



UNIVERSITY
OF TASMANIA

Genetic control of inflorescence development in pea

By

Frances C. Susmilch BBiotech (Hons)

School of Biological Sciences

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

University of Tasmania, June 2014

Declaration of Originality

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for a degree or diploma by the University or any other institution, except by way of background information and duly acknowledged in the thesis, and to the best of my knowledge and belief no material previously published or written by another person except where due acknowledgement is made in the text of the thesis, nor does the thesis contain any material that infringes copyright.

Authority of Access

This thesis may be made available for loan. Copying and communication of any part of this thesis is prohibited for two years from the date this statement was signed; after that time limited copying and communication is permitted in accordance with the Copyright Act 1968.

Frances Sussmilch

Date: _____

Abstract

Angiosperm species exhibit incredible diversity in inflorescence architecture. Legumes comprise the third largest family of flowering plants, second only to the grasses in terms of agricultural importance. Several important crop legumes, including pea, lentil, common bean and chickpea, share a characteristic inflorescence form, the compound raceme, which has one extra level of inflorescence branching, the secondary inflorescence (I_2), relative to the simple raceme of *Arabidopsis*. Historically, pea has been a popular model legume for studies of flowering, often through the characterisation of flowering and inflorescence mutants. In this study, pea genes with an apparent or putative role in inflorescence development were investigated, in order to improve understanding of the genetic control of inflorescence development in pea.

Unlike *Arabidopsis*, where no single gene mutant has a non-flowering phenotype, mutations at any of three pea loci can prevent flowering: *GIGAS/FTa1*, *VEG1/FULc* and *VEG2*. In this study, the roles of *VEG2* during inflorescence development were investigated using two mutant alleles: the non-flowering *veg2-1* mutant, and the late-flowering *veg2-2* mutant. The results indicate that *VEG2* is important for the correct timing of the inflorescence transition, initial specification and maintenance of I_2 identity, and specification of floral meristems, under both LD and SD conditions.

Preliminary mapping results indicated a pea homolog of *FD* as a candidate for the *VEG2* locus. In this study, the legume *FD* gene family was characterised and the *VEG2* locus was shown to correspond to *FDa*. In the *veg2-1* mutant, the entire coding sequence was found to be deleted but putative flanking genes were unaffected. The *veg2-2* mutant was shown to contain a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), affecting a highly conserved amino acid within the DNA-binding, basic region of the bZIP domain.

The mechanisms of *FDa* action were further investigated through analysis of expression patterns of *FDa* and protein interactions with the pea FT and TFL1 homologs. *FDa* was found to be expressed in the wild-type apex throughout development. *FDa* protein was found to be capable of interacting with all five pea FT homologs, and DET (TFL1a), but not LF (TFL1c). Flowering genes regulated (either

directly or indirectly) by *FDa* were identified based on misregulation of expression in the *veg2* mutants. These included pea homologs of *FT*, *TFL1* and *LFY*, in addition to a range of MADS-box genes.

The *late5* mutant is a previously undescribed EMS-induced mutant that exhibits phenotypic similarity to *veg2-2*. To determine the role of *LATE5* during pea inflorescence development, the *late5* phenotype was characterised. The genetic interactions between *LATE5*, *DET* and *LF* were investigated through the phenotypes of double and triple mutants. The molecular roles of *LATE5* were also investigated by examining the effects of the *late5* mutation on expression of flowering genes. The map position of *LATE5* was refined to a region of less than 3.2cM towards the base of pea linkage group I, corresponding to a syntenic region of 0.6Mb containing 95 annotated genes in *Medicago*.

The legume family of *SVP*-like genes, which have important roles in flowering time, inflorescence branching and floral meristem identity in other species, was characterised and two new *SVP*-like genes (*SVPb* and *SVPc*) were isolated from pea. Investigation of expression patterns of pea *SVPa*, *SVPb* and *SVPc* genes, revealed developmental regulation of *SVPc* in wild-type pea, and misregulation of *SVPc* in the *veg2-2* mutant indicating regulation of *SVPc* (directly or indirectly) by *FDa/VEG2*.

Overall, the findings of this study make a significant contribution to knowledge of the genetic control of inflorescence development in pea.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank all the people who have offered help and support during the process of creating this thesis. First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisors Jim Weller and Valérie Hecht, who have been generous with their time, knowledge and enthusiasm throughout. I am grateful to Jim for his limitless patience and insightful suggestions, and to Valérie for her technical expertise in all things molecular. I would also like to thank the other members of the Pea Flowering Group (past and present), Jackie Vander Schoor, Stephen Ridge, Vino Rajandran and Lim Chee Liew, for their friendship, help and advice.

I have been lucky enough to have had the opportunity to spend time in two fantastic overseas laboratories and I would like to thank my kind hosts in Dunedin (Richard Macknight and Becky Laurie) and in Valencia (Paco Madueño, Cristina Ferrándiz and Ana Berbel) for welcoming me into their labs. In particular, I would like to thank Ana, Paco and Cristina for generously offering to collaborate with *in situ* hybridisation and BiFC experiments, which would not have been possible without their help. I am grateful to Alejandro Ferrando (Universidad de Valencia, Spain) who kindly shared the pYFC43 and pYFN43 vectors used for creation of BiFC constructs.

I would like to thank Richard Immink (Wageningen Plant Research International, the Netherlands) who kindly provided yeast strains PJ69-4A and PJ69-4 α , vectors pARC351 and pARC352, and some very helpful advice for yeast two- and three-hybrid analyses. I am also grateful to Philip Wigge (John Innes Centre, Norwich), Prof. Eliezer Lifschitz (Israel Institute of Technology, Israel) and Akiva Shalit-Kaneh (Israel Institute of Technology, Israel) for sharing insight into their own experiences with testing interactions between FD, FT, TFL1 and homologs and offering suggestions for troubleshooting.

Closer to home, I am especially grateful to the glasshouse staff, Ian Cummings, Tracey Winterbottom and Michelle Lang who have kept my plants as happy and healthy as possible. I would also like to thank Greg Jordan for statistical advice. I am grateful to the people who have employed me along the way, particularly Jim Weller, Greg Jordan and Rob Wiltshire. Thank you to my friends and fellow PhD candidates, particularly Scott and Erin who have been there from the start, but also Nick, Tom,

Maddy, Chris and Nathan, for offering lunch-time laughter and cards. Thanks also to my good friends from school, particularly Anna, Alison and Julia, for offering dependable friendship through the years.

Last but definitely not least, I would like to thank my family for their endless support and encouragement. I am particularly grateful to my Dad who went above and beyond, volunteering to spend some long, cold winter's weekends scribing in the glasshouse, and my sister Grace who helped keep me sane and generously donated her camera to the cause (>2000 photos of pea flowers and counting!). Many thanks also to my Mum for her infinite optimism and delicious cocoa and Granny and Auntie Kath for cheering each step of the way.

Table of contents

Declaration of Originality	i
Authority of Access.....	i
Abstract	iii
Acknowledgements	v
Abbreviations	xv
CHAPTER 1: General introduction.....	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.1.1 Variation in inflorescence architecture.....	1
1.1.2 The importance of meristem identity.....	2
1.1.3 Model species.....	3
1.2 Arabidopsis thaliana.....	5
1.2.1 Inflorescence form.....	5
1.2.2 The FT/FD pathway.....	7
1.2.3 Repression by TERMINAL FLOWER1 (TFL1).....	8
1.2.4 LEAFY (LFY) and UNUSUAL FLORAL ORGANS (UFO) - an alternative pathway.....	9
1.2.5 Dual roles for AGAMOUS-LIKE24 (AGL24) and SHORT VEGETATIVE PHASE (SVP)	10
1.2.6 Regulation by SOCI.....	11
1.2.7 API - a key floral meristem identity gene	12
1.2.8 Local balance between promoters and repressors of floral identity.....	13
1.3 Tomato.....	14
1.3.1 Inflorescence form.....	14
1.3.2 Antagonism between CETS proteins	16
1.3.3 FALSIFLORA (FA) and ANANTHA (AN) - conserved roles in promoting floral identity	17
1.3.4 A role for JOINTLESS (J) - an StMADS11 subfamily member	17
1.3.5 MACROCALYX (MC) - a role in inflorescence meristem identity.....	18
1.3.6 TERMINATING FLOWER (TMF) - an ALOG gene with a role in tomato inflorescence development	19
1.3.7 A matter of balance	20
1.4 The grasses - rice and maize.....	20
1.4.1 Inflorescence form.....	20
1.4.2 The FT/FD pathway in grasses.....	25
1.4.3 Repressive functions of TFL1 homologs	27

1.4.4	<i>A possible role for SVP-like genes in grass inflorescence development</i>	27
1.4.5	<i>A role for LFY and UFO homologs in panicle branching</i>	29
1.4.6	<i>A divergent role for grass homologs of API/FUL</i>	30
1.4.7	<i>A conserved role in inflorescence branching for an ALOG gene</i>	31
1.4.8	<i>Summary</i>	32
1.5	Pea	32
1.5.1	<i>A model legume species</i>	32
1.5.2	<i>Pea inflorescence architecture</i>	35
1.5.3	<i>The FT family in pea</i>	35
1.5.4	<i>Subfunctionalisation of TFL1 homologs in pea</i>	36
1.5.5	<i>VEG1 - a gene with a novel role in I₂ specification</i>	37
1.5.6	<i>The uncharacterised VEGETATIVE2 (VEG2) locus</i>	37
1.5.7	<i>The uncharacterised LATE BLOOMER 5 (LATE5) locus</i>	38
1.5.8	<i>Pea homologs of LFY and UFO</i>	38
1.5.9	<i>PIM - a conserved role in floral meristem specification</i>	39
1.6	Aims for this study	39
CHAPTER 2: General Materials and Methods		41
2.1	Plant materials and growth conditions	41
2.2	Plant measurements	43
2.3	Online sequence resources	44
2.4	Primer design	47
2.5	DNA and RNA extractions and processing	47
2.5.1	<i>Standard genomic DNA (gDNA) extraction</i>	47
2.5.2	<i>Fast gDNA extraction for simple genotyping</i>	48
2.5.3	<i>RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis</i>	48
2.6	PCR	48
2.6.1	<i>Standard PCR</i>	48
2.6.2	<i>Low stringency PCR</i>	49
2.6.3	<i>High Fidelity PCR</i>	49
2.6.4	<i>Colony PCR</i>	49
2.6.5	<i>Quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR)</i>	49
2.6.6	<i>Rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE)</i>	50
2.6.7	<i>Genome walking</i>	50
2.6.8	<i>Visualisation of DNA</i>	51
2.6.9	<i>Purification of PCR products</i>	51

2.7	Cloning	51
2.7.1	<i>Routine cloning</i>	51
2.7.2	<i>Gateway cloning</i>	51
2.7.3	<i>Purification of plasmid DNA from bacterial clones.....</i>	53
2.8	Quantification of DNA, RNA and PCR products.....	53
2.9	Sequencing and sequence analysis	53
2.10	Design and use of molecular markers for mapping and genotyping	53
2.10.1	<i>Selection of marker genes for mapping.....</i>	54
2.10.2	<i>Size markers</i>	54
2.10.3	<i>Cleaved Amplified Polymorphic Sequence (CAPS) markers</i>	54
2.10.4	<i>Derived Cleaved Amplified Polymorphic Sequence (dCAPS) markers.....</i>	55
2.10.5	<i>High Resolution Melt (HRM) markers</i>	55
2.11	Linkage analysis	55
2.12	Construction of alignments and phylogenetic trees.....	56
2.13	Statistical analysis.....	56

CHAPTER 3: The roles of the VEG2 locus during pea inflorescence development

3.1	Introduction	57
3.1.1	<i>Determination of SAM identity in non-flowering mutants</i>	57
3.1.2	<i>Three pea loci with critical roles in flowering – GIGAS, VEG1 and VEG2</i>	60
3.1.3	<i>Branching.....</i>	61
3.1.4	<i>The role of VEG2 in maintaining I₂ identity.....</i>	63
3.1.5	<i>The role of VEG2 in flower development</i>	63
3.1.6	<i>Chapter aim(s)</i>	64
3.2	Materials and methods.....	65
3.2.1	<i>Plant material and growth conditions.....</i>	65
3.2.2	<i>Genotyping.....</i>	67
3.2.3	<i>qRT-PCR analysis</i>	68
3.2.4	<i>Plant measurements</i>	68
3.3	Results	70
3.3.1	<i>Examining a possible role for VEG2 in I₁ meristem specification</i>	70
3.3.1.1	<i>Investigating SAM identity under LD conditions.....</i>	70
3.3.1.2	<i>Characterisation of ontogenetic variation in internode length under LD conditions</i>	72
3.3.1.3	<i>Investigating the relative timing of the V/I₁ transition under SD conditions</i>	75

3.3.2	<i>Characterisation of aerial branching</i>	78
3.3.2.1	<i>Flowering time</i>	79
3.3.2.2	<i>Characterisation of lateral outgrowth</i>	80
3.3.2.3	<i>Timing of lateral outgrowth</i>	87
3.3.2.4	<i>Total branching</i>	91
3.3.2.5	<i>Apical senescence</i>	92
3.3.3	<i>Investigating the role of VEG2 in specification of I₂ and floral meristem identity</i>	93
3.3.4	<i>Investigating the role of VEG2 in maintaining I₂ meristem identity</i>	96
3.3.5	<i>Examining the role of VEG2 in floral development</i>	98
3.3.5.1	<i>Floral morphology in the veg2-2 single mutant</i>	98
3.3.5.2	<i>The pim-2 veg2-2 double mutant phenotype</i>	103
3.4	Discussion	108
3.4.1	<i>The roles of VEG2 and GIGAS/FTa1 during the V/I₁ transition</i>	108
3.4.2	<i>The link between ontogenetic variation in internode length and the V/I₁ transition</i>	113
3.4.3	<i>Branching in the late- and non-flowering mutants</i>	114
3.4.4	<i>Apical senescence in the late- and non-flowering mutants</i>	117
3.4.5	<i>The role of VEG2 in specifying and maintaining I₂ meristem identity</i>	118
3.4.6	<i>The role of VEG2 in floral development</i>	121
3.4.7	<i>Chapter conclusions</i>	125
CHAPTER 4: Molecular characterisation of the VEG2 locus		127
4.1	Introduction	127
4.1.1	<i>A forward genetics approach</i>	127
4.1.2	<i>FD genes in other species</i>	128
4.1.3	<i>Isolation and preliminary investigation of an FD-like gene from pea</i>	129
4.1.4	<i>Chapter aim(s)</i>	129
4.2	Materials and methods	130
4.2.1	<i>Identification of FD genes</i>	130
4.2.2	<i>Microsynteny</i>	131
4.2.3	<i>Determining the veg2-1 deletion boundaries</i>	131
4.2.3.1	<i>Attempts to isolate FDa in the veg2-1 mutant</i>	131
4.2.3.2	<i>Isolation of putative 5' flanking gene (LA RELATED PROTEIN 1C) for FDa</i>	132
4.2.3.3	<i>Isolation of putative 3' flanking gene (RING finger) for FDa</i>	133
4.2.3.4	<i>Isolation of PsDUF343</i>	133
4.2.3.5	<i>Mapping of FDa and surrounding genes</i>	134
4.2.3.6	<i>Attempts to isolate regions between FDa and putative flanking genes</i>	134
4.2.4	<i>Testing co-segregation of the G536A SNP with the veg2-2 mutation</i>	137

4.3	Results	138
4.3.1	<i>Characterisation of the legume FD gene family</i>	138
4.3.2	<i>Microsynteny.....</i>	147
4.3.3	<i>The veg2-1 mutation.....</i>	151
4.3.4	<i>The veg2-2 mutation.....</i>	153
4.4	Discussion.....	156
4.4.1	<i>The legume FD gene family</i>	156
4.4.2	<i>Microsynteny.....</i>	159
4.4.3	<i>The veg2-1 mutation.....</i>	160
4.4.4	<i>The veg2-2 mutation.....</i>	162
4.4.5	<i>Chapter conclusions.....</i>	163
 CHAPTER 5: Actions and interactions of FDa/VEG2		165
5.1	Introduction	165
5.1.1	<i>Conserved mechanisms of FD action in other species.....</i>	165
5.1.2	<i>14-3-3 proteins.....</i>	166
5.1.3	<i>Possible mechanisms of FDa/VEG2 action.....</i>	167
5.1.4	<i>Chapter aims.....</i>	168
5.2	Materials and methods.....	169
5.2.1	<i>qRT-PCR analysis.....</i>	169
5.2.2	<i>In situ hybridisation</i>	170
5.2.3	<i>Grafting experiment</i>	172
5.2.4	<i>Gateway entry clones.....</i>	173
5.2.5	<i>Yeast two-hybrid analysis</i>	173
5.2.6	<i>Isolation of 14-3-3 genes from pea</i>	175
5.2.7	<i>Yeast three-hybrid analysis.....</i>	175
5.2.8	<i>Bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) analysis</i>	177
5.3	Results	178
5.3.1	<i>Wild-type expression patterns of FDa.....</i>	178
5.3.2	<i>Grafting experiment</i>	180
5.3.3	<i>Protein interactions of FDa</i>	181
5.3.3.1	<i>Yeast two-hybrid analysis.....</i>	181
5.3.3.2	<i>Isolation of 14-3-3 genes from pea.....</i>	184
5.3.3.3	<i>Yeast three-hybrid analysis</i>	190
5.3.3.4	<i>Bimolecular fluorescence complementation.....</i>	191
5.3.4	<i>Identification of genes regulated by FDa/VEG2.....</i>	193

5.4	Discussion.....	198
5.4.1	<i>Wild-type expression patterns of FDa.....</i>	198
5.4.2	<i>Protein interactions.....</i>	199
5.4.2.1	<i>Interactions between FDa and FT homologs</i>	200
5.4.2.2	<i>Interactions between FDa and TFL1 homologs</i>	203
5.4.2.3	<i>The legume 14-3-3 gene family.....</i>	205
5.4.3	<i>Identification of genes regulated by FDa/VEG2.....</i>	206
5.4.4	<i>Conclusions.....</i>	211
 CHAPTER 6: Investigation of the LATE5 locus.....		213
6.1	Introduction.....	213
6.1.1	<i>Genetic interactions</i>	213
6.1.2	<i>Mapping of the LATE5 locus.....</i>	214
6.1.3	<i>Chapter aims</i>	214
6.2	Materials and methods	215
6.2.1	<i>Plant material, growth conditions and measurements</i>	215
6.2.2	<i>qRT-PCR analysis.....</i>	216
6.2.3	<i>Genotyping for VEG1.....</i>	217
6.2.4	<i>Fine mapping of the LATE5 locus.....</i>	217
6.3	Results	219
6.3.1	<i>Further investigation of late5 mutant morphology.....</i>	219
6.3.1.1	<i>Ontogenetic variation in internode length.....</i>	219
6.3.1.2	<i>Lateral outgrowth.....</i>	220
6.3.1.3	<i>I₂ morphology.....</i>	223
6.3.1.4	<i>Floral morphology.....</i>	227
6.3.2	<i>Investigation of genetic interactions with DET</i>	228
6.3.2.1	<i>Morphology of det and lf det mutants.....</i>	228
6.3.2.2	<i>Phenotypic characterisation of veg1 det and veg1 lf det mutants</i>	231
6.3.2.3	<i>Phenotypic characterisation of late5 det and late5 lf det mutants.....</i>	234
6.3.3	<i>Identification of genes regulated by LATE5.....</i>	236
6.3.4	<i>Fine-mapping of the LATE5 locus.....</i>	238
6.4	Discussion.....	243
6.4.1	<i>Further investigation of late5 mutant morphology.....</i>	243
6.4.2	<i>Investigation of genetic interactions with DET</i>	245
6.4.2.1	<i>Variation in I₂ morphology observed in det and lf det mutants</i>	245
6.4.2.2	<i>Phenotypic characterisation of veg1 det and veg1 lf det mutants</i>	246
6.4.2.3	<i>Phenotypic characterisation of late5 det and late5 lf det mutants.....</i>	250
6.4.3	<i>Identification of genes regulated by LATE5.....</i>	250
6.4.4	<i>Fine-mapping of the LATE5 locus.....</i>	251
6.4.5	<i>Conclusions.....</i>	254

CHAPTER 7: Characterisation of SVP-like genes in pea	255
7.1 Introduction	255
7.1.1 Chapter aims	256
7.2 Materials and methods.....	257
7.2.1 Identification of legume SVP-like genes and gene isolation from pea	257
7.2.2 Identification of SVP-like genes in other angiosperm species	257
7.2.3 Mapping of pea SVP-like genes	258
7.2.4 qRT-PCR analysis	258
7.3 Results	259
7.3.1 Characterisation of the legume <i>StMADS11</i> subfamily.....	259
7.3.2 Conserved structure of SVP-like genes	266
7.3.3 Map positions for the pea SVP-like genes.....	267
7.3.4 Ontogenetic expression patterns of pea SVP-like genes	268
7.4 Discussion.....	272
7.4.1 Characterisation of the legume <i>StMADS11</i> subfamily.....	272
7.4.2 Characteristics of pea SVP-like genes	275
7.4.3 Conclusions.....	278
CHAPTER 8: General Discussion	279
8.1 Summary of main findings	279
8.2 Revised model for inflorescence development in pea	280
8.3 Future directions	283
8.4 Concluding remarks.....	286
References.....	287
Appendix 1: Primer details	311
Appendix 2: Sequences and sequence alignments for Chapter 4	321
Sequence alignment for Figure 4.1	325
Sequence alignment for Figure 4.2	330
Sequence alignment for Figure 4.4	332
Correcting annotation of <i>LARPIC</i> genes for Sections 4.3.2 and 4.3.3.....	341
Appendix 3: Expression profiles for legume <i>FD</i> genes from gene expression atlases .	344
Appendix 4: Sequences and sequence alignments for Chapter 5	348
Sequence alignment for Figure 5.3	350
Alignment of <i>FT/TFL1</i> homologs showing putative 14-3-3 binding sites	356

Appendix 5: qRT-PCR results for <i>UNI</i> and <i>SOC1a</i> in <i>gigas</i> and <i>veg1</i>	357
Appendix 6: Sequences and sequence alignments for Chapter 7	358
<i>Sequences for SVP-like genes and other MADS-box transcription factors included in alignments</i> <i>and phylogenetic analyses</i>	358
<i>Sequence alignment for Figure 7.1</i>	361
<i>Sequence alignment for Figure 7.2</i>	368
<i>Sequence alignment for Figure 7.3</i>	376

Abbreviations

ABA	Abscisic acid
AF	<i>AFILA</i>
AG	<i>AGAMOUS</i>
AGL24	<i>AGAMOUS-LIKE24</i>
AGL79	<i>AGAMOUS-LIKE79</i>
ALOG	<i>Arabidopsis</i> LIGHT-SENSITIVE HYPOCOTYL 1 <i>Oryza</i> GI
AN	<i>ANANTHA</i>
AP1	<i>APETALA1</i>
AP2	<i>APETALA2</i>
AP3	<i>APETALA3</i>
APO1	<i>ABERRANT PANICLE ORGANIZATION1</i>
AREB3	ABA-Responsive Element Binding protein 3
ATC	<i>ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA CENTRORADIALIS HOMOLOG</i>
BiFC	Bimolecular fluorescence complementation
bp	Nucleotide base pairs
<i>BRC1</i>	<i>BRANCHED1</i>
bZIP	Basic region leucine zipper
CAL	<i>CAULIFLOWER</i>
CAPS	Cleaved amplified polymorphic sequence
cDNA	Complementary DNA
CDS	Coding sequence
<i>CEN</i>	<i>CENTRORADIALIS</i>
<i>CETS</i>	<i>CENTRORADIALIS/TERMINAL FLOWER 1/SELF-PRUNING</i>
<i>CO</i>	<i>CONSTANS</i>
cv.	Cultivar
<i>DAM</i>	Dormancy-associated MADS-box
dbEST	GenBank Database of Expressed Sequence Tags
dCAPS	Derived cleaved amplified polymorphic sequence
<i>DET</i>	<i>DETERMINATE</i>
DFCI TGI	Dana Farber Cancer Institute Gene Indices
<i>DJC23</i>	<i>DNA J PROTEIN C23</i>
<i>DJC24</i>	<i>DNA J PROTEIN C24</i>
<i>DLF1</i>	<i>DELAYED FLOWERING1</i>
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
<i>DNE</i>	<i>DIE NEUTRALIS</i>
<i>DPBF4</i>	<i>Dc3 promoter-binding factor 4</i>
DTF	Plant age at first open flower
EMS	Ethyl methanesulfonate
<i>FA</i>	<i>FALSIFLORA</i>
<i>FDP</i>	<i>FD PARALOG</i>
<i>FLC</i>	<i>FLOWERING LOCUS C</i>

<i>FT</i>	<i>FLOWERING LOCUS T</i>
<i>FUL</i>	<i>FRUITFUL</i>
gDNA	Genomic DNA
<i>gi</i>	<i>gigas</i>
<i>Hd3a</i>	<i>Heading date 3a</i>
I ₁	Primary inflorescence
I ₂	Secondary inflorescence
<i>INCO</i>	<i>INCOMPOSITA</i>
<i>J</i>	<i>JOINTLESS</i>
KAL	Kaliski
kb	1000 nucleotide base pairs
<i>LARP1C</i>	<i>LA RELATED PROTEIN 1C</i>
<i>LATE1</i>	<i>LATE BLOOMER 1</i>
<i>LATE5</i>	<i>LATE BLOOMER 5</i>
LB	Lurio-Bertoni media
LD	Long day photoperiod
<i>LF</i>	<i>LATE FLOWERING</i>
<i>LFY</i>	<i>LEAFY</i>
LG	Linkage group
<i>LSH3</i>	<i>LIGHT-DEPENDENT SHORT HYPOCOTYLS 3</i>
<i>LSH4</i>	<i>LIGHT-DEPENDENT SHORT HYPOCOTYLS 4</i>
<i>LUX</i>	<i>LUX ARRHYTHMO</i>
Mb	1,000,000 nucleotide base pairs
<i>MC</i>	<i>MACROCALYX</i>
miR156	microRNA156
NFI	Node of flower initiation
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
<i>phyA</i>	<i>phytochrome A</i>
<i>PI</i>	<i>PISTILLATA</i>
qRT-PCR	Quantitative reverse transcription PCR
QTL	Quantitative trait loci
RACE	Rapid amplification of cDNA ends
RAPD	Random amplified polymorphic DNA
RN	Reproductive node
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
RNAi	RNA interference
SAM	Shoot apical meristem
SD	Short day photoperiod
SDW	Autoclaved Milli-Q water
SE	Standard error
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
<i>SEP1</i>	<i>SEPALATA1</i>
<i>SEP2</i>	<i>SEPALATA2</i>
<i>SEP3</i>	<i>SEPALATA3</i>
<i>SEP4</i>	<i>SEPALATA4</i>

<i>SFT</i>	<i>SINGLE FLOWER TRUSS</i>
<i>SN</i>	<i>STERILE NODES</i>
SNP	Single nucleotide polymorphism
<i>SOC1</i>	<i>SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREXPRESSION OF CONSTANS 1</i>
<i>SP</i>	<i>SELF PRUNING</i>
<i>SPGB</i>	<i>SELF-PRUNING G-BOX</i>
<i>SPL</i>	<i>SQUAMOSA PROMOTER BINDING PROTEIN-LIKE</i>
<i>SQUA</i>	<i>SQUAMOSA</i>
<i>SVP</i>	<i>SHORT VEGETATIVE PHASE</i>
TAE	Tris acetate ethylenediamine tetra-acetic acid
TAIR	The Arabidopsis Information Resource
TER	Térèse
<i>TFL1</i>	<i>TERMINAL FLOWER1</i>
T _m	Optimal annealing temperature
TN	Total nodes
TSA	Transcriptome Shotgun Assembly
<i>UFO</i>	<i>UNUSUAL FLORAL ORGANS</i>
<i>UNI</i>	<i>UNIFOLIATA</i>
UTR	Untranslated region
<i>VEG1</i>	<i>VEGETATIVE 1</i>
<i>VEG2</i>	<i>VEGETATIVE 2</i>
V/I ₁ transition	Transition of the SAM from vegetative to I ₁ meristem identity
<i>VRN1</i>	<i>VERNALIZATION1</i>
WT	Wild-type
<i>WUS</i>	<i>WUSCHEL</i>
YFC	C-terminal half of yellow fluorescent protein
YFN	N-terminal half of yellow fluorescent protein
YFP	Yellow fluorescent protein
<i>ZCN8</i>	<i>Zea mays CENTRORADIALIS8</i>
<i>ZFL1</i>	<i>Zea mays FLO/LFY 1</i>