



The Bubble Dynamics and Pressure Field Generated by a Seismic Airgun

Katrina L. de Graaf
B.Eng(Naval Architecture)(Hons)
National Centre for Maritime Engineering and Hydrodynamics
Australian Maritime College

*Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy,
University of Tasmania*

December, 2013

Declarations

Authority of Access

The publishers of the papers comprising Chapters 3 – 5 hold the copyright for that content, and access to the material should be sought from the respective journals. The remaining non published content of this thesis may be made available for loan and limited copying and communication in accordance with the Copyright Act 1968.

Statement of Originality

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for a degree or diploma by the University or any other institution, except by way of background information and as duly acknowledged in the text, and to the best of my knowledge and belief no material previously published or written by another person except where due acknowledgement is made in the text of the thesis, nor does the thesis contain any material that infringes copyright.

Signed: _____ Date: _____

Katrina de Graaf

Statement of Co-authorship

Chapters 3 – 5 of this thesis have been written as scientific papers. All numerical simulation, experimental design, data analysis and manuscript preparation were the primary responsibility of the candidate; however, work was performed in collaboration with supervisors. Details of these contributions are outlined below:

Chapter 3

Modelling of Seismic Airgun Bubble Dynamics and Pressure Field using the Gilmore Equation with Additional Damping Factors

Irene Penesis and Paul Brandner contributed discussions on the numerical modelling and manuscript preparation.

Contribution Percentage: Candidate 70%, I. Penesis 20%, P.A. Brandner 10%

Chapter 4

The Pressure Field Generated by a Seismic Airgun

Paul Brandner and Irene Penesis contributed to experiment design, data interpretation and manuscript preparation.

Contribution Percentage: Candidate 70%, P.A. Brandner 20%, I. Penesis 10%

Chapter 5

Bubble Dynamics of a Seismic Airgun

Paul Brandner and Irene Penesis contributed to experiment design, data interpretation and manuscript preparation.

Contribution Percentage: Candidate 70%, P.A. Brandner 20%, I. Penesis 10%

We the undersigned agree with the above stated “proportion of work undertaken” for each of the above published (or submitted) peer-reviewed manuscripts contributing to this thesis

Signed: _____ Date: _____

Dr Irene Penesis

Supervisor

National Centre for Maritime Engineering and Hydrodynamics

Australian Maritime College, University of Tasmania

Signed: _____ Date: _____

Assoc. Prof. Paul Brandner

Supervisor

National Centre for Maritime Engineering and Hydrodynamics

Australian Maritime College, University of Tasmania

Acknowledgements

Thank you to my supervisors, Irene Penesis and Paul Brandner for helping me to organise a thesis topic and providing support and feedback throughout my candidature. Thank you to Bryce Pearce for his suggestions and assistance and Rob Wrigley for his ideas and seemingly unlimited technical support! Thank you to DSTO, in particular to Stuart Cannon and Warren Reid, for providing my project topic and the associated funding. And finally, thank you to God, who has given me strength and perseverance, and to my family and friends for putting up with my stress levels and providing support and encouragement over the last three years.

Abstract

The bubble dynamics of a seismic airgun are investigated in relation to their use for shock testing naval vessels. A model-scale airgun is used to investigate the dynamics of a bubble generated at different standoffs from a steel plate and a free surface in an open top tank. The airgun is fired at 50 and 100 bar initial pressures. Field pressure, wall pressure and acceleration are measured, and the bubble is imaged using low- and high-speed photography. The behaviour of the bubble is described and the bubble growth is determined from shadowgraph photography and compared with the simultaneous pressure field signal. Four distinct bubbles are evident at the first bubble maximum as a result of the initial four jets of air. The Rayleigh–Taylor Instability is identified as playing a role in the bubble break up. The bubble and reverberant frequencies are identified using Wavelet and Fast Fourier transforms. The interaction of the bubble with the free surface is similar compared with other bubbles; however, the bubble is unaffected by the nearby wall for the standoffs tested. Only the initial shock generated by the airgun significantly impacts the wall, which moves in phase with the bubble pulsations. As a result of the movement, little pressure is felt at the wall from the pressure pulses generated by the bubble collapses. Despite the four port arrangement, the pressure signature of this airgun is not directional.

An analytical model of the bubble dynamics is developed based on the Gilmore equation. Additional terms for modelling the presence of the airgun body, mass throttling, effective viscosity and heat diffusion are included and Gilmore’s model for the radiated pressure wave is used to predict the pressure field generated by the bubble. The results of this model compare favourably with the model-scale airgun data, with the exception of the initial shock and first maximum bubble velocity, which are over-predicted. Full-scale airgun pressure field measurements are predicted well by the model.

Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics is investigated as a numerical method for modelling a pulsing seismic airgun bubble. Limitations are found in the available computational time and power, and in the ability to accurately model the gas-water interface. Some improvements are identified to enable modelling the bubble pulsation due to the pressure differential across the bubble surface.

Contents

Declarations	ii
Authority of Access	ii
Statement of Originality	ii
Statement of Co-authorship	iii
Acknowledgements	v
Abstract	vi
Table of Contents	vii
List of Figures	x
List of Tables	xiv
Nomenclature	xv
Abbreviations	xvii
1 General Introduction	1
2 Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics for Modelling Seismic Airguns and Underwater Explosions	5
Abstract	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 SPH Model	8
2.2.1 Basic Model	8
2.2.2 Boundaries	11
2.2.3 Smoothing Length Update and Grad- h Terms	12

2.2.4	Computational Time	13
2.3	Code Development Results and Discussion	14
2.3.1	Configuration	14
2.3.2	Basic Results	15
2.3.3	Limitations in Domain Size	17
2.3.4	Gas-Water Interface	18
2.4	Conclusion	20
3	Modelling of Seismic Airgun Bubble Dynamics and Pressure Field using the Gilmore Equation with Additional Damping Factors	21
	Abstract	21
3.1	Introduction	22
3.2	Comparison of Rayleigh–Plesset and Gilmore Equations	24
3.3	Additional Aspects to the Gilmore Equation	27
3.4	Pressure Field	32
3.5	Comparison of Pressure–Time History with Experimental Data	33
3.6	Conclusion	34
	Acknowledgements	34
4	The Pressure Field Generated by a Seismic Airgun	37
	Abstract	37
4.1	Introduction	38
4.2	Experimental Setup	39
4.2.1	Laboratory Scale Airgun	39
4.2.2	Testing Tank and Equipment	40
4.3	Results and Discussion	43
4.3.1	Pressure Signal	43
4.3.2	Repeatability	44
4.3.3	Bubble Pulse and Reverberation	44
4.3.4	Wavelet Transform and FFT Analysis	48
4.3.5	Hydrodynamic and Acoustic Pressure	54
4.3.6	Wall Movement	55
4.3.7	Pressure with Distance	59
4.3.8	Interaction with Free Surface	59

4.3.9	Directivity	65
4.3.10	Scaling	65
4.4	Conclusion	66
	Acknowledgements	66
5	Bubble Dynamics of a Seismic Airgun	67
	Abstract	67
5.1	Introduction	67
5.2	Experimental Setup	69
5.2.1	Laboratory Scale Airgun	69
5.2.2	Testing Tank and Equipment	70
5.3	Results and Discussion	73
5.3.1	Bubble Dynamics	73
5.3.2	Bubble Dynamics Near Boundaries	87
5.3.3	Bubble Radial Growth, Velocity and Acceleration with Field Pressure	90
5.4	Comparison with Modified Gilmore Equation	94
5.4.1	The Modified Gilmore Equation	94
5.4.2	Comparison of Analytical and Experimental Results	96
5.5	Conclusion	99
	Acknowledgements	100
6	General Conclusion	101
	References	104
A	Australasian Fluid Mechanics Conference Paper: Comparison of the Rayleigh–Plesset and Gilmore Equations and Additional Aspects for the Modelling of Seismic Airgun Bubble Dynamics	I