18 March 1911

Dear Williams,

I should be glad if you would give me your opinion on these two passages in the Agricola:

308 4 nos. recessus ipse ac sinus fames dependit.

Madvig's emendation fames is explained as a nominative by Furneaux and Heraceus (sinus fames, the report of our recesses).

But as Furneaux says, recessus and sinus are rhetorical synonyms, and therefore sinus would, I think, rather be in the same case as recessus.

Would it not therefore be better to construe the Senedation thus—sinus fames, taking fames as instr. ab. The singular with
with necessare sinus is of course quite correct.

4484 postul referendi aham
beatius effugie "Effugie beatius effugie"
Stephans says beatius effugie
a poetical construction of "beatius of fugie". But that is quite a different use. Effugie would here have to be equivalent to "qui effugit" and I know of no instance where the inf. with an adjective has this consecutive force.

Take it that effugie depend on referendi aham is that beatius is used as in ad fam. 14.182 beatius, vicernemus or like miserum, in Cat. 286 no patienter.
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