

13/5/99

My dear Roth,

I have been most remiss in  
replying to your letters, but ~~my excuse~~  
~~must be~~ pressure of work which  
leaves but scant leisure. Thanks  
for the photos of 'Slaney'. I forgot  
where you'd the head is preserved.  
Is it dried? or preserved in spirits?

I don't quite understand your  
remarks about the fire sticks.  
While there is a doubt about respect-  
ing the stick figured as Yao in yr book,  
there seems to me to be quite <sup>sufficient</sup> ~~strong~~  
enough evidence of the Blacks being  
acquainted with a method of  
procuring fire - e.g. Cotton's evi-  
dence the exact ~~process~~ <sup>is unknown</sup>  
nature of the apparatus used  
seems to be beyond discovery.

seems to be beyond discovery.  
The 'Spectator' <sup>in an article</sup> some time since  
made the astounding statement that  
there were races of mankind like  
the Tas. "who were ignorant of  
the use of fire." But the 'Spectator'

2

Sometimes goes strangely astray & is occasionally hoaxed - as when it <sup>inocently</sup> printed the story of a Maori who finding a new pair of boots too small deliberately cut off his great toes to make his feet fit the boots! And another story made in all seriousness was that the rabbits in Australia had developed an adal claw to enable them to climb the scrub when to feed upon it when grass was scarce. But why laugh at the Spectator when the learned Alas was so delightfully hoaxed by Rosemont's clumsy fictions. Their gullibility was a huge delight to Australians.

~~I have not seen Moir's collections of flints. Moir lives at some distance from Hobart & I have not seen his collection of 'flints'; indeed it is only lately that he told me I learnt that he had a collection.~~

I only lately heard of Moir's  
flints, & have not yet seen them.  
His theories about the Stones seemed  
which he explained to me,  
seemed rather fanciful. I am  
glad that Dr Taylor is giving his  
attention to the subject <sup>that you are right in your</sup>

I have little doubt, <sup>that you are right in your</sup> that Petet's  
description of the tombs on Maria  
Island to be exaggerated. Both  
he & Labillardière were apparently  
imbued with <sup>the</sup> Rousseauish ideas  
of the 'Noble Savage' & the State of  
Nature wh. were in vogue in  
their day, and saw the Blacks  
~~through rose-colour~~ in the light of these  
theories. Petet's portraits of Petet's  
original drawings wd be interesting, since  
it is quite possible they may have  
been much 'improved' in the  
process of engraving. But we can't  
trust too much to these early artists.  
They couldn't draw what they saw  
they were too intent on making a  
picture. Petet's portraits of his  
Blacks, are ~~possible~~ more or less possible

by the way I notice that the 'out' drawing  
has some debt I will <sup>not</sup> direct

though they fail I think to hit the  
race type. Pirois in Sabill. Atlas  
are quite impossible, espy his women  
who might be models of classic beauty.  
His "Femme du Cap de Diemen" is a  
form of Nilo in ebony. Petit's  
The fact that Petit <sup>or Agneau</sup> drew only one  
form of basket (the familiar one)  
is a strong confirmation of the  
conclusion at w<sup>ch</sup> I think you have  
arrived, that the other forms  
figured in your first edn are not  
Las, but Bust.

The "flints" I am curious to see  
what Tylor has to say about the  
rest lesser flints found by Mr.  
Morton. Their similarity to the Las  
~~and~~ is very remarkable.

I have just seen Baldwin Spencer's  
book, but have not yet had an  
opportunity of seeing your brother's.  
<sup>To judge from</sup> the notices I have read it must  
be a valuable contribu.

I think that if the Las had carried  
seued stones or sacred pieces of wood  
like the Australians

To my mind if the Tas had carried sacred stones or sacred pieces of wood like the Luson's it is hardly possible that none wd have been found on some of those who were killed in the early fights. To my mind their absence, like the absence of the throwing stick, ground stone implements &c &c is only another proof of the separateness of the Tas.

Tas I am curious to see the maps you I fear the Govt maps sent will have been disappointing, if not useless. Mr Hurst's map Have you been able to reproduce Mr Hurst's map. ~~so wd he draw up with the aid of my suggestions this map of the under my direction & which he ~~had~~ though took a good deal of trouble he drawn up under my direction, was made It was I have said drawn up under my direction, but Mr H's knowledge of the localities was a great assistance. It wd be well It does not of course pretend to anything like precision, but only suggests general probability & is conjectural. Perhaps you cd spare Mr Hurst a copy of the book-~~

Fancy Smith

I am looking out with some  
impatience for the book.

Disappointed

Aust. does it care for science or did

by flourishing.

and 2nd 11. 17