

Except where stated herein, this thesis contains no material that has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university. To the best of my knowledge and belief, this thesis contains no copy or paraphrase of material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text of the thesis.

This thesis may be made available for loan and limited copying in accordance with the Copyright Act 1968.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "G. Ridley".

Gail Ridley

May 2000

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I gratefully acknowledge the considerable support and assistance of my supervisors, Professor Chris Keen from the School of Information Systems at the University of Tasmania in Hobart and Professor Glenn Lowry from the Department of Information Systems at the Victoria University in Melbourne.

I am indebted to Dr David Woodward from the Discipline of Biochemistry at the University of Tasmania for his altruism in providing feedback on the thesis draft. To many others who have given me assistance and support, a humble thankyou is due.

ABSTRACT

There are many advantages in understanding the nature of a discipline and how progress has been achieved in its development. Although it has been recognised since the 1970s that disciplinary development is a social process, there is a dearth of empirical studies about the development of the IS discipline set within such a context. Instead, studies of the development of the IS discipline treated it as “historically and sociologically isolated” (Whitley 1984) and generally referred to theoretical or methodological issues.

This broad ranging empirical study examined mainstream Information Systems (IS) research in Australian universities between 1980 and 1996 and compared it against three models developed to predict its evolution. The investigation had three goals, the first of which was to investigate the demography of Australian IS researchers by examining their numbers and research education between 1980 and 1996. The second goal was to characterise Australian academic IS researcher output during the investigation period. Multiple facets of the phenomenon were examined for the characterisation, including the scope, epistemology, research methods and quality of the research published over the period. The results of the first two goals were used to achieve the third goal, which was to determine the degree of diversity displayed in Australian IS academic research between 1980 and 1996. The third goal tested an assumption in the literature of increasing diversity in IS research (Benbasat & Weber 1996).

Another objective of the study that was undertaken in parallel with the achievement of the three goals was to investigate the contribution of some social mechanisms and processes to the development of the IS discipline in a region. In order to be able to do so, the role of IS-specific research education, journals and conferences, scientific communities and researcher collaboration was examined.

The methods used in the study included email surveys of 201 Australian IS researchers and a literature analysis of 336 Australian refereed papers from ten leading publication outlets.

Although other factors had impacted on its development, the pattern of change of the research higher education of the researchers was found to be a major influence on the nature and development of Australian IS research for the period. It was concluded that the development of IS research higher degree programmes contributed to the

establishment of Australian IS mainstream research traditions and invisible colleges, which appeared from around 1993.

Despite an expectation of increased diversity in Australian IS research some convincing indications of convergence were found, signs that are likely to be associated with a positive development of the Australian IS research discipline and its traditions.

NOTE

Papers published by the candidate in association with this thesis have been listed in the Table of Contents. Copies of the papers have been included in a pocket inside the back cover.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
CHAPTER 1.....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 OVERVIEW OF INVESTIGATION	1
1.2 THE PROBLEM ADDRESSED IN THIS THESIS	5
<i>1.2.1 Identification of Research and Broad Problem Area.....</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>1.2.2 Description of Research Area and Justification for Investigation.....</i>	<i>6</i>
1.3 OBJECTIVES OF THE RESEARCH	16
<i>1.3.1 Research Questions</i>	<i>17</i>
1.4 CONTRIBUTIONS TO KNOWLEDGE	20
<i>1.4.1 Practical Applications</i>	<i>20</i>
1.5 SCOPE OF STUDY.....	25
<i>1.5.1 Assumptions.....</i>	<i>25</i>
<i>1.5.2 Inclusions.....</i>	<i>27</i>
<i>1.5.3 Exclusions and Limitations.....</i>	<i>28</i>
1.6 OVERVIEW OF CHAPTER	30
1.7 STRUCTURE OF THESIS.....	31

CHAPTER 2	33
LITERATURE REVIEW	33
2.1 CHAPTER OVERVIEW	33
2.2 FRAMEWORKS TO DESCRIBE CHANGE IN FIELDS OF KNOWLEDGE.....	33
2.3 WHAT IS IS?	42
2.3.1 <i>The Name of the IS Discipline</i>	45
2.4 HISTORICAL OVERVIEW OF IS.....	46
2.4.1 <i>Commencement Date of IS</i>	47
2.5 DOMINANT CHARACTERISTICS OF IS RESEARCH	48
2.5.1 <i>Nature of IS Research</i>	48
2.5.2 <i>Reference Disciplines of IS</i>	50
2.5.3 <i>Degree of Cumulative Research Tradition in IS</i>	52
2.5.4 <i>Diversity in IS Research</i>	57
2.5.5 <i>Collaboration in Research</i>	62
2.5.6 <i>Status of IS Versus Other Academic Disciplines</i>	70
2.6 PAST ATTEMPTS TO CLARIFY THE NATURE OF IS RESEARCH.....	82
2.6.1 <i>IS Research Frameworks</i>	82
2.6.2 <i>Methodological Approaches to IS</i>	85
2.7 NATURE OF IS PUBLICATION OUTLETS.....	93
2.7.1 <i>IS Journals</i>	94
2.7.2 <i>IS Textbooks and Research Books</i>	95
2.7.3 <i>IS Conferences</i>	96
2.8 CHARACTERISTICS OF IS RESEARCHERS	97
2.8.1 <i>Numbers of IS Researchers</i>	97
2.8.2 <i>The Research Education of IS Academics</i>	98
2.9 IS REGIONALISM	101
2.10 DEVELOPMENT OF IS ACADEMIC RESEARCH IN AUSTRALIA.....	103
2.10.1 <i>Australian IS Conferences</i>	106
2.10.2 <i>Australian IS Journals</i>	107
2.11 CONCLUSIONS FROM THE LITERATURE	107

CHAPTER 3	113
METHODOLOGY.....	113
3.1 INTRODUCTION AND CHAPTER OVERVIEW	113
3.2 OVERVIEW OF MODEL 1: DEMOGRAPHY OF AUSTRALIAN IS RESEARCHERS	114
3.2.1 <i>Component and Functions of Model 1</i>	115
3.2.2 <i>Exposition of Model 1</i>	115
3.3 OVERVIEW OF MODEL 2: CHARACTERISATION OF AUSTRALIAN IS RESEARCH OUTPUT ..	116
3.3.1 <i>Components and Functions of Model 2</i>	117
3.3.2 <i>Exposition of Model 2</i>	119
3.4 OVERVIEW OF MODEL 3	126
3.4.1 <i>Components and Functions of Model 3</i>	126
3.4.2 <i>Interactions Between Components 1-7; Description</i>	127
3.5 EPISTEMOLOGICAL POSITION OF THIS INVESTIGATION	131
3.6 RESEARCH AIMS.....	132
3.7 METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH.....	133
3.8 PERIOD INVESTIGATED.....	136
3.9 DETAILED METHOD FOR MODEL COMPONENTS	137
3.9.1 <i>General Issues of Survey Methodology Relevant to Components 1 and 2</i>	138
3.9.2 <i>Specific Interrelated Survey Methods Used in Components 1 and 2</i>	141
3.9.3 <i>Independent Detailed Methods for Model Components</i>	147
3.10 CHAPTER SUMMARY.....	190

CHAPTER 4.....	195
ANALYSIS, RESULTS AND DISCUSSION FOR MODELS 1 AND 2	195
4.1 CHAPTER OVERVIEW	195
4.2 RESULTS OF COMPONENT 1: NUMBERS AND RESEARCH EDUCATION OF RESEARCHERS .	196
4.2.1 Responses to General Email Survey.....	196
4.2.2 Classification of Email Survey Respondents.....	198
4.2.3 Growth in Number of IS Academic Researchers, 1980-1996	200
4.2.3 Educational Characteristics of Researchers, 1980-1996.....	201
4.2.4 Trends in Educational Characteristics of IS Researchers, 1980-1996	202
4.1.6 Trends in Australian IS Researchers, 1980-1996: Discussion.....	204
4.3 RESULTS OF COMPONENT 2: PUBLICATION OUTLETS.....	206
4.3.1 Publication Outlets from IS Expert Researchers	207
4.3.1.1 Ranking of Publication Outlets by Experts	208
4.3.2 Publication Outlets from General IS Researchers	215
4.4 RESULTS OF COMPONENT 3: PUBLICATION PATTERNS & COLLABORATION	217
4.4.1 Most Published Universities.....	217
4.4.2 Most Published Departments	218
4.4.3 Most Published Authors	219
4.4.4 Collaboration.....	220
4.4.5 Author Characteristics	224
4.4.6 Summary of Component 3 Results: Publications Patterns and Collaboration	226
4.5 RESULTS OF COMPONENT 4: SCOPE	226
4.5.1 Publication Patterns for Scope Subgroups of the Category A, Reference Disciplines	232
4.5.2 Publication Patterns for Scope Subgroups of the Category B, External Environment.....	241
4.5.3 Publication Patterns for Scope Subgroups of the Category C, Information Technology	243
4.5.4 Publication Patterns for Scope Subgroups of the Category D, Organizational Environnt	245
4.5.5 Publication Patterns for Scope Subgroups of the Category E, IS Management.....	248
4.5.6 Publication Patterns for Scope Subgroups of the Category F, IS Devt and Operations	256
4.5.7 Publication Patterns for Scope Subgroups of the Category G, IS Usage	260
4.5.8 Publication Patterns for Scope Subgroups of the Category H, Information Systems	262
4.5.9 Publication Patterns for Scope Subgroups of the Category I, IS Education & Research.....	265
4.5.10 Scope Themes of Increasing and Declining Interest.....	269
4.5.11 Taxonomy of Scope of IS Australian Mainstream Published Research	270
4.6 RESULTS OF COMPONENT 5: TIME PERIOD (STUDY DESIGN).....	272
4.6.1 One-Shot Investigations	274
4.6.2 Longitudinal Investigations.....	275

4.6.3 Multiple Snapshot Investigations.....	276
4.6.4 Process Trace Investigations.....	277
4.6.5 Time Period of Investigations: Discussion and Overview.....	278
4.7 RESULTS OF COMPONENT 6: EPISTEMOLOGY.....	279
4.7.1 Positivist Investigations as a Proportion of Empirical Papers.....	281
4.7.2 Non-positivist Investigations as a Proportion of Empirical Papers.....	282
4.7.3 Theoretical Positivist Investigations as a Proportion of Total Positivist Papers.....	283
4.7.4 Descriptive Positivist Investigations as a Proportion of Total Positivist Papers.....	284
4.7.5 Theoretical Positivist Investigations as a Proportion of Empirical Papers.....	285
4.7.6 Descriptive Positivist Investigations as a Proportion of Empirical Papers.....	286
4.7.7 Interpretive Investigations as a Proportion of Empirical Papers.....	287
4.7.8 Critical Science Investigations as a Proportion of Empirical Papers.....	288
4.7.9 Epistemology of Investigations: Discussion and Overview.....	289
4.8 RESULTS OF COMPONENT 7: EMPIRICISM AND RESEARCH STRATEGIES.....	290
4.8.1 Empiricism of Australian Published IS Research.....	291
4.8.2 Research Strategies of Australian Published IS Research.....	293
4.8.3 Use of Research Strategies: Discussion.....	308
4.9 CHAPTER SUMMARY.....	310

CHAPTER 5	317
MODEL TESTING AND ANALYSIS; RESULTS AND DISCUSSION FOR MODEL 3.....	317
5.1 CHAPTER OVERVIEW	317
5.2. COMPARISON OF COMPONENT 1 FROM MODEL 1 & RESULTS: NOS & EDUCN.....	317
5.2.1 <i>Predictions of Component 1 From Model 1</i>	317
5.2.2 <i>Testing of Component 1 From Model 1</i>	318
5.2.3 <i>Discrepancies Between Component 1, Model 1 & Findings for Nos & Research Edn</i>	323
5.3 COMPARISON OF COMPONENT 2 FROM MODEL 2 & RESULTS: PUBLICATION OUTLETS ...	324
5.3.1 <i>Predictions of Component 2 From Model 2</i>	324
5.3.2 <i>Testing of Component 2 From Model 2 for Publication Outlets</i>	324
5.4 COMPARISON OF COMPONENT 3 FROM MODEL 2 & RESULTS: PUBLICATION PATTERNS. 325	
5.4.1 <i>Predictions of Component 3 From Model 2 for Publication Patterns</i>	325
5.4.2 <i>Testing of Component 3 From Model 2 for Publication Patterns</i>	326
5.5 COMPARISON OF COMPONENT 4 FROM MODEL 2 & RESULTS: SCOPE OF IS RESEARCH... 329	
5.5.1 <i>Predictions of Component 4 From Model 2 for Scope</i>	329
5.5.2 <i>Testing of Component 4 From Model 2 for Scope</i>	330
5.6 COMPARISON OF COMPONENT 5 FROM MODEL 2 & RESULTS: TIME PERIOD..... 331	
5.6.1 <i>Predictions of Component 5 From Model 2 for Time Period</i>	331
5.6.2 <i>Testing of Component 5 From Model 2 for Time Period</i>	332
5.7 COMPARISON OF COMPONENT 6 FROM MODEL 2 & RESULTS: EPISTEMOLOGY	335
5.7.1 <i>Predictions of Component 6 From Model 2 for Epistemology</i>	335
5.7.2 <i>Testing of Component 6 From Model 2 for Epistemology</i>	336
5.8 COMPARISON OF COMPONENT 7 FROM MODEL 2 & RESULTS: RESEARCH STRATEGIES... 338	
5.8.1 <i>Predictions of Component 7 From Model 2 for Research Strategies and Empiricism</i>	339
5.8.2 <i>Testing of Component 7 From Model 2 for Research Strategies and Empiricism</i>	340
5.9 COMPARISON OF INTERACTION COMPONENT FROM MODEL 3 AND RESULTS	343
5.9.2 <i>Testing of Model 3 for Interactions of All Components of Mainstream IS Research</i>	346
5.10 CHAPTER SUMMARY	356

CHAPTER 6	361
ACHIEVEMENTS AND CONCLUSIONS	361
6.1 OVERVIEW OF CHAPTER	361
6.2 RESEARCH ACHIEVEMENTS	361
6.3 MAJOR RESEARCH CONCLUSIONS	362
6.4 <i>Implications of the Research</i>	370
6.5 DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH.....	373
APPENDIX 1 - POST TO ISWORLD.....	375
APPENDIX 2 - QUESTIONNAIRE ENDORSEMENT FROM ACIS EXECUTIVE CHAIR	377
APPENDIX 3 - GENERAL QUESTIONNAIRE FOR UNIVERSITY STAFF	378
APPENDIX 5 - EXPERT QUESTIONNAIRE	394
APPENDIX 6 - FOLLOW-UP REMINDER	399
REFERENCES.....	401

**REFEREED PAPERS PUBLISHED IN ASSOCIATION WITH THIS THESIS; REPRINTS
HAVE BEEN PLACED IN A POCKET INSIDE THE BACK COVER**

Ridley, G., (1997) The Role of Conferences and Refereed Journals in Australian Information Systems Research, the *Australian Journal of Information Systems*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 69-82.

Ridley, G., Goulding, P., Pervan, G. and Lowry, G., (1998), The Australian Information Systems Research Community: An analysis of mainstream publication outlets, the *Australian Journal of Information Systems*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 69-80.

Ridley, G. (1996) Establishing Australian IS Research Traditions: Identifying appropriate quality publication outlets and the significance of conference publications, *Proceedings of the 7th Australasian Conference on Information Systems*, Hobart, vol. 2, pp. 567–578 .

Ridley, G., Goulding, P., Pervan, G. and Lowry, G. (1997) Australian IS Publications; A profile of institutions, authors and collaboration, 1990–1996, *Proceedings of the 8th Australasian Conference on Information Systems*, Adelaide, pp. 273–287.

Ridley, G. and Keen, C. (1998) Epistemologies in Use in Information Systems Research: Divergence or change? *Proceedings of the 4th AIS Americas Conference*, Baltimore, pp. 847–849.

Ridley, G. and Keen, C. (1998) Epistemologies for IS Research: A study of change, *Proceedings of the 9th Australasian Conference on Information Systems*, Sydney, vol. 2, pp. 520–533.

Ridley, G. and Morgan, G.W. (1999) Accounting For Time In IS Research Design: An Empirical Analysis, 1980–1996, *Proceedings of the 5th AIS Americas Conference*, Milwaukee, pp. 707–709.