

Genesis and Structural Architecture of the CSA Cu-Ag (P-Zn) Mine, Cobar, New South Wales

By

RoisinKyne,
HBSc



Submitted as full requirements for a Doctorate of Philosophy


Supervisors: Assoc. Prof Ron Berry, Prof. Bruce Gemmill, Dr. Robert Scott and
Mr. Derek Webb

CODES, School of Earth Science
University of Tasmania, Hobart Australia
February 2014



Declaration of originality

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for a degree or diploma by the University or any other institution, except by way of background information and duly acknowledged in the thesis, and to the best of my knowledge and belief no material previously published or written by another person except where due acknowledgement is made in the text of the thesis, nor does the thesis contain any material that infringes copyright.



RoisinKyne
February 8, 2014

Statement of authority of access

This thesis may be made available for loan. Copying and communication of any part of this thesis is prohibited for 12 months from the date this statement was signed; after that time limited copying and communication is permitted in accordance with *Copyright Act 1968*.



RoisinKyne
February 8, 2014



Abstract

The CSA Cu-Ag-Pb-Zn deposit, one of the Cobar-style deposits, is located 11km NNW of Cobar in central New South Wales within an area known as the Cobar Mining Field. Historically mined for Pb-Zn, CSA currently recovers Cu-Ag and has a resource base (measured, indicated and inferred) of 11.4 mt at 6% Cu and 22 g/t Ag. While much work has been done on the Cobar-style deposits, there remains a variety of questions regarding the formation of each deposit and the mining field as a whole. Historically, structural studies of the CSA deposit have been restricted to the surface and upper levels of the mine (>9800m RL). Recent mining within the deposit has allowed for further structural analysis up to 1.3 km. A structural and mineralogical study of the CSA mine was undertaken to answer a variety of questions concerning the formation of the deposit, its key characteristics and features (structurally, mineralogically and geochemically) and how this information could be used to explore for similar styles of mineralization both on site and in the Cobar region.

Hosted in the Devonian CSA Siltstone, the deposit resides within the hanging-wall of the steep, west-dipping Cobar Fault. Major ore minerals include acanthite, chalcopyrite, cubanite, pyrrhotite, sphalerite, galena, pyrite, magnetite, and native bismuth. Gangue minerals include quartz, chlorite, calcite, stilpnomelane, plagioclase, biotite, muscovite and talc. The most common alteration styles are Fe-rich chlorite, Fe-Mg-rich chlorite and silicification.

Structural measurements and samples were collected from 45 drill holes across four major ore zones known as the Western, Eastern, QTS North and QTS South systems. Each system is composed of multiple lenses ranging in strike length (13-200 m), width (5-80 m), and vertical extent (200 m-1.2 km). Structural analysis highlighted the presence of two major cleavage groups, one corresponding to the regional cleavage (S_2) and another with varying orientations found locally deemed S_X to avoid timing implications. A subset of S_X was found to represent an early cleavage and was deemed S_1 . The orientation of S_2 changes from $80^\circ/090^\circ$ at surface and the upper portions of the mine (>92000mRL) to $85^\circ/264$ (< 9000mRL) with depth and proximity to the Cobar Fault suggesting drag in the hanging-wall of the fault as it rotated in response to EW compression. Stereographic projections of S_2 and $S_2 \wedge S_0$ intersection lineations show that S_2 transects the regional folding produced early in the EW compression. The presence of a stretching lineation with down-dip orientation suggests the lineation was formed during dip-slip regime with very little transpression. Two cross-cutting fault systems, one sub-parallel with S_2 and the other sub-parallel with S_1 were identified and suggested the presence of orthorhombic fault arrays. Dilation occurred along the intersections of these faults allowing mineralizing ore fluids to be focused into pipe-like ore lenses.

A 3D model of the regional stress state was produced using the boundary elements method (Poly3D) in order to ascertain the affect the regional Plug Tank and Cobar Faults had on the formation and spatial location of the CSA deposit. The model showed that, when activated by EW compression, the geometries of these two faults produced a zone of minimum σ_3 directly beneath the deposit and caused dilation in the overlying orthorhombic fault arrays. Deep seated ore-forming fluids were driven along the Plug Tank Fault towards the eastern margin of the basin and up the Cobar Fault into this zone of dilation during compression. Ore-forming fluids were then focused along the intersections of the orthorhombic fault arrays producing the pipe-like ore lenses.

Geochemical analysis suggests that enrichments of Se, Cd, Fe, Mn, Sn, Tl, and Ge and depletions of Ba, K Na, and Rb occur within 100 m of ore lenses and can be used as vectors to ore. The most useful distal vector to ore was determined to be the depletion in Sr and Na occurring up to 500 m from mineralization. Fe-Mg-rich chlorite alteration is recognizable within 10 m of ore lenses.

Ore forming fluids are most likely sourced from the deepest part of the Cobar Basin during inversion. The amount of Cu and the presence of abnormally high fluorine within CSA suggest that

the source of the ore-forming fluids was most likely a basal unit in the rift package containing basalts and carbonates and/or rhyolites. Once the fluids sourced from the rift package travelled along the Plug Tank and Cobar faults into the orthorhombic fault arrays, they mixed with a cooler, locally sourced fluid already circulating through the faults causing precipitation of the Cu-Ag-Pb-Zn minerals.

Overall, this study concluded that the CSA deposit was formed via the interaction of far field stresses acting on regional faults to focus ore-forming fluids into the hanging-wall of the Cobar Fault. This study suggests that exploration done both on the mine site and within the region should include analysis of regional folding and faulting patterns as well as conventional geophysical and geochemical methods.

Acknowledgements

To describe this as a journey is an understatement. It has been hard work, ridiculously difficult at times, but extremely rewarding, and I am so thankful to have made it through. I have a number of people to thank for their support in getting me through this doctorate.

I would like to begin by thanking my advisors Assoc. Prof. Ron Berry and Prof. Bruce Gemmell for their knowledge, guidance, inspiration and support throughout this entire process. Their unwavering assistance and encouragement helped keep me grounded and expand my mind at the same time. For that I will always be grateful. Bruce was a beacon of light in an otherwise dark sea of chemistry, numbers and elements. Without his expert knowledge and constant encouragement, I would not have been able to navigate through to the light at the end of the preverbal geochemical tunnel. Ron, probably the smartest geologists I know, helped this 2D thinker learn to see the world of geology through a 3D lens. His never ending patience, and open door, allowed me to expand my thinking and to solidify my love for structural geology. Thank you both for all you have done over the past 4 years, I could not have asked for better advisers and I can only hope that one day I will be as amazing an adviser as you both were to me.

I would also like to thank my mentor, and former adviser, Dr. Pete Hollings at Lakehead University for not only forcing me to apply to CODES, but for providing support both academically and emotionally. He is truly an amazing role model and without his help I would not be the geologist I am today. I would like to thank him for the tough love as well as for always being there to answer questions or provide encouragement. I especially appreciated the speedy responses to e-mails sent at all hours of the night.

A big thank you goes to Cobar Management Pty Ltd for their support and for allowing me this opportunity. Without them, none of this would have been possible. I would like to thank the team at CSA for being so enthusiastic and welcoming. The support I received from each and every one of them was truly remarkable. First, I would like to thank Eliseo for always being there to help me gather data and for taking the time to help me find the best possible cross-sections. His unique skills and easy going attitude made it possible for me to organise and complete all my work. Second, I would like to thank Pakup for his fantastic insights and questions. His knowledge of the deposit and enthusiasm for understanding CSA encouraged me to delve deeper and to look at aspects I had not yet considered. Third, I would like to thank John-Michael for helping me to acquire data even at the last minute. His friendly demeanour and willingness to help out a poor PhD student who could not retrieve data on her own was much appreciated. Fourth, I would like to thank Joe and Kerry for putting up with a demanding student in constant need of new core. The amount of heavy lifting and organising these two have provided over the years is astounding. This study would have been impossible if it were not for their help. I will always remember our time in the core yard and your kindness. I would especially like to thank Kerry for not only providing support in the core yard but for the many hours of fantastic conversation on the drive to and from the mine. Finally, I would like to thank our fearless leader Derek Webb for bringing me onto the team. I cannot thank Derek enough for his support and encouragement and for always insisting I make posters for my presentations so the engineers wouldn't miss out.

Next, I would like to thank the staff in the Earth Science department at UTAS. I will forever be grateful for the help I received from all around the department. To the academic staff at UTAS, I would like to thank you for challenging me and always being open to discuss new concepts and ideas. In particular, I would like to thank Prof. David Cooke for his unique insights and ability to make me

look at a problem from a different perspective. I would also like to thank Dr. Mike Roach for his help with the geophysical aspects of this project. Thank you the gentlemen of the CSL for putting up with my questions over and over. Their professionalism and unique insight helped me complete a variety of analyses I would never have been able to otherwise. I would like to thank the staff of the LA-ICPMS especially Jay, Sarah and Sebastian for teaching me a variety of new techniques. Their friendly and professional demeanours allowed me to accomplish far more than I ever expected. To the staff of the Lapidary, thank you for providing me with thin sections and mounts without which I could not have analysed any of my samples. Finally, I would like to thank the wonderful women of the Administration staff, Karen, Helen, Chris, Izzy and Christine. Without these amazing ladies, this researcher would still be lost in the world of forms, accounts, travel documents, receipts, claims and rocks. Thank you for your support and your patience. I will forever be grateful to you ladies for all you have done for me.

I am also indebted to variety of people from around the world for their help and support throughout this project. I would like to thank Stafford McKnight for his thorough XRD work. He provided much more than we could ever have hoped for and allowed for a much more robust study. I would also like to thank Anne Hammond at Lakehead University who has given me a large amount of support throughout the years. Her ingenuity and astounding quality provided the best thin sections for important analyses. I would like to thank Dr. Mike Hamilton at the University of Toronto for allowing me to finish off last minute work in his laboratory. His welcoming nature and willingness to help an unknown student was truly fantastic and inspiring.

I would like to thank my friends for their perpetual confidence and optimism. I could not have come this far without any of you. To Dr. Victoria Brannif, I cannot thank you enough for the long talks and discussions we have had over the years. You helped keep me sane throughout it all. Not every researcher is lucky enough to have someone travel the same journey at the same time and thus knows exactly what you are going through. Thank you for your wisdom and for always making me laugh. To my team of Canadian supporters both here in Australia and back in Canada (Angela, Marc, Selina, Dan, Karen and Roald) I would like to thank you for your continued support in all my endeavours. You have been my constant cheerleaders and footholds. I am blessed to have you in my life. To the Castle Crew, thank you for the laughs and the memories and for keeping me sane. Your support has been invaluable throughout this entire process. To my room 214 office mates' thank you for keeping me on track and for the welcome breaks when we just couldn't stare at those computer screens any longer. I could not have asked for better office mates and I hope the sign I put up keeps those undergrad students at bay at least for the remainder of your studies.

I am exceptionally grateful and would like to say a very special thank you to Rose Pongratz, the best roommate I have ever had. Her encouragement and support has truly been invaluable throughout this entire process. From late-night pep-talks and food runs, to her incredible help in actually putting this thesis together, Rose is a constant source of support and faith. Her willingness to put up with my frustrations and irrational stress-based behaviours just proves what an amazing person she is, I mean Rose is. Sorry, "she's" the cats mother. I could not have asked for a better friend and I am so thankful to have her in my life now and forever whether she likes it or not.

Finally, my deepest gratitude goes to my whole family for their steadfast support, encouragement and love. My parents in particular have truly been the only "rocks" I have ever really needed. Thank you for inspiring me to be geologist and for always backing me and being there for me no matter what I chose to do. I would like to especially thank you both for putting up with any late night calls you may have received because I either forgot what time it was or forgot the time change. You both have always believed in me even when I doubt myself and for that I will always be grateful.

Table of Contents

Declaration of Originality/Authority of Access.....	iii
Abstract	v
Acknowledgments	vi
Table of Contents.....	ix
List of Figures.....	xv
List of Tables.....	xix

Chapter 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Preamble	1
1.2 Study Location	2
1.3 History and Economic Significance of the CSA Mine	3
1.3.1 History of Mining in the Cobar Region	3
1.3.2 History of the CSA Deposit.....	4
1.4 Previous Work	6
1.5 Project Aims	6

Chapter 2: GEOLOGICAL SETTING

2.1 Lachlan Orogen.....	9
2.2 Cobar Basin	12
2.2.1 Cobar Basin Formation: Lithological Unit and Groups	12
2.2.1.1 Mulga Downs Group.....	12
2.2.1.2 Winduck Group	14
2.2.1.3 Amphitheatre Group	14
2.2.1.3.1 Upper Amphitheatre Group	15
2.2.1.3.2 Lower Amphitheatre Group.....	15
2.2.1.3.3 CSA Siltstone.....	15
2.2.1.4 Nurri Group	16
2.2.1.4.1 Great Cobar Slate	16
2.2.1.4.2 Chesney Formation	16
2.2.1.5 Kopyje Shelf.....	17
2.2.1.6 Girilambone Group	17
2.2.2 Cobar Basin Evolution	18
2.2.3 Cobar Basin Deformation.....	19
2.2.4 Cobar Basin Metamorphism.....	22
2.2.5 Cobar Basin Deposits and Mineralization.....	23
2.3 CSA Deposit	24
2.3.1 Lithological Units of the CSA Deposit	24
2.3.2 Mineralization.....	26
2.3.3 Ore Systems.....	28
2.3.3.1 Western System	28
2.3.3.2 Eastern System	29

2.3.3.3 QTS North System.....	30
2.3.3.4 QTS South System.....	30
2.3.4 Alteration	30
2.3.4.1 Chloritization.....	31
2.3.4.2 Silicification	32
2.3.4.3 Talc	32
2.4 Age of Formation of the CSA Deposit.....	32
2.4.1 Methods.....	32
2.4.1.1 Zircon Age Dating	33
2.4.1.2 Monazite Age Dating.....	33
2.4.2 Zircon Dating	34
2.4.3 Monazite Dating	34
2.5 Summary	36

Chapter 3: STRUCTURAL GEOLOGY

3.1 Introduction	39
3.2 Previous Work	39
3.3 Methods.....	41
3.4 Mesostructures.....	44
3.4.1 Bedding.....	44
3.4.2 Cleavage.....	46
3.4.2.1 Predominant Cleavage (Regional Cleavage).....	47
3.4.2.2 Other Cleavage (S_x)	52
3.4.3 Lineations.....	53
3.4.3.1 $S_2 \wedge S_0$	55
3.4.3.2 Stretching Lineations	57
3.4.3.3 Silickenlines	57
3.4.4 Folding	58
3.4.5 Breccias.....	72
3.4.6 Faulting	73
3.4.6.1 Talc Shear Zone.....	74
3.5 Calcite Twinning and Palaeostress Indication.....	74
3.6 Regional Structures (Macrostructures).....	82
3.7 Microstructures	84
3.7.1 Quartz.....	84
3.7.2 Calcite	87
3.7.2 Sulfide Minerals	89
3.8 Discussion	94
3.8.1 Timing of Cleavage Formation.....	94
3.8.2 Timing of Mineralization	95
3.9 Summary	95

Chapter 4: MINERALOGY, VEINS, MINERALIZATION AND ALTERATION

4.1 Introduction	99
4.2 Mineralogy	99
4.2.1 Methods.....	99
4.2.1.1 Microprobe Analysis.....	100
4.2.1.1.1 Sulfide Analysis	100
4.2.1.1.2 Silicate Analysis	101

4.2.1.1.3 Carbonate Analysis	101
4.2.1.1.4 Bismuth Analysis	102
4.2.2 Quartz and Albite.....	102
4.2.3 Calcite	103
4.2.4 Muscovite.....	103
4.2.5 Biotite.....	105
4.2.6 Chlorite.....	107
4.2.7 Stilpnomelane	107
4.2.8 Greenalite	109
4.2.9 Chalcopyrite	109
4.2.10 Cubanite	111
4.2.11 Pyrrhotite.....	111
4.2.12 Sphalerite.....	112
4.2.13 Pyrite	112
4.2.14 Silver Sulfide (Acanthite).....	113
4.3 Vein Paragenesis.....	115
4.3.1 V _A – Bedding Parallel Barren Quartz Veins	115
4.3.2 V _B – Early Barren Quartz Veins.....	119
4.3.3 V _C – Folded Quartz Veins.....	119
4.3.4 V _D – Boudinaged Quartz Veins.....	120
4.3.5 V _E – Massive Quartz Veins.....	121
4.3.6 V _F – Syn-Deformational Barren Quartz Veins.....	123
4.3.7 V _G – Quartz and Sulfide Veins.....	123
4.3.8 V _H – Sulfide Veins	124
4.3.9 V _I – Calcite-Rich Veins	127
4.3.10 V _J – Chlorite and Pyrite Veins.....	127
4.3.11 V _K – Late Barren Quartz Veins	127
4.3.12 Vein Summary and Spatial Location	129
4.4 SAS Zone.....	130
4.5 Mineralization.....	133
4.5.1 Introduction	133
4.5.2 MSGS (Massive Sulfide with Galena and Sphalerite)	133
4.5.3 Pyrrhotite-Rich Ore (Po-Rich).....	133
4.5.4 SMSQ (Semi-Massive Sulfide with Quartz)	135
4.5.5 SMSC (Semi-Massive Sulfide with Chlorite)	136
4.5.6 MS (Massive Sulfide)	137
4.5.7 Distribution of Ore Types Within the Deposit.....	137
4.6 Alteration.....	141
4.6.1 Chloritization.....	141
4.6.2 Silicification	142
4.7 Summary	142

Chapter 5: GEOCHEMISTRY

5.1 Introduction	149
5.1.1 Previous Work	149
5.1.2 Current Study.....	150
5.2 Methods.....	150
5.2.1 Whole Rock Analysis.....	150
5.2.2 X-Ray Diffraction	151
5.2.3 Short-Range Infrared Wavelength (SWIR) Analysis.....	151
5.2.4 Scanning Electron Microscope	151
5.2.5 LA-ICPMS Analysis.....	152
5.2.5.1 Spot Analysis and Elemental Mapping.....	152

5.2.5.1.1 Spot Analysis	153
5.2.5.1.2 Elemental Maps	153
5.3 Elemental Distribution within Mineral Phases	153
5.3.1 Pyrite and Chlorite	154
5.3.1.1 Pyrite	154
5.3.1.2 Chlorite	158
5.3.1.3 Other	158
5.3.2 Cubanite and Chalcopyrite	159
5.3.2.1 Cubanite	159
5.3.2.2 Chalcopyrite	159
5.4 XRD and SWIR Analysis	164
5.4.1 XRD Data	164
5.4.2 SWIR Data	165
5.4.3 XRD and SWIR Comparison	166
5.5 Elemental Distribution within the Deposit	166
5.5.1 Whole Rock Data	166
5.5.1.1 Unaltered Sedimentary Host Rocks	169
5.5.1.2 Alteration Enrichments and Depletions	175
5.5.1.2.1 Depletions	177
5.5.1.2.2 Enrichments	178
5.5.1.3 Mineralization Enrichments and Depletions	178
5.5.1.3.1 Enrichments	181
5.5.1.3.2 Depletions	181
5.5.2 Assay Data Analysis	181
5.5.2.1 Copper Distribution	181
5.5.2.2 Lead Distribution	187
5.5.2.3 Zinc Distribution	187
5.5.2.4 Iron Distribution	187
5.5.2.5 Silver Distribution	191
5.6 Discussion and Summary	191
5.6.1 Elements within Mineral Phases	191
5.6.2 Overall Enrichments and Depletions	193
5.6.3 Metal Zonation	193

Chapter 6: GEOLOGIC AND GENETIC MODEL

6.1 Introduction	197
6.1.1 Previous Models	197
6.1.1.1 Syngenetic Models	197
6.1.1.2 Epigenetic Models	198
6.1.1.3 Structurally-Controlled Models	199
6.2 Transpressive vs. Dip-Slip	199
6.3 Regional Controls on the Location of CSA	200
6.4 Orthorhombic Fault Arrays	200
6.5 Ore Forming Fluids	202
6.5.1 Previous Work	202
6.5.2 Current Study	205
6.6 Source Rock	207
6.6.1 Lead Isotope Studies	207
6.6.2 Sulfide Isotope Studies	208
6.6.3 Possible Source Rocks	209
6.6.3.1 Indications From Pb and S Isotopes	209
6.6.3.2 Indications From Current Study	209
6.6.3.2.1 Source of Cu	209

6.6.3.2.2 Source of F.....	211
6.6.3.2.3 Source Rocks Conclusions	211
6.7 Proposed Genetic Model and Formation of the CSA Deposit	211
6.7.1 Glen (1990) Model for the Formation of the Cobar Basin	212
6.7.2 Proposed Formation of the CSA Deposit and Cobar Basin.....	213
6.8 Deposit Comparison.....	216
6.8.1 Sedimentary-Hosted Copper Deposits	216
6.8.1.1 Similarities with the CSA Deposit.....	216
6.8.1.2 Difference Compared to the CSA Deposit	216
6.8.2 Nifty Deposit	219
6.8.2.1 Similarities with the CSA deposit.....	219
6.8.2.2 Difference Compared to the CSA Deposit	219
6.8.3 Kipushi Deposit	221
6.8.3.1 Similarities with the CSA Deposit.....	221
6.8.3.2 Difference Compared to the CSA Deposit	221
6.8.4 Mammoth Deposit	222
6.8.4.1 Similarities with the CSA Deposit.....	222
6.8.4.2 Difference Compared to the CSA Deposit	222
6.8 Summary	223

Chapter 7: CONCLUSION

7.1 Introduction	225
7.2 Major Conclusions of Study	225
7.3 Implications for Exploration.....	232
7.3.1 Exploration within the Cobar Region	232
7.3.2 Further Exploration within the CSA Deposit	232
7.4 Suggestions for Further Research	233

References	235
------------------	-----

Appendix A - X-Ray Diffraction Analysis.....	CD Attachment
Appendix B - Core Sample Information	CD Attachment
Appendix C - Zircon Dating.....	CD Attachment
Appendix D - Monazite Dating	CD Attachment
Appendix E - Structural Log Re-Oriented.....	CD Attachment
Appendix F - Jeffrey (1994) Structural Measurements.....	CD Attachment
Appendix G - Microprobe Data.....	CD Attachment
Appendix H - Whole Rock Data.....	CD Attachment
Appendix I - Core logs.....	CD Attachment