985). However, a 1988 search for *T. anophthalmus* in several holes and passages in the Mt Elephant karst was unsuccessful (S. Eberhard, pers. comm.).

Two confounding environmental factors need to be considered. The first is that the *T. anophthalmus* range roughly coincides with a high-rainfall anomaly. Moist onshore winds are cooled as they rise up the eastern faces of the hills near St Marys, producing cloud near the summits. Annual rainfall at Gray, on Mt Elephant's southwestern flank, is 1261 mm, compared to 1032 mm at St Marys and 724 mm in the nearby coastal town of Scamander (G. Leeds, Bureau of Meteorology, pers. comm.). Another feature of the orogenic rain is the intensity of individual rainfalls, which are believed to be the heaviest in Tasmania (Pilgrim 1987). The second factor is that the *T. anophthalmus* distribution overlaps that of talus and lag deposits of Jurassic dolerite on the Nicholas Range, St Patricks Head, Mt Elephant and the coastal hills running south from Chain of Lagoons (Tasmania Department of Mines 1984). Examination of this talus at Cheeseberry Hill (pl. 4) and Thompsons Marshes revealed *Ooperipatellus insignis* and other litter invertebrates in the first metre of depth. It is conceivable that *T. anophthalmus* is living microcavernicolously in the talus, but an assessment of the relative sizes of epigean and hypogean populations of this species would be an extremely difficult undertaking.

In summary, the authors are not convinced that the lack of eyes and pigmentation in *T. anophthalmus* are "cave adaptations", but are unable to explain either the origin or the ecological significance of this combination of features.
The parapatry exhibited by the two *Tasmanipatus* species is likely to be a Holocene development, since eastern Tasmania is believed to have had a "glacial-arid" climate prior to about 11 500 BP (Macphail 1975). The presumed mixing zone may have arisen when the two species moved inland from neighbouring areas of recolonisation close to the present coastline. Because we have recorded only *T. barretti* in the lower reaches of catchments inhabited by both species, and because *T. anophthalmus* is likely to be carried downstream in logs during floods on those creeks, it appears that the former excludes the latter, and that the parapatric boundary may be moving upstream into the range of *T. anophthalmus*.

Management Recommendations

Habitat management as proposed by the Forestry Commission appears to be a practical means of conserving both *Tasmanipatus* species over most of their known ranges. The use of cool, fuel-reduction burning in dry forest to protect "habitat islands" of wet sclerophyll forest and scrub is supported. However, the two rare onychophoran species remain at risk of local extinction through catastrophic wildfire, and developments such as the new Four Mile Creek Road (linking Falmouth and Chain of Lagoons) and associated residential clearings are a potential source of fire threatening *T. anophthalmus* in the Mt Elephant area.

*Tasmanipatus barretti* and *T. anophthalmus* could also become locally extinct through overcollecting and destruction of microhabitats by collectors. As a conservation measure, investigators should borrow preserved material from existing collections at the Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery, Launceston or the Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, Hobart, rather than seek fresh specimens.
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