


Modelling of a Sediment Bank along the King River, Western Tasmania

Kate Polglase



UNIVERSITY OF TASMANIA

A research thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the Degree of
Bachelor of Science/Law with Honours



School of Earth Sciences, University of Tasmania

November 2000

CONTENTS

Abstract	<i>i</i>
Figures	<i>v</i>
Tables	<i>vii</i>
Plates	<i>viii</i>
Equations	<i>ix</i>
Acknowledgements	<i>x</i>

Chapter 1

Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 King River Setting	1
1.3 Formation of Sediment Banks	3
1.4 Environmental Concern	4
1.5 Thesis Aims and Objectives	5
1.6 Previous Work	6

Chapter 2

Design and Methods of Hydrogeological Investigation	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Monitoring Network	7
2.2.1 Placement of Piezometers	7
2.2.2 Design and Construction of Piezometers	10
2.2.3 Emplacement of peizometers	10
2.2.4 Preparation for monitoring	12
2.2.5 Monitoring groundwater levels	13
2.3 Monitoring hydraulic properties	14

Chapter 3

Hydrogeology of sediment bank H.....	16
3.1 Introduction.....	16
3.2 Hydrological Analysis Methods.....	16
3.2.1 Hydraulic data.....	16
3.2.2 Hydraulic Conductivity	17
3.3 Groundwater flow direction.....	20
3.3.1 Vertical recharge zones	23
3.4 Groundwater flow rates	28
3.4.1 Hydraulic Conductivity	28
3.4.2 Calculated hydraulic gradients	29
3.4.3 Discharge calculations	33
3.5 Limitations of analytical method	37
3.6 Conclusion	38

Chapter 4

Geophysical Investigation.....	40
4.1 Introduction.....	40
4.2 Purpose of geophysical methods.....	40
4.2.1 Electrical and electromagnetic methods	41
4.2.2 Seismic.....	41
4.3 DC resistivity	43
4.3.1 Method	43
4.3.2 Results.....	43
4.3.3 Reliability of the model	45
4.4 Electromagnetics	45
4.4.1 EM31	46
4.4.2 Method	47
4.4.3 Results.....	47
4.5 EM47	52
4.5.1 Method	53

4.5.2 Results.....	53
4.6 Seismic refraction.....	53
4.6.1 Method.....	53
4.6.2 Results	55
4.7 Conclusions.....	57

Chapter 5

Numerical Simulation.....	59
5.1 Introduction.....	59
5.2 Groundwater Modelling	59
5.2.1 Data requirements.....	59
5.2.2 Scale.....	60
5.3 Groundwater modelling methodology.....	60
5.3.1 Conceptual Model.....	60
5.3.2 Mathematical Model	61
5.3.3 Calibration.....	66
5.3.4 Zonations.....	66
5.4 MODPATH.....	69
5.5 Numerical Modelling Results	69
5.6 Limitations of Models	75
5.7 Conclusions.....	76

Chapter 6

Conclusions	78
--------------------------	-----------

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Location map of the King River, showing the location of the study area (red square)	2
Figure 1.2	Topographic surface contour image	6
Figure 2.1	Piezometer location map	9
Figure 2.2	Location of piezometers in relation to topography.....	11
Figure 2.3	Two methods for calculating hydraulic head from from survey and field data	14
Figure 2.4	The geometry of the Hvlorslev rising head test (a) and (b) the method of analysis	15
Figure 3.1	Recovery response for piezometer 11.....	18
Figure 3.2	Retarded initial response for recovery for piezometer 1.....	19
Figure 3.3	Hydraulic contours	22
Figure 3.4	Vertical hydraulic differences in pressure between upper and lower piezometer values	24
Figure 3.5	Cross sections of piezometer traverses illustrating hydraulic gradients, hydraulic head and potentiometric heads in hypothesised confined scenarios.....	24
Figure 3.6	Schematic representation of how Darcy's law can be used to calculate apparent velocity	29
Figure 3.7	For calculation of groundwater discharge, the bank was divided into alphabetical sectors	31
Figure 3.8	3-Dimensional image of hydraulic head values from shallow piezometers	32
Figure 3.9	Profile of Bank H.....	34
Figure 3.10	Actual velocities for each sector of bank H	35
Figure 3.11	Total discharge rates for each sector at H.....	37
Figure 4.1	Resistivity vs. wt% of sulphides.....	41

Figure 4.2	Location of seismic, EM31, Protem and DC resistivity traverses	42
Figure 4.3	DC resistivity RINVERT model at bank H	44
Figure 4.4	Schematic diagram of the inphase and quadrature components of secondary response	46
Figure 4.5	Coils of wire in the horizontal coplanar orientation.....	47
Figure 4.6	Quadrature and in-phase EM31 images for the vertical and horizontal coil orientation.....	48
Figure 4.7	Apparent resistivity derived form EM31	50
Figure 4.8	Comparison of equipotential contours.....	51
Figure 4.9	Apparent resistivity-depth pseudosection from EM47	54
Figure 4.10	Schematic representation of seismic set up.....	54
Figure 4.11	Seismic interpretation	56
Figure 5.1	Schematic representation of the feature of the groundwater system of bank H along the King River	61
Figure 5.2	A cross-section illustrating an example of some of the imported hydrological framework requirements for the mathematical model.....	64
Figure 5.3	Plan view images of the surface layer of bank H.....	67
Figure 5.4	Schematic illustration of the mass balance calculations for the sediment bank	68
Figure 5.5	Groundwater discharge zone budget	70
Figure 5.6	Low hydraulic conductivity lenses will result in increased velocities in the vertical component of flow.....	70
Figure 5.7	Groundwater discharge zone budget	71
Figure 5.8	When the upper most high K layers are removed from the model, discharge rates directly to the river decrease	72
Figure 5.9	Calibration residual for calculated versus observed head ...	73
Figure 5.10	A comparison of the zone budgets	73
Figure 5.11	Simulation of a hypothetical development of a hardpan layer	74
Figure 5.12	Simulation for a model with a no flow northern boundary and K lenses.	76

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Number and location of piezometers and their depths	8
Table 3.1	Possible reasons for why recovery tests between the two field trips yielded inconsistent T_0	20
Table 3.2	The hydraulic head difference between deep and shallow piezometers	23
Table 3.3	Estimates of Hydraulic Conductivity from rising head tests .	29
Table 3.4	Hydraulic gradients from the furthest northern piezometer...	30
Table 3.5	Hydraulic gradients between piezometers	32
Table 3.6	Hydraulic gradients between piezometers	32
Table 3.7	Hydraulic gradient parallel to the river.....	33
Table 3.8	Comparison of hydraulic conductivity and hydraulic gradient at bank H and bank D.....	35
Table 3.9	Residence times of groundwater dependent on actual velocity	36
Table 3.10	Actual velocity and discharge for horizontal flow parallel to the river on the 24 th July and 24 th August.....	37
Table 4.1	Resistivity and corresponding depth estimates for each layer from the Rinvert program.....	44
Table 4.2	Velocity calculations of layer one and two in meters per second for each shot	55
Table 4.3	Calculated thickness for V2 using reciprocal methods	55
Table 5.1	Hydraulic stress values applied to models for each day of measurement	65

LIST OF PLATES

Plate 1.1	Sediment stratigraphy exposed in bank M showing levee structure of original sediment bank prior to tailings deposition.....	3
Plate 2.1	The construction of a piezometer	11
Plate 2.2	A discontinuous possible confining clay lens.....	12
Plate 3.1	Surficial hardpans may suggest occurrence subsurface	27

LISTS OF EQUATIONS

Equation 1.1	4
Equation 3.1	17
Equation 3.2	28
Equation 3.3	28
Equation 5.1	61
Equation 5.2	62

Acknowledgements

A thesis requires a great deal of work, not solely from the author. Many thanks need be directed towards my co supervisors, James Reid and Jianwen Yang. James for the patience in teaching geophysics to a novice and Jianwen for the enlightening discussions about MODFLOW.

Appreciation is extended to my field trip colleagues: Jane, Shane, Nickki, Noel, Dad and Roachie and the 3rd year geophysics class.

People who have helped with this project in some way or another, the list is endless: Bear McPhail, Helen Locher, Hydro Tasmania, Peter Cornish, Gary Davidson. Special thanks to Rachael Manson, from surveying, for devoting so much time to datum points that fluctuate.

I'm very grateful to June Pongratz, who bribed Darren Turner with chocolate in exchange for computer memory for MODFLOW operation.

Special people to thank are the great people around the department who go out of their way to help, your time and your laughs were very much appreciated and needed. Andrew Fitzpatrick, Kevin, Rowdy, Catherine, Cathryn, Ali, Rob, Andrew Rae, Kellie, Cynthia, Mary and all the poppets.

Thanks to all my friends (if I've got any left now).

Special thanks to the stimulant companies such as Nodoz and Redbull. Your supported was greatly appreciated.

Lastly I would like to thank my family for the tremendous support they have all been over the last several months, and for leaving the house unlocked at wee hours of the morning. The question "so how is the modelling going?" will haunt me forever.

This thesis is dedicated to my sister Alice.