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Abstract

La Colosa is a world-class porphyry gold (Au) project located in Colombia, currently undergoing pre-feasibility analysis. As part of collaboration between AngloGold Ashanti and the AMIRA P843A GeM\textsuperscript{III} Project, the application of emerging ge metallurgical characterisation testing and modelling tools has been incorporated into the early stages of project development.

The aim of the ge metallurgical study is to map inherent comminution variability across the La Colosa deposit providing information critical for mine/mill design and optimisation. In this study, site-based data incorporating 17,505 multi-element assays, 275 QXRD bulk mineralogy measurements, 15,195 EQUOtip rebound hardness values, 9015 sonic velocity measurements, 16,676 density measurements and routine geological logging information from 92 diamond drill holes has been integrated with a range of ge metallurgical com minution tests (i.e. 155 A*\textsuperscript{b} values and 151 BMWi values).

The thesis demonstrates the integration techniques used at La Colosa to link routine data acquisition methods with comminution test results through the development of proxy support models. The integration incorporates a range of statistical techniques including principal component analysis, regression modelling and geostatistics. By creating proxy models, com minution index estimates can be propagated into the geological database enabling comminution processing domains to be defined. These domains provide the first spatial representation of comminution performance and variability at La Colosa and these can be compared with traditional geological domains.

In general, the comminution hardness of the rocks is related to proximity to structural corridors (trending 350), to topographic surface and the western contact between intramineral diorite and hornfels. The study shows that, overall, La Colosa rocks are intermediate to hard in terms of crushing and grinding. Seven hardness domains were identified for crushing and seven for grinding.

This study will provide the foundation for ongoing comminution characterisation as the La Colosa project evolves through project cycles into an operational mine.
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