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Abstract

The Southern Ocean plays a critical role in the global carbon cycle, accounting for over 40% of the global ocean uptake of anthropogenic carbon dioxide (CO₂). Observations are often localized and widely separated in both space and time, resulting in a heavy dependence on models to characterize carbon fluxes at regional scales in this area. Subsequently, notable discrepancies exist between model and observational results within the seasonal sea-ice zone (SIZ) around Antarctica. Given the important role of atmospheric CO₂ in the climate system and its influence on changing ocean chemistry (ocean acidification), there is a need to accurately attribute the causes of change and develop a regional understanding of the CO₂ sink/source nature of the Southern Ocean.

In this thesis, the carbon cycle in the seasonal sea-ice zone of East Antarctica was investigated across a variety of spatial and temporal scales. In this region a large variability in the drivers and timing of carbon cycling dynamics was observed. Analysis of data from an oceanographic survey carried out during the austral summer (January – March 2006), between 30°-80°E and 60°-69°S, showed the SIZ to be a weak net source of CO₂ to the atmosphere of 0.07 ± 0.13 mol C m⁻² during the spring/summer ice-free period. Narrow bands of CO₂ uptake were observed near the continental margin and north of the Southern Antarctic Circumpolar Current Front.

Continuous surface measurements of dissolved oxygen and the fugacity of CO₂ (\(f\text{CO}_2\)) were combined with net community production estimates from oxygen/argon ratios to show that surface heat gain and photosynthesis were responsible for the majority of surface water biogeochemical variability during the survey. On seasonal timescales, winter sea-ice cover acted to reduce the flux of CO₂ to the atmosphere in the study area, followed by biologically driven drawdown of CO₂ as the ice retreated in spring-summer. This highlights the import role that sea-ice formation and retreat has on the biogeochemical dynamics of the region.

The influence of sea-ice formation and retreat was observed in greater detail at a coastal site in Prydz Bay near Davis station (66.5766°S, 77.9674°E), where the annual cycles of dissolved CO₂ system parameters were determined using samples collected from May 2010 to February 2011. These observations show the seasonal influence of ice formation and melt, biological production, and sea-air CO₂ flux on changes in
total dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), pH_{sws} and the saturation state of aragonite (Ω_{ar}). Net community production of 1.8 ± 0.4 mol C m^{-2} in the productive summer months (November-February) caused large seasonal decreases in DIC. The decrease in DIC caused a change in surface water partial pressure of CO_{2} from values over-saturated with respect to the atmosphere in the ice-covered winter period, to undersaturated waters in the summer months.

In contrast to the offshore SIZ, the coastal study site was estimated to be an annual net sink for CO_{2} of 0.54 ± 0.11 mol C m^{-2} year^{-1}. The calculated pH_{sws} and Ω_{ar} values varied seasonally from 7.99 to 8.20 and 1.19 to 1.92, respectively. The observed variability was compared to similar measurements carried out in 1993-95 at the same location, and this revealed that natural variability in carbon cycle dynamics caused changes in pH_{sws} that were nearly twice as large as those expected from changes estimated due to ocean acidification over this time.

In addition to the analysis of carbon cycle dynamics in offshore and coastal East Antarctica, an experiment was designed to assess the impact of ocean acidification on benthic communities near Casey station (66.2818°S, 110.5276°E) in East Antarctica from December 2014 to February 2015. Changes in dissolved CO_{2} system parameters within this first Antarctic free ocean CO_{2} enrichment (antFOCE) experiment showed how the system successfully manipulated seawater carbonate chemistry to maintain a mean pH offset from ambient values of 0.38 ± 0.07 pH units for approximately 6 weeks of the 8-week experimental period.

Diel and seasonal fluctuations in ambient pH were duplicated in experimental chambers, located on the seafloor under sea ice, where the seawater pH was manipulated to match values expected by the end of this century under the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Representative Concentration Pathway 8.5 greenhouse gas concentration trajectory. The mean pH_{sws}, Ω_{ar} and fCO_{2} values in the experimental chambers were 7.680 ± 0.085, 0.62 ± 0.15 and 914 ± 160 µatm, respectively. The experiment demonstrates the feasibility of FOCE systems, even under extreme conditions experienced in the Antarctic.

The dynamic nature of the SIZ in general and the observed variability in dissolved CO_{2} system parameters in the broader region, demonstrates the need for continued
monitoring of the marine carbon cycle so that regional models can accurately attribute causes of change and predict impacts of future ocean acidification.
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1 Introduction

1.1 The global carbon cycle

The global carbon cycle plays a key role in regulating the Earth’s climate by controlling the concentrations of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. Since the start of the Industrial Revolution (defined as beginning in the year 1750) the atmospheric concentration of these gases, mainly carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) have substantially increased (IPCC, 2013). CO₂ in particular has increased from 278 ± 2 ppm (Etheridge et al., 1996) to present values (2016) of ~403 ppm (Dlugokencky & Tans, 2016) throughout the industrial era. This increase is attributed to the burning of fossil fuels and changes to land usage, which in turn affects the radiative properties of the atmosphere (Arrhenius, 1896). The global carbon cycle is characterised by a series of carbon reservoirs and fluxes in the Earth system that exchange carbon over varying timescales. Broadly, the timescales associated with the turnover of carbon in each reservoir, can be divided into two domains, the fast (<10,000 years) and the slow domain (>10,000 years). The fossil fuel extraction from the slow domain, and its subsequent combustion, has resulted in the transfer of a significant amount of carbon from the slow domain into the fast domain. This has resulted in a major human-induced, or anthropogenic, perturbation to the carbon cycle, causing climate change.

The global carbon cycle is dominated by four major carbon reservoirs: the Earth’s crust, the atmosphere, the terrestrial biosphere and the ocean. Understanding how carbon is cycled between the various reservoirs is critical to understanding future changes in climate. For example, the total amount of anthropogenic carbon emitted to the atmosphere over the industrial period (to 2011) through fossil fuel combustion, cement manufacturing and land use change is 555 ± 85 Pg C, however the observed atmospheric increase over the same period is 240 ± 10 Pg C (IPCC, 2013). The ocean and the terrestrial biosphere have absorbed the remaining anthropogenic carbon, but there remains considerable uncertainty regarding the relative partitioning of anthropogenic CO₂ between these two reservoirs (Houghton, 2007). Current estimates allocate 155 ± 30 Pg C, or 28% of total anthropogenic CO₂ emissions to the oceanic reservoir (Le Quéré et al., 2015).
Atmospheric CO$_2$ is exchanged with the surface ocean across the sea-air interface and is transported within the ocean by the biological pump and the combined action of the solubility pump and large scale circulation (Volk & Hoffert, 1985). These transport mechanisms produce a vertical gradient of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) within the ocean, whereby the DIC concentration in the deep ocean is more than 10% higher than at the surface (Gruber & Sarmiento, 2002). The solubility pump is driven by the temperature gradient in the ocean and the increased solubility of CO$_2$ at lower temperatures. The biological pump is further divided into the soft tissue pump and the marine carbonate pump (Volk & Hoffert, 1985). The soft tissue pump refers to the fraction of organic carbon that is exported to, and subsequently remineralized in, the deep ocean from photosynthetic activity in the surface. The marine carbonate pump describes the biogenic formation and dissolution of calcium carbonate (CaCO$_3_)$ and the net downward transport of both alkalinity and DIC from the surface into the deeper ocean. In the absence of these “pumps”, large-scale circulation would mix the DIC and alkalinity uniformly and cause atmospheric CO$_2$ to almost double its preindustrial concentration (Gruber & Sarmiento, 2002). Therefore future change in climate will depend not only on the intensity of carbon emissions, but also on the efficiency of the pumps that remove CO$_2$ from the atmosphere to the deep ocean.

1.2 The Southern Ocean

The Southern Ocean is the primary conduit by which anthropogenic CO$_2$ enters the ocean, accounting for over 40% of the global ocean uptake of anthropogenic CO$_2$ (Sabine et al., 2004; Khatiwala et al., 2009). This strong uptake is due to the vigorous overturning circulation in these high latitudes, in which water masses are formed and subducted into the ocean interior (Sallée et al., 2012). Circulation within the Southern Ocean is dominated by the eastward flowing Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) (Rintoul et al., 2001), which consists of a number of circumpolar fronts that correspond to different water mass boundaries and eastward flow regimes (Orsi et al., 1995). A series of key zones for carbon cycling are defined by the fronts (Lenton et al., 2013). These zones from north to south are 1) the Subantarctic zone (SAZ) between the Subtropical Front (STF) and the Subantarctic Front (SAF); 2) the polar frontal zone (PFZ), between the SAF and the Polar Front (PF); 3) the Antarctic zone (AZ) between the PF and the Antarctic coast (Figures 1.1 and 1.2).
Westerly winds across the Southern Ocean produce a northward Ekman transport of surface waters, creating a divergence driven upwelling of carbon-rich Circumpolar Deep Water (CDW) near the Southern Boundary (SB) of the ACC (Rintoul et al., 2001). From here, two meridional overturning cells form (Figure 1.1), providing a significant conduit for anthropogenic carbon to enter the deep ocean (Marshall & Speer, 2012). The first cell, consisting of intermediate and mode waters that are transported north to intermediate depths, makes up the largest contribution to the uptake and storage of anthropogenic carbon by the Southern Ocean (McNeil et al., 2001; Sabine et al., 2004; Sallée et al., 2012). A second cell is driven by the formation of Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW), which originates in specific regions on the Antarctic shelf and sinks to abyssal depths due to varying combinations of brine rejection from sea-ice formation and ocean/ice-shelf interactions. In doing so, AABW contributes significantly to the global overturning circulation and sequesters heat and atmospheric gases to the deep ocean (Orsi et al., 1999; Johnson, 2008; Marshall & Speer, 2012).

**Figure 1.1** Schematic of Southern Ocean circulation showing the divergence driven upwelling of Upper and Lower Circumpolar Deep Water (UCDW and LCDW) and the mean flow of the upper (red arrows) and lower (blue arrows) meridional overturning cells. See text for definitions of Fronts and Zones (Source: Post et al. 2014).

Despite the importance of the Southern Ocean to global biogeochemical cycles, it remains one of the most poorly sampled ocean regions (Lenton et al., 2013) with
observations often localized and widely separated in both space and time. This results in a heavy dependence on models to characterize carbon fluxes at regional scales in this area. Notable discrepancies exist between model and observational results within the seasonal ice zone (SIZ) around Antarctica. Ocean biogeochemical models, for example, indicate a weak annual sink of CO$_2$ for the area south of 58°S, whereas atmospheric inversions show the area to be a small source (Lenton et al., 2013). These discrepancies are most likely due to sparse observations and incomplete model formulations that do not adequately resolve the large seasonal variability in processes that govern atmosphere-ocean interactions, such as temperature, wind regimes, sea-ice conditions, and biological productivity (Takahashi et al., 2012).

1.3 Ocean acidification

The uptake of anthropogenic CO$_2$ by the ocean has reduced the amount of CO$_2$ that would otherwise remain in the atmosphere, thereby minimizing some of the impacts of global warming. This uptake however, changes the chemical balance of seawater through the thermodynamic equilibrium of CO$_2$ with seawater. The chemical reaction lowers the pH and the dissolved carbonate ion (CO$_3^{2-}$) concentration of seawater (Feely et al., 2004; Orr et al., 2005), causing ocean acidification. A consequence of declining CO$_3^{2-}$ concentration is a reduction in the saturation state (Ω) of CaCO$_3$, a mineral used in the production of shells and skeletal material of many marine organisms. Laboratory experiments indicate that many marine organisms react adversely to decreases in pH and CO$_3^{2-}$ concentrations that will occur under future atmospheric CO$_2$ scenarios (Raven et al., 2005).

The Southern Ocean is considered particularly sensitive to changes in carbonate chemistry, due primarily to its low buffer capacity (Sabine et al., 2004), which results in greater ocean acidification per unit CO$_2$ increase than temperate and tropical waters (Revelle & Suess, 1957). The Southern Ocean is predicted to be one of the first regions to experience widespread undersaturation of aragonite (Ω$_{ar} < 1$) (McNeil & Matear, 2008), a major biogenic form of CaCO$_3$ in high-latitude Southern Ocean waters (Honjo, 2004; Hunt et al., 2008; Post et al., 2010; Bednaršek et al., 2012). The decline in ocean pH is predicted to have serious consequences for marine ecosystems (Orr et al., 2005), from direct effects on physiology, metabolism, and calcification rates; to indirect effects on food webs, species interactions (Kroeker et al., 2013) and
phytoplankton community composition (Neven et al., 2011; Trimborn et al., 2013). This Southern Ocean sensitivity varies significantly with latitude, with waters close to Antarctica exhibiting lower pH and carbonate saturation states. As a result, important biogeochemical thresholds such as carbonate undersaturation and pH values below Holocene levels, are expected to be crossed earlier than waters further north (Orr et al., 2005; McNeil & Matear, 2008).

1.4 Objectives of this study

The overall objective of this study was to investigate the carbon cycle dynamics of the seasonal sea-ice zone of East Antarctica. The study considered a range of spatial and temporal scales that contribute to the variability of carbon cycling in the region. The task was carried out as three major efforts, which make up the three main chapters of this thesis.

Firstly, a survey of the large-scale characteristics of biogeochemical properties in East Antarctic waters within the SIZ was undertaken. Specifically, the vertical and surface distribution of biogeochemical properties in the seasonally ice covered region of the southwest Indian Ocean sector of East Antarctica (30°-80°E, 60°-69°S) was investigated during austral summer (January – March 2006) during the Baseline Research on Oceanography, Krill and the Environment – West (BROKE-West) survey (Figure 1.2). The physical and biological processes influencing the observed changes in carbonate system parameters and the winter to summer evolution of such properties were determined, and the uptake and storage of anthropogenic carbon in the region was also investigated. This work is presented in Chapter 2, and has recently been published in the Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans.

Secondly, the full annual cycle of carbon system variations and their relationships to sea ice and water column structure was investigated for a coastal site in East Antarctica using samples collected from May 2010 to February 2011 in Prydz Bay. This is one of the few coastal sites in Antarctica with seasonal data. This work allowed a comparison with observations made nearly twenty years earlier from the same site and were used to assess decadal variability in carbon cycle dynamics. This work is presented in Chapter 3, and was published in 2013 in Marine Chemistry.
Finally, a first effort to examine possible future ramifications of ocean acidification using a free-ocean CO₂ enrichment (FOCE) experiment was undertaken (Chapter 4). This chapter describes manipulation of seawater carbonate chemistry in experimental chambers of the first Antarctic FOCE (antFOCE) experiment. Conducted from December 2014 to February 2015 at a coastal site near Casey station in East Antarctica, the experiment was designed to study the response of benthic communities to ocean acidification. High-resolution monitoring of seawater pH under different sea-ice regimes was also undertaken so that local variability in biogeochemical properties could be determined. This work also provided additional insights into small spatial scale and rapid temporal natural variability in ocean carbon system status in near shore waters with multiyear fast ice cover.

Following these 3 experimental studies, a brief Conclusion chapter summarizes the overall advances of the thesis and identifies important issues for further research.
Figure 1.2 The historical location of Southern Ocean fronts and boundaries, including the Subtropical Front (STF), the Subantarctic Front (SAF), the Polar Front (PF), the Southern Antarctic Circumpolar Current Front (SACCF) and the Southern Boundary (SB) of the ACC (Orsi et al., 1995), with the average (1979-2008) maximum extent of sea ice (red line) (Cavalieri et al., 2015) and the location of sample sites used in this thesis, including the Baseline Research on Oceanography, Krill and the Environment – West (BROKE-West; 2006), the Princess Elizabeth Trough (PET; 2005) and the southern end of the 1994 WOCE SR3 transect.
2 Carbon cycling dynamics in the seasonal sea-ice zone of East Antarctica between 30°-80°E

All of the research contained within this chapter has been published as:


Abstract

The carbon cycle of the seasonally ice covered region of the southwest Indian Ocean sector of East Antarctica (30°-80°E, 60°-69°S) was investigated during austral summer (January – March 2006). Large variability in the drivers and timing of carbon cycling dynamics were observed and indicated that the study site was a weak net source of carbon dioxide (CO₂) to the atmosphere of 0.07 ± 0.13 mol C m⁻² during the ice-free period, with narrow bands of CO₂ uptake observed near the continental margin and north of the Southern Antarctic Circumpolar Current Front. Continuous surface measurements of dissolved oxygen and the fugacity of CO₂ were combined with net community production estimates from oxygen/argon ratios to show that surface heat gain and photosynthesis were responsible for the majority of observed surface water variability. On seasonal timescales, winter sea-ice cover reduced the flux of CO₂ to the atmosphere in the study area, followed by biologically driven drawdown of CO₂ as the ice retreated in spring-summer highlighting the important role that sea-ice formation and retreat has on the biogeochemical cycling of the region.
2.1 Introduction

The Southern Ocean plays a critical role in the global carbon cycle, accounting for over 40% of the global ocean uptake of anthropogenic carbon dioxide (CO₂) (Sabine et al., 2004; Khatiwala et al., 2009). This area is one of the most poorly sampled ocean regions (Lenton et al., 2013) with observations often localized and widely separated in both space and time, resulting in a heavy dependence on models to characterize carbon fluxes at regional scales in this area. Notable discrepancies exist between model and observational results within the seasonal ice zone (SIZ) around Antarctica. Ocean biogeochemical models, for example, indicate a weak annual sink of CO₂ for the area south of 58°S, whereas atmospheric inversions show the area to be a small source (Lenton et al., 2013). These discrepancies are most likely due to sparse observations and incomplete model formulations that do not adequately resolve the large seasonal variability in processes that govern atmosphere-ocean interactions, such as temperature, wind regimes, sea-ice conditions, and biological productivity (Takahashi et al., 2012).

Given the important role of atmospheric CO₂ in the climate system, there is a need to accurately attribute the causes of change and develop a regional understanding of the CO₂ sink/source nature of the Southern Ocean. For example, Arrigo et al. (2008) modelled CO₂ uptake in the south-western Ross Sea, which equates to 27% of their CO₂ sink estimate for the entire Southern Ocean. While this suggests the shelf regions around Antarctica may be a significant component of the Southern Ocean CO₂ uptake, the quantification of their role in carbon uptake is largely unresolved. Furthermore, future change in the Southern Ocean carbon cycle is likely to be complicated by climate related physical and biological feedbacks associated with changes in sea-ice dynamics (Massom et al., 2013), increased stratification (Smith & Nelson, 1986) and the intensification of winds (Thompson et al., 2011; Meijers, 2014). Recent evidence indicates the efficiency of the Southern Ocean CO₂ sink has increased in the past decade (Landschützer et al., 2015), after weakening in the previous decade (Le Quéré et al., 2007), indicating changes to large-scale ocean dynamics will not only influence future atmospheric CO₂ levels, but may also influence the rate of ocean acidification in this region (Lenton et al., 2009).
The majority of the Southern Ocean is characterized as a high-nutrient, low-chlorophyll (HNLC) zone, which refers to areas of the ocean with low standing stocks of phytoplankton and high macronutrient concentrations. Although modest rates of annual average net primary production occur in the Southern Ocean (Arrigo et al., 2008), intense phytoplankton blooms can occur. The marginal ice zone (MIZ), defined as the outer edge of the summer pack ice, has been recognized as a site of elevated biomass and productivity (Smith & Nelson, 1986). Here, the spring bloom of phytoplankton is initiated during the development of a stable water column formed by the input of low-density water from the receding sea ice.

Whilst sea-ice dynamics and the development of the seasonal mixed layer (SML) are undoubtedly important processes, the timing and the magnitude of primary productivity in the Southern Ocean is also driven by light availability and the supply of micronutrients, particularly iron (de Baar et al., 1995). The various supply mechanisms of iron to the Southern Ocean have been widely discussed in the literature (Sedwick & DiTullio, 1997; Cassar et al., 2007; Boyd & Mackie, 2008; Lannuzel et al., 2014; Schallenberg et al., 2016). Dust deposition, sea-ice melt, and the oceanic supply through sediment interactions and upwelling are considered to be among the most important processes controlling iron availability and thus, influencing the biological productivity of the Southern Ocean.

For January-March 2006, the SIZ off the coast of East Antarctica between 30°-80°E, was the location of a comprehensive marine study. The Baseline Research on Oceanography, Krill and the Environment – West (BROKE-West) survey concentrated on the Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR) statistical division 58.4.2. The statistical divisions of the CCAMLR area, which tend to align with the general ecosystem characteristics of the Southern Ocean, were implemented so that catch, effort and trade statistics in each region could be reported. The BROKE-West survey included 11 meridional oceanographic transects over the Antarctic shelf, slope and rise every 5° of longitude and a zonal section to the north at 62°S (Figure 2.1).

A series of papers from the BROKE-West study covered the large-scale circulation (Meijers et al., 2010), surface oceanography (Williams et al., 2010), remotely sensed climatologies of the region (Schwarz et al., 2010), primary productivity (Westwood et
al., 2010), phytoplankton (Wright et al., 2010), protistan community composition (Davidson et al., 2010) and krill (Jarvis et al., 2010; Kawaguchi et al., 2010; Virtue et al., 2010). This chapter describes the surface and vertical distribution of biogeochemical properties through the region, the physical and biological processes influencing the observed changes and the winter to summer evolution of such properties.

Figure 2.1 Cruise track of the RV Aurora Australis during the BROKE-West survey in the South Indian sector of the Southern Ocean. Showing the location of CTD and xCTD stations, marked as black dots and black open squares, respectively. Black dashed lines (labelled) show the location of the Southern Boundary (SB) of Circumpolar Deep Water and the Southern Antarctic Circumpolar Current Front (SACCF). Other large-scale oceanographic features include the main flow in the east of the Weddell Gyre (green arrows), the Antarctic Slope Current (blue arrows), the Prydz Bay Gyre (yellow arrow) (Heywood et al., 1999) and the southern Antarctic Circumpolar Current (red arrow). The intersections of Zones 1, 2 and 3 are delineated with red dashed arrows, for precise boundaries refer to text in Section 2.2.1.1.

2.2 Data and methods

2.2.1 Oceanographic setting of the Antarctic margin between 30°-80°E

2.2.1.1 Large-scale circulation and water mass properties

The study region lies inside the Weddell-Enderby basin, with the Kerguelen Plateau immediately to the northeast and the Princess Elizabeth Trough to the east. The large-scale circulation, water masses and frontal boundaries of the BROKE-West study area (Williams et al., 2010; Meijers et al., 2010), include two partial gyres, three major
fronts and six water masses and several upwelling regimes associated with the Weddell Gyre, the Antarctic Divergence and the Kerguelen Plateau (Foldvik & Gammelsrød, 1988; Park et al., 1998; Sokolov & Rintoul, 2007; Bakker et al., 2008; Williams et al., 2010). We define water masses of the region in Table 2.1 based on the classifications of Whitworth et al. (1998) and Shadwick et al. (2014).

Table 2.1 Bounding neutral density ($\gamma_n$), potential temperature ($\theta$) and water depth values that define the major water masses in the BROKE-West region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Mass</th>
<th>$\gamma_n$ (kg m$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>$\theta$ (°C)</th>
<th>Water Depth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antarctic Surface Water (AASW)</td>
<td>&lt;28.03</td>
<td>&gt;-1.925</td>
<td>Above $T_{min}$ base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumpolar Deep Water (CDW)</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;1.5</td>
<td>Below $T_{min}$ base to 2500 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified CDW (mCDW)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\leq$1.5</td>
<td>Below $T_{min}$ base to 2500 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW)</td>
<td>&gt;28.27</td>
<td>&gt;-1.925</td>
<td>&gt;2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Shelf Water (mSW)</td>
<td>&gt;28.27</td>
<td>&gt;-1.85</td>
<td>600 to 2500 m (Slope)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Salinity Shelf Water (LSSW)</td>
<td>&gt;28.27</td>
<td>&gt;-1.85</td>
<td>&lt;600 m (Shelf)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dense Shelf Water (DSW)</td>
<td>&gt;28.27</td>
<td>-1.925 to -1.85</td>
<td>&lt;600 m (Shelf)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice Shelf Water (ISW)</td>
<td>$\leq$-1.925</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The region can be divided into three distinctive zones (Schwarz et al., 2010) that were sampled from the surface to the bottom (Figure 2.1), starting with Leg 1 in January and Leg 11 completed in February. Zone 1, is the continental shelf/slope region (depth < 3000 m) to the south of the Antarctic Slope Front, and is characterised by marginal ice cover in December and January, and by the westward flowing Antarctic Slope Current. Zone 2, covers waters to the north of the Antarctic Slope Front and west of ~45°E and corresponds to the SIZ and the eastern limb of the Weddell Gyre, which is an elongated cyclonic gyre. To the east and offshore, Zone 3 contains the SIZ and includes the Prydz Bay Gyre, the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) and its southern fronts, i.e. the Southern ACC Front (SACCF) and the Southern Boundary (SB) (Orsi et al., 1995). The SACCF and SB are forced southward by the Kerguelen Plateau and flow eastward through the Princess Elizabeth Trough at the eastern end of the study region.

2.2.1.2 Variability of Antarctic surface water properties

The seasonal growth and melt of sea ice has a significant influence on the structure and properties of surface waters in the region, as described by Williams et al. (2010) and summarised here. Heat loss to the atmosphere in winter drives sea-ice formation and convection, mixing the relatively cold surface waters with the warmer underlying CDW. This forms a deep, homogenous winter mixed layer with the sea-ice cap.
restricting sea-air gas exchange. The sea ice begins to melt in spring-summer and melt water stratifies the surface water and warms, forming a SML. The properties of the winter mixed layer are still present at depth, recognizable by a temperature minimum, or $T_{\text{min}}$, layer with a seasonal pycnocline separating it from the overlying SML. South of the sea-ice edge, convection continues and a SML is absent or weak, especially over the shelf where mixing can reach the seafloor.

Sea ice covered the BROKE-West study area during the winter prior to the survey and retreated from the north-east to the south-west from November to January (Figures 2.2a, 2.2b and 2.2c). The sea ice was mostly gone from the region by the start of the survey in January, apart from the westernmost legs, and persisted over the continental shelf at the southern end of most transects throughout the study. For waters north of the Antarctic Slope Front, the SML was typically about 40-60 m deep and saltier in the east and shoaled to depths as low as 12 m and freshened in the west. The deeper and saltier waters in the east appear to result from a combination of the transport of ACC waters into this eastern region and greater time since the seasonal retreat of sea ice for wind mixing to deepen the SML (Williams et al., 2010).

![Figure 2.2](image)

**Figure 2.2** Monthly satellite derived concentrations of sea ice (%), chlorophyll-$a$ (mg m$^{-3}$) and sea surface temperature ($^\circ$C) with the cruise track overlaid in black. Sea-ice data are from Nimbus-7 (25 km) (Cavalieri et al., 2015). Chlorophyll-$a$ and sea surface temperature from MODIS-Aqua (9 km).
2.2.2 Biogeochemical measurements

For a comprehensive description of oceanographic field measurements, processing and calibration from BROKE-West, see Rosenberg & Gorton (2006). A total of 118 Conductivity-Temperature-Depth (CTD) casts were conducted aboard the RV *Aurora Australis* using a Sea-Bird SBE 9plus with 22 × 10 litre General Oceanics Niskin bottles mounted onto a Sea-Bird rosette. Eighty expendable CTD (xCTD) probes were also used on meridional transects between the major CTD transects.
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**Figure 2.3** The salinity and TA+N relationship of waters south of 60°S and shallower than 500 m from BROKE-West in 2006, the Princess Elizabeth Trough in 2005 (PET) and from the WOCE SR3 transect, measured in 1994 (data available from GLODAP). A linear regression yielded the following equation, \( y = (67 \pm 1)x + (36 \pm 18) \) (n = 237, \( r^2 = 0.99 \), standard error = 4 μmol kg\(^{-1}\)).

Seawater samples of 250-mL were collected from the Niskin bottles on CTD casts and were analysed on-board for total dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and total alkalinity (TA). For each of these samples, 100-μL of a saturated HgCl\(_2\) solution was added to halt biological activity. DIC was determined using a Single Operator Multiparameter Metabolic Analyser following the procedure in Dickson et al. (2007). TA was determined by open-cell potentiometric titration using a 0.1 M hydrochloric acid titrant (Dickson et al., 2007). Routine analysis of Certified Reference Material
(batches 70 and 72) from Scripps Institution of Oceanography were used to verify the measurement accuracy and precision for DIC and TA analyses, which were better than ± 2 μmol kg⁻¹. Samples for dissolved phosphate ($\text{HPO}_4^{2-}$), silicic acid ($\text{H}_4\text{SiO}_4$) and nitrate + nitrite ($\text{NO}_3^- + \text{NO}_2^-$) (hereafter nitrate) were collected and analysed spectrophotometrically (Pasquer et al., 2010) and yielded a measurement accuracy and precision of ± 0.05 μmol kg⁻¹, ± 1.5 μmol kg⁻¹ and ± 0.4 μmol kg⁻¹, respectively.

TA was only measured at the surface and on nine full depth CTD casts. These data were combined with data from previous cruises that used the same measurement techniques and a linear regression of salinity versus TA and nitrate (TA+N), known as potential alkalinity, was calculated. This relationship (Figure 2.3) was used to calculate TA at sample sites without alkalinity measurements. Data used to calculate the regression were from samples shallower than 500 m and included measurements from this study, together with those south of 60°S on CO2/World Ocean Circulation Experiment (WOCE) hydrographic sections of the nearby Princess Elizabeth Trough in 2005, and measurements from the southern end of the 1994 WOCE SR3 transect along 140°E. The sum of TA and nitrate concentrations accounts for changes in TA associated with the uptake or release of dissolved nitrate during photosynthesis or respiration (Brewer & Goldman, 1976):

$$
106 \text{CO}_2 + 138 \text{H}_2\text{O} + 16 \text{NO}_3^- \\
\rightarrow (\text{CH}_2\text{O})_{106}(\text{NH}_3)_{16} + 16 \text{OH}^- + 138 \text{O}_2
$$

Equation 2.1

Once TA+N values were calculated at sample sites, concurrently measured nitrate concentrations were subtracted to give an estimate of TA. The correlation between the parameters in Figure 2.3 ($y = (67 \pm 1)x + (36 \pm 18)$; $n = 237$, $r^2 = 0.99$, standard error $= 4 \mu$mol kg⁻¹) indicates net calcification/dissolution of carbonate minerals in the water column was not a significant contributor to the TA variability.

High-resolution surface water measurements of the fugacity of CO₂ ($f$CO₂) coupled with atmospheric CO₂ measurements, were made by pumping seawater from the ship’s intake into a Weiss style spray equilibrator (Pierrot et al., 2009). The accuracy and precision of the measurements is estimated to be better than ± 2 μatm (see Appendix A). The sea-air gradient in $f$CO₂ ($\Delta$CO₂) was used to compute sea-air CO₂ flux using the following equation:
\[ \text{CO}_2 \text{flux} = k \cdot \alpha \cdot \Delta f \text{CO}_2 \]

Equation 2.2

where \( k \) is the gas transfer velocity (cm hr\(^{-1}\)) (Wanninkhof et al., 2013), scaled linearly with sea-ice cover by multiplying \( k \) by the fraction of open water, and \( \alpha \) is the CO\(_2\) solubility (mol m\(^{-3}\) atm\(^{-1}\)) (Weiss, 1974). The gas transfer velocity was computed using measured wind speeds from the ship’s 10-metre wind anemometer for underway estimates of CO\(_2\) flux (CO\(_2\)\(_{\text{uw}}\)). A positive sea-air CO\(_2\) flux value implies a net transfer from the ocean to the atmosphere.

A calculation of the sea-air flux of CO\(_2\) since ice retreated (CO\(_2\)\(_{\text{ice-free}}\)) was also made at each CTD station using wind speed history, sea-ice concentration and estimates of the seasonal development of \( \Delta f \text{CO}_2 \) values. The number of ice-free days at each station were estimated from the day of sampling to when sea-ice concentrations obtained from the National Snow and Ice Data Centre (Cavalieri et al., 2015) first fell below 15% (Schwarz et al., 2010) (Figure 2.4). The reduction from complete ice cover to 15% occurs rapidly and the time of emergence of 15% cover is considered a good marker of when surface waters become open and can exchange gas across the sea-air boundary. The wind speed history at each CTD site was taken from daily mean cross-calibrated multiplatform (CCMP) winds (Atlas et al., 2011).

The sea-air gradient, \( \Delta f \text{CO}_2 \), in Equation 2.2 was estimated for each ice-free day prior to sampling at CTD sites. Atmospheric \( f \text{CO}_2 \) was calculated after Weiss (1974) using the mean atmospheric mole fraction of CO\(_2\) (XCO\(_2\)) measured in the study region and sea-level pressure values from the NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2 data product interpolated in time. Ice-free surface water \( f \text{CO}_2 \) values were estimated from linearly interpolated surface water properties from the day of sampling to the first ice-free day, assuming that surface conditions at ice-free day 0 resembled the properties observed in the \( T_{\text{min}} \) layer. It is possible that primary production may have reduced surface water \( f \text{CO}_2 \) values before ice concentrations fell below 15% (Gibson & Trull, 1999; Roden et al., 2013) and could lead to an overestimate of the sea-air flux.
The saturation state of aragonite ($\Omega_{\text{ar}}$) (Mucci, 1983), $f\text{CO}_2$ and pH on the seawater scale (pH$_{\text{sws}}$) were calculated from DIC, TA, phosphate and silicic acid data using the standard set of carbonate system equations with the CO2SYS program (van Heuven et al., 2011) and the dissociation constants of Roy et al. (1993). For further information on the marine CO$_2$ system, the reader is referred to Zeebe & Wolf-Gladrow (2005). Errors associated with measured input parameters were incorporated into a Monte Carlo simulation to estimate uncertainties for $\Omega_{\text{ar}}, f\text{CO}_2$ and pH$_{\text{sws}}$ of $\pm 0.03$, $\pm 14$ μatm and $\pm 0.01$ respectively (see Roden et al., 2013). To estimate the concentration of anthropogenic CO$_2$ ($C_{\text{ant}}$) in the study area we used the composite tracer TrOCA, which utilizes measurements of potential temperature, DIC, TA and O$_2$ to estimate the anthropogenic component of DIC (Touratier & Goyet, 2004; Touratier et al., 2007). Propagating the uncertainties associated with these measured input parameters, we estimate an uncertainty of $\pm 5$ μmol kg$^{-1}$ for $C_{\text{ant}}$ estimates. The TrOCA method is not suitable for surface waters that experience large seasonal variability, which precludes estimates of $C_{\text{ant}}$ for Antarctic Surface Water (AASW). The same method may also lead to some overestimate of $C_{\text{ant}}$ in deep and bottom waters (Pardo et al., 2014).

2.2.3 Net community production

Values of net community production (NCP), defined as the difference between net primary production and heterotrophic respiration, were obtained for each CTD profile by calculating the seasonal carbon and nitrate deficits from the surface to the $T_{\text{min}}$
layer (Le Corre & Minas, 1983; Jennings et al., 1984) (see Appendix D). This approach assumes no vertical or lateral mixing takes place in either the SML or with the underlying winter mixed-layer during the winter to summer period when the SML shoals. Continuous underway shipboard measurements of oxygen/argon (O$_2$/Ar) ratios (Cassar et al., 2007) using membrane inlet mass spectrometry (MIMS) (Kaiser et al., 2005) were also utilised for NCP estimates (Appendix D). The use of O$_2$/Ar ratios in the oceanic mixed layer provides a method to constrain biological processes ($\Delta O^\text{bio}_2$) because oxygen and argon share similar physical solubility properties, but only oxygen is biologically consumed and produced. Additional measurements of underway surface oxygen concentration were made using an oxygen optode (accuracy of $\pm 2$ μmol kg$^{-1}$; Appendix A). The optode data were then combined with $\Delta O^\text{bio}_2$ (Appendix E), to partition total oxygen saturation ($\Delta O^\text{total}_2$) into biological (the sum of photosynthesis and respiration) and physical ($\Delta O^\text{phys}_2$) drivers (temperature changes, bubble injection, mixing) (Cassar et al., 2011) using:

$$\Delta O^\text{phys}_2 = \Delta O^\text{total}_2 - \Delta O^\text{bio}_2$$

Equation 2.3

While the MIMS technique provides an alternative estimate of NCP, the calculation of $\Delta O^\text{bio}_2$ using this method is complicated in high latitude waters due to a number of processes including ice melt, temperature change, and the entrainment of oxygen undersaturated waters into the SML that can lead to underestimates of $\Delta O^\text{bio}_2$ (e.g. Castro-Morales et al., 2013; Cassar et al., 2014; Eveleth et al., 2014). Although these complications do provide challenges to interpretation of the O$_2$/Ar signals in our study region, the method does provide an alternative estimate of NCP and addresses different time scales (days to weeks) compared to the seasonal estimates based on carbon and nitrate deficits.

2.3 Results

2.3.1 Vertical sections of biogeochemical properties

The mean property values for the water masses of the BROKE-West region are listed in Table 2.2. AASW, AABW, mCDW and mSW were observed on all major CTD legs, CDW was not observed on Leg 1 and LSSW, DSW and ISW were only observed at the southern end of Leg 9. Here, we focus on the distribution of carbonate
system parameters along sections in Legs 3 and 11 to illustrate the most important features exhibited in the study region with results from other legs illustrated in Appendix F. The highest values of DIC and $f$CO$_2$ were at depth with $\Omega_{ar}$ and pH$_{sws}$ values increasing toward the surface. AASW was the predominant water mass in the mostly ice-covered shelf waters at the southern end of Leg 3 (Figure 2.5). These AASW waters had the lowest observed DIC and calculated $f$CO$_2$ values of 2039 μmol kg$^{-1}$ and 173 μatm, respectively, corresponding to $\Omega_{ar}$ and pH$_{sws}$ values of 2.30 and 8.33, respectively. Further offshore, between 64°S and 66°S, water higher in DIC and $f$CO$_2$ shoals to around 100 m due to the upwelling of Warm Deep Water (WDW), a type of mCDW associated with the Weddell Gyre, as noted previously by Williams et al. (2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2.2 Mean values of characteristic properties in each water mass in the BROKE-West region.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AASW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_o$ (kg m$^{-3}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta$ (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_2$ (μmol kg$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC (μmol kg$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA (μmol kg$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$CO$_2$ (μatm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH$_{sws}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega_{ar}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3^-$ (μmol kg$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO$_4^{2-}$ (μmol kg$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si (μmol kg$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_{ant}$ (μmol kg$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Leg 11 (Figure 2.6) in the eastern part of the study area, along with Leg 9 (Figure 2.15), are located in a different physical oceanographic regime when compared to the western CTD legs (Meijers et al., 2010). Both of these eastern sections encompass the SB and the SACCF with intrusions of the ACC, which Meijers et al. (2010) identified by a rapid deepening of the 28.03 kg m$^{-3}$ density surface north of ~64°S. At the northern end of Leg 11, CDW protrudes over the southern edge of the Kerguelen Plateau and has the highest subsurface $f$CO$_2$ value of 659 μatm (station 103, depth 198 m). This coincides with a pocket of aragonite undersaturation ($\Omega_{ar} < 1$), a state where the dissolution of aragonite becomes thermodynamically favourable. Whilst the average depth of the aragonite saturation horizon ($\Omega_{ar} = 1$) across all sections is 711 ± 134 m (1 s.d.; n = 38), pockets of aragonite undersaturation at depths as shallow
Figure 2.5 Leg 3, a) DIC (μmol kg\(^{-1}\)), b) \(\text{fCO}_2\) (μatm), c) saturation state of aragonite (Ω\(_{ar}\)), d) pH\(_{sws}\), e) salinity and f) potential temperature (°C). The black dashed lines, on this and other similar plots, represent the 28.03 kg m\(^{-3}\) (upper) and the 28.27 kg m\(^{-3}\) (lower) neutral density surfaces that partly delineate major water masses in the study region. The black dots show the bottle and CTD locations. The white dots show the location of the \(T_{\text{min}}\) value and the white lines show the base of the seasonal mixed layer (upper), seasonal pycnocline (middle) and \(T_{\text{min}}\) layer (lower). The marginal ice zone is indicated by a white rectangle at the surface towards the southern end of each leg. Scale changes are indicated by the breaks in the axis.
Figure 2.6 Leg 11, a) DIC (μmol kg$^{-1}$), b) $\delta^{13}$CO$_2$ (μatm), c) saturation state of aragonite ($\Omega_{ar}$), d) pH$_{sw}$, e) salinity and f) potential temperature (°C).
as 198 m occur near the base of the $T_{\text{min}}$ layer towards the northern ends of Legs 7 and 11 ($\Omega_{ar} = 0.94$ and 0.99, respectively). The undersaturation coincides with stations that Williams et al. (2010) identified as locations of upwelling of relatively warm, $O_2$-depleted, nutrient- and carbon-rich mCDW/CDW into the surface layer (black dots on Figures 2.7, 2.8, 2.9 and 2.11b).

The distribution of biogeochemical properties in each of the deeper water masses showed little variation from east to west. Meijers et al. (2010) identified a decreasing temperature and salinity trend in sections from east to west, but no significant trends were observed in the $CO_2$ system properties for the same sections. The calculated mean $fCO_2$ of AABW varied between $500 \pm 10 \mu\text{atm}$ (1 s.d.; $n = 10$) in Leg 9 to $481 \pm 13 \mu\text{atm}$ (1 s.d.; $n = 30$) in Leg 1. The calculated AABW $C_{\text{ant}}$ concentration was $25 \pm 3 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ (1 s.d.; $n = 127$) across all sections (Figure 2.10). The water masses observed over the shelf (excluding AASW) were the most enriched in $C_{\text{ant}}$, with DSW being the highest at $53 \pm 3 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ (1 s.d.; $n = 3$), although these shelf waters were only observed at the southern end of Leg 9.

### 2.3.2 Distribution of sea-air $CO_2$ flux and oxygen saturation

The measured underway sea-surface values of $fCO_2$ varied between 187 and 411 $\mu\text{atm}$ with the lowest values observed over the Antarctic shelf and slope in Zone 1 (Table 2.3) and north of the SACCF. The higher values were observed over a broad region that encompassed the eastern limb of the Weddell Gyre in Zone 2 and in a narrow band stretching further to the east and to the north of the Antarctic Slope Front. These broad features are visible in the underway measurements of $\Delta fCO_2$, whereby negative values imply surface water conditions that are undersaturated with respect to the atmosphere (Figure 2.7a). The sea-air $CO_2$ flux (Figure 2.7b) shows a similar pattern to that of $\Delta fCO_2$. However, the sea-air flux estimates are determined by a combination of the magnitude and sign of $\Delta fCO_2$, the strength of the winds and sea-ice cover in the area. This is apparent near Mawson station (67.6027°S, 62.8738°E) where $fCO_2$ undersaturated waters, minimal sea-ice cover, and strong wind speeds of up to 30 m s$^{-1}$ resulted in a maximum flux estimate of -221 mmol C m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$. 
Figure 2.7 Underway surface measurements of, a) sea-air Δf/CO₂ (μatm), b) sea-air CO₂ flux \( uW \) (mmol C m\(^{-2} \) day\(^{-1} \)) and c) sea-air CO₂ flux \( ice-free \) (mol C m\(^{-2} \)) estimates calculated from daily average CCMP wind speeds during each ice-free day prior to the ship’s arrival on station. Positive sea-air flux values imply a net transfer from the ocean to the atmosphere. The small black dots, on this and other similar plots, represent the locations of surface layer upwelling zones as determined by positive anomalies of potential temperature at 100 m (Williams et al., 2010).
The mean $\text{CO}_2^{uw}$ across the whole survey, based on the underway measurements, was $-8 \pm 21 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ (1 s.d.; $n=59375$). In comparison, the sea-air $\text{CO}_2^{\text{ice-free}}$ (Figure 2.7c) estimated for the ice-free period prior to sampling, varied from -0.48 and 0.62 mol C m$^{-2}$. Although our measurements are not made over a full year, the $\text{CO}_2^{\text{ice-free}}$ units are given in mol C m$^{-2}$ to allow a comparison in Section 2.4.1 with other yearly estimates of $\text{CO}_2$ flux. The mean $\text{CO}_2^{\text{ice-free}}$ for the study area was $0.07 \pm 0.13 \text{ mol C m}^{-2}$ (1 s.d.; $n=85$), indicating that the study area was a weak net source of $\text{CO}_2$ to atmosphere.

### Table 2.3 Mean surface value properties (± 1 s.d.) in Zones 1 (continental shelf/slope), Zone 2 (offshore west of ~45°E) and Zone 3 (offshore east of ~45°E).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Zone 1</th>
<th>Zone 2</th>
<th>Zone 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature ($^\circ$C)</td>
<td>-0.69 ± 0.63</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.80</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$/\text{CO}_2$ (μatm)</td>
<td>300 ± 50</td>
<td>365 ± 20</td>
<td>348 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice-free days</td>
<td>28 ± 31</td>
<td>23 ± 16</td>
<td>56 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CO}_2^{\text{ice-free}}$ (mol C m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CO}_2^{uw}$ (mmol C m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>-20 ± 28</td>
<td>3 ± 8</td>
<td>-6 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCP_{C} (mol C m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>1.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCP_{N} (mol C m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>2.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCP_{O$_2$} (mmol C m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>5 ± 17</td>
<td>-1 ± 7</td>
<td>0 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{c}$ (mmol C m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.005 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.006 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{v}$ (mmol C m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$O$_2^{\text{total}}$ (%)</td>
<td>3 ± 5</td>
<td>2 ± 2</td>
<td>2 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$O$_2^{\text{bio}}$ (%)</td>
<td>2 ± 4</td>
<td>-1 ± 2</td>
<td>0 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$O$_2^{\text{phys}}$ (%)</td>
<td>0 ± 3</td>
<td>1 ± 3</td>
<td>2 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/N utilization ratio*</td>
<td>5.5 ± 2.3</td>
<td>5.9 ± 2.0</td>
<td>8.1 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/Si utilization ratio*</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.8</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si/N utilization ratio</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.1</td>
<td>5.7 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Estimates corrected for sea-air $\text{CO}_2$ flux.

Surface water O$_2$ concentrations ($\Delta$O$_2^{\text{total}}$) were supersaturated throughout most of the study area (Figure 2.8a). The greatest values of 22% supersaturation were observed over the shelf in the western part of the survey region. O$_2$ undersaturation was observed in small pockets on most transects, with the most significant regions of undersaturation observed in the MIZ on Leg 1 in the west, and a broad region of undersaturation just north of the shelf on Leg 8. The associated biological ($\Delta$O$_2^{\text{bio}}$) and physical ($\Delta$O$_2^{\text{phys}}$) changes from O$_2$/Ar data are shown in Figure 2.8b and Figure 2.8c. Eveleth et al. (2014) suggested using their Equation 5 to calculate $\Delta$Ar to assess the physical oxygen changes. Comparison with the method used in Equation 2.3 of this thesis, which assumes [Ar]/[Ar]$_{sat} = 1$ (Equation 2.12), shows the two methods agree.
Figure 2.8 Distribution of, a) total change in surface oxygen saturation (Δ%), b) biological change in oxygen saturation (Δ%) and c) physical change in oxygen saturation (Δ%) from underway measurements.
within 0.17 ± 0.14% for the entire duration of the study and indicates the Ar concentration was close to saturation values. The greatest difference observed was 1% in the MIZ in the far south of Leg 1, perhaps due to cooling of ice-melt that can lower Ar concentrations.

The high values of biological undersaturation (Figure 2.8b) need not have been due to respiration in the SML and instead may reflect supply of low O2 waters from below that can lead to measured values of O2/Ar being less than the O2/Ar ratio at saturation with the atmosphere (e.g. Cassar et al., 2014). This would lead to underestimates of biological productivity, as discussed later in Section 2.3.3, and therefore overestimate the contribution of $\Delta O_2^{\text{phys}}$ to the $\Delta O_2^{\text{total}}$ supersaturation observed throughout most of the study. However, $\Delta O_2^{\text{phys}}$ was typically within ± 3% with small pockets of undersaturation approaching 10% in ice-covered waters at the southern end of Leg 1.

2.3.3 Net community production

Seasonally integrated estimates of NCP, throughout the ice-free period prior to sampling, from both carbon (NCP$_C$) and nitrate (NCP$_N$) (Pasquer et al., 2010) depletion profiles show distinct regions of biological productivity (Figures 2.9a and 2.9b). NCP estimates as high as 6.4 and 6.0 mol C m$^{-2}$ for carbon and nitrate, respectively, were observed near the Japanese research station, Syowa (69.00°S, 39.35°E), and over the shelf near Cape Darnley, Prydz Bay (70°E). Although the Kerguelen Plateau and the Antarctic shelf and slope featured the highest rates of biological productivity, the majority of the survey area exhibited much lower values with a mean NCP$_C$ of 1.3 ± 0.9 mol C m$^{-2}$ (1 s.d.; n = 81) and NCP$_N$ of 1.4 ± 0.9 mol C m$^{-2}$ (1 s.d.; n = 108).

The daily estimates of NCP from the underway O2/Ar system (NCP$_O$) showed more variability than the seasonally integrated estimates described above (Figure 2.9c). There was some agreement in terms of the shelf being more productive (maximum NCP$_O$ of 62 mmol C m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$), although the NCP$_O$ estimates also revealed broad regions of negative NCP values. The lowest of these values (~46 mmol C m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$) was observed in the northeast sector of the study region and coincided with a senescent phytoplankton bloom (K. Westwood, personal communication 2015) visible in Figure 2.2f as a modest concentration of chlorophyll-$a$ averaged over the
Figure 2.9 Net community production (NCP) estimates based on seasonally integrated a) carbon (mol C m\(^{-2}\)) and b) nitrate (mol C m\(^{-2}\)) deficits and c) underway O\(_2\)/Ar values (mmol C m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\)).
month of February. Whilst this may indicate a broad area of biological respiration (net heterotrophy), the upwelling of O₂ depleted water into the mixed layer could also influence these values, leading to underestimates of biological productivity in some areas and therefore incorrectly signal net heterotrophy in others. Other vertical processes, such as entrainment \((F_e)\) and diffusion \((F_v)\), have been accounted for in the O₂ mixed layer budget. The influence of entrainment was found, on average, to increase NCP₀ estimates by ~1%. This was due to the general shoaling of the mixed layer depth during the winter to summer transition, which would result in no change to the O₂ mixed layer budget. The influence of diffusion however, was found to be more significant, with NCP₀ estimates increasing by up to ~40% (Table 2.3).

2.4 Discussion

2.4.1 CO₂ uptake and storage

Biological activity over the shelf and slope during the summer resulted in surface \(f\)CO₂ values as much as 48% undersaturated with respect to the atmosphere, which produced uptake of CO₂ from the atmosphere in this region (Figures 2.7a and 2.7b). Underway measurements can bias net season flux estimates due to limited sampling in space and time. In order to assess the potential for bias, CO₂ ice−free \(_{flux}\) was also estimated for the period between sea-ice melt and when sampling occurred (Figure 2.7c). The pre-melt under ice conditions indicate most wintertime surface mixed-layer \(f\)CO₂ values were supersaturated with respect to the atmosphere (Figure 2.11a), consistent with other under-ice observations from within the study area and the Weddell Gyre (Bakker et al., 1997, 2008; Bellerby et al., 2004). These CO₂ ice−free \(_{flux}\) estimates suggest that the study area as a whole was a net source of CO₂ to the atmosphere, albeit with uncertain significance. This uncertainty relates to the assumptions associated with the onset and development of biological productivity, the 20% error associated with the gas transfer velocity (Wanninkhof, 2014) and the effectiveness of sea ice as a barrier to sea-air gas exchange (Loose et al., 2009).

Although the CO₂ ice−free \(_{flux}\) estimates suggested that the survey region was a net source of CO₂ to the atmosphere, areas of CO₂ uptake were observed, which was most enhanced over the shelf near Cape Darnley (-0.48 mol C m\(^{-2}\)). This is less than the uptake estimated for the Ross Sea by Arrigo et al. (2008) (-1.7 to -4.2 mol C m\(^{-2}\) year\(^{-1}\)).
1), but is comparable to the uptake of -0.5 mol C m⁻² year⁻¹ measured at a nearby coastal location in Prydz Bay (Roden et al., 2013), and is of similar magnitude to the uptake measured in the west Antarctic Peninsula (Legge et al., 2015) and the Scotia Sea (Jones et al., 2015). Further offshore in Zone 2, both $C_{\text{CO}_2}^{\text{uw}}$ and $C_{\text{CO}_2}^{\text{ice-free}}$ estimates show that the eastern limb of the Weddell Gyre was a net CO₂ source to the atmosphere. This area had a recent retreat of sea ice (Table 2.3 and Figure 2.4) and the net source here may be more indicative of under-ice conditions, before biologically-induced reductions in $fC_{\text{CO}_2}$ could occur, as previously observed in this region (Bakker et al., 2008; Brown et al., 2015). The various controls of surface water biogeochemical dynamics in the study area will be discussed later in Section 2.4.2.

The high CO₂ uptake observed at the southern end of Leg 9 coincided with the highest concentrations of $C_{\text{ant}}$, which were observed in the underlying shelf waters (Table 2.2). The $C_{\text{ant}}$ concentration of these shelf waters was similar to the TrOCA based $C_{\text{ant}}$ value of 44 μmol kg⁻¹ estimated by Shadwick et al. (2014) for DSW in the Mertz Polynya region of East Antarctica. Whilst DSW was only observed in one location in the BROKE-West study region, its presence is significant as it is a precursor for the formation of AABW, which originates in specific regions around Antarctica and sinks to abyssal depths due to varying combinations of brine rejection from sea-ice formation and ocean/ice-shelf interactions. In doing so, it contributes significantly to the global overturning circulation and sequesters heat and atmospheric gases to the deep ocean (Orsi et al., 1999; Johnson, 2008; Marshall & Speer, 2012). No areas of AABW production were identified during the summertime BROKE-West study (Williams et al., 2010). However, a significant site of AABW production was recently discovered in the Cape Darnley/Prydz Bay region near the southern end of Leg 9 (Ohshima et al., 2013; Williams et al., 2016). The westward flow of AABW that is observed high on the continental slope between Legs 5 and 7, as noted previously by Meijers et al. (2010), could therefore represent recently formed AABW from the Cape Darnley region that is moving downslope and deflected westward (Gill, 1973).
Figure 2.10 Anthropogenic carbon estimates ($\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$) for all sections, excluding surface water classified as AASW.

The higher temperature, salinity and $f$CO$_2$ and lower oxygen values of AABW to the east of Cape Darnley, relative to the west, indicates a greater elapsed time since formation and hence greater mixing with the warmer and more saline overlying mCDW and CDW (Meijers et al., 2010). Given the formation of AABW at Cape Darnley and its subsequent transport west, we might also expect to see higher $C_{ant}$
concentrations in the western CTD legs, although no significant trend in AABW $C_{\text{ant}}$ concentration was observed. Furthermore, the high values of $C_{\text{ant}}$ in AABW may in part reflect the tendency towards over-estimation of $C_{\text{ant}}$ in deep waters by the TrOCA method (Pardo et al., 2014). Nonetheless, it is likely that high $C_{\text{ant}}$ values observed in the shelf waters of the Cape Darnley region contribute anthropogenic CO$_2$ to AABW.

The complex role of high-latitude Southern Ocean waters in the global climate system makes its future response to projected climate forcing extremely difficult to model (Meijers, 2014). As such, predicting the future uptake and storage of CO$_2$ in the SIZ of East Antarctica is beyond the scope of this thesis. Particularly as change and variability in East Antarctic sea-ice seasonality comprises mixed signals on regional to local scales (Massom et al., 2013; Hobbs et al., 2016). In contrast, the west Antarctic Peninsula has experienced a rapid reduction in sea-ice cover in recent years (Stammerjohn et al., 2008; Li et al., 2014), although as yet, no significant long-term trends in carbonate system parameters have been detected as a result (Hauri et al., 2015). Sea ice can influence sea-air CO$_2$ exchange by acting as a physical barrier to sea-air gas exchange itself (Semiletov et al., 2004; Zemmelink et al., 2006; Miller et al., 2011; Nomura et al., 2013) and by controlling mixed layer development and the subsequent availability of light and nutrients (Venables et al., 2013). This was observed by Geibert et al. (2010) in the eastern boundary of the Weddell Gyre who found that the cumulative melting of both sea ice and icebergs provided a steady source of iron that sustained biological productivity and therefore influenced the sea-air gradient in CO$_2$.

The present synergy between winter sea-ice cover and summer biological productivity, particularly over the shelf, acts to reduce the flux of CO$_2$ from deep waters to the atmosphere in the BROKE-West study region. This seasonal synergy has been previously described in Arctic waters as a rectification process (i.e. one that emphasizes uptake by biological processes in summer and minimizes outgassing by physical processes in winter) (Yager et al., 1995). To examine how this seasonal ice cover might influence the uptake of CO$_2$ by the surface waters in the BROKE-West region, we compared the $n$DIC concentration measured in the $T_{\text{min}}$ layer by Ishii et al. (1998) during the austral summer of 1992/1993 with values measured in this study. Values from the $T_{\text{min}}$ layer are used as a reference level and to minimize the potential to bias the results due to the seasonal drawdown of carbon in spring and summer.
Using a Revelle factor (Revelle & Suess, 1957) of 16.4 and an atmospheric growth rate in $f_{\text{CO}_2}$ of $\sim 1.9 \ \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$, the expected increase in DIC of surface waters in the region would be $0.66 \ \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1} \ \text{year}^{-1}$, or $8.52 \ \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ from 1993 to 2006. Our average $n_{\text{DIC}}$ concentration from the $T_{\text{min}}$ layer for the entire survey area was $2206 \pm 8 \ \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ (1 s.d.; $n = 87$) compared to the 1992/1993 value of $2197 \pm 4 \ \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ (1 s.d.; $n = 14$), which represents an increase in DIC of $9 \ \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$. Variations in biological productivity or increased upwelling during this time could also be responsible for the increase in $n_{\text{DIC}}$, however a comparison of the mean $n_N$ values between our study and 1992/1993 showed no significant change ($n_{N_{1992/1993}} = 28.7 \pm 0.7 \ \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ (1 s.d.; $n = 14$); $n_{N_{2006}} = 29.5 \pm 1 \ \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ (1 s.d.; $n = 108$)), which suggests that surface waters in the SIZ of the BROKE-West region are tracking the atmospheric increase in $f_{\text{CO}_2}$. A similar trend was observed by van Heuven et al. (2014) in the western Weddell Sea, suggesting that sea-ice cover in these locations, does not constitute a major impediment for sea-air CO$_2$ equilibration on annual time scales.

2.4.2 Surface water biogeochemical cycling

Concurrent measurements of dissolved O$_2$ and carbon parameters can help constrain understanding of controls on surface ocean carbon dynamics (Bender et al., 2000; Álvarez et al., 2002). For example, a detection of $f_{\text{CO}_2}$ undersaturation and O$_2$ supersaturation, with respect to the atmosphere, would imply photosynthesis as a controlling mechanism, whereas $f_{\text{CO}_2}$ supersaturation and O$_2$ undersaturation would indicate a source of net respiration or mixing with deeper waters below the SML. Carrillo et al. (2004) utilised this approach in the west Antarctic Peninsula by segregating measurements of O$_2$ and $f_{\text{CO}_2}$ into one of four classifications. This was done based on the saturation state of each gas relative to the atmosphere, which allowed each classification to represent changes in gas concentration that were dominated by either physical or biological processes.

The incorporation of NCP values from underway O$_2$/Ar measurements provides further insights into the processes influencing the O$_2$ and $f_{\text{CO}_2}$ distributions (Figure 2.11a). Each classification, or quadrant, partitions the underway-surface observations into changes driven predominantly by 1) photosynthesis, 2) warming, 3) net respiration/deep mixing and 4) cooling, with the highest NCP$_0$ values being
Figure 2.11 a) Percentage saturation of $f$CO$_2$ versus the percentage saturation of O$_2$, coloured with underway surface estimates of NCP (mmol C m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$) from O$_2$/Ar ratios. Vertical and horizontal lines represent 100% saturation of $f$CO$_2$ and O$_2$. Based on these relationships the figure is separated into quadrants, whereby each quadrant represents changes dominated by 1) photosynthesis, 2) warming, 3) respiration or upwelling and 4) cooling. The process vectors centred on the mean wintertime saturation state for each gas (grey dots; taken from the $T_{min}$ layer) represent the production of O$_2$ and consumption of CO$_2$ at a theoretical photosynthetic quotient of -0.7 with overlaid NCP (mmol C m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$) values based on an average MLD and ice-free day period of 40 m and 37 days, respectively. The second process vector represents changes in saturation caused by 1°C of warming/cooling ($\Delta$O$_2$ sat = 2.64% °C$^{-1}$ and $\Delta$CO$_2$ sat = 4.23% °C$^{-1}$). The dashed lines represent the O$_2$ and $f$CO$_2$ saturation values based on a model of sea-air exchange (see Carrillo et al. (2004)). b) Shows the spatial distribution of the quadrants. Associated with photosynthesis. Deviations from these theoretical relationships, illustrated by the process vectors in Figure 2.11a, may result from the different sea-air exchange rates for O$_2$ and $f$CO$_2$ (see model of sea-air exchange in Figure 2.11a) with timescales that range from days to weeks for O$_2$ and months for $f$CO$_2$ (Broecker & Peng, 1982), or from the formation and dissolution of calcium carbonate (Dieckmann...
et al., 2008). Plotting the spatial distribution of each data point based on its quadrant classification (Figure 2.11b) reveals distinct regions where these biological and physical processes appear to dominate.

Two processes in particular, warming and photosynthesis, can explain much of the observed variability in O2 and fCO2 saturation during the BROKE-West study, accounting for 31% and 49% of the observed values, respectively. Those waters that were dominated by surface warming, resulting in decreased gas solubility, are associated with the relatively warmer waters of the Weddell Gyre in the northwest sector of the study area (Figures 2.2h and 2.2i), which agrees with the findings of Nomura et al. (2014) who found a similar temperature control on surface fCO2 values in this region. The variability driven by photosynthesis occurs over the shelf and slope with a second region observed offshore, north of the SACCF.

Regions where photosynthesis appears to be the dominant mode of O2 and fCO2 variability, i.e. quadrant 1, also show elevated satellite chlorophyll-a concentrations, which often indicate intense phytoplankton blooms, particularly near the sea-ice edge and within Prydz Bay (Figures 2.2e and 2.2f). Surface data associated with quadrant 3, which only accounted for 5% of the observations, may indicate areas of localised upwelling or net respiration from biological activity. For example, the broad quadrant 3 classification observed in Leg 8 correlates well with both the biological O2 undersaturation observed in Figure 2.8b and the positive 100 m temperature anomalies, indicative of upwelling, at two of the xCTD stations (Williams et al., 2010). Data associated with quadrant 4 (cooling) accounts for 15% of the observations and correlates well with areas of marginal sea ice (Figures 2.2b and 2.2c), which suggests that an increase in gas solubility driven by cold sea-ice melt water may cause the observed variability in these areas.

The distribution of surface water biogeochemical properties show distinct regional characteristics, which generally agree with the zones outlined by Schwarz et al. (2010). Table 2.3 summarises the mean values of selected parameters based on this classification scheme. However, when NCP is considered over seasonally integrated time-scales (Figures 2.9a and 2.9b), only two distinct regions are apparent. These observations reveal that the majority of the study area experienced relatively low
biological productivity at the time of sampling, with the exception of waters over the continental shelf and moderate productivity near the Kerguelen Plateau.

Although iron was not directly measured during the study, there are various lines of evidence to suggest that biological activity was limited by its supply to the surface mixed layer. These include phytoplankton species composition and chlorophyll degradation products measured by Wright et al. (2010) and the utilization ratios of macronutrients (Table 2.3), whose individual concentrations were never below limiting levels (Westwood et al., 2010). Wright et al. (2010) further postulated that grazing on the phytoplankton bloom and export of faecal pellets stripped the upper water column of iron, creating a southward migrating iron gradient that followed the retreat of the melting sea ice, thus limiting phytoplankton growth in the upper water column. Our seasonally integrated estimates of NCP do not resolve this pattern of biological activity, however our results suggest that the supply of iron over the shelf, through a variety of mechanisms, may have been sufficient to sustain high levels of biological activity throughout most of the summer period.

2.5 Conclusion

In this study of the biogeochemical dynamics in the seasonal sea-ice zone of East Antarctica, distinct regions of biological activity and sea-air CO$_2$ flux were found. Estimates of the CO$_2$ flux since the retreat of sea ice prior to the survey suggest that the entire study area is a weak net source of CO$_2$ to the atmosphere. Waters over the shelf and north of the SACCF, were generally sites of oceanic CO$_2$ uptake. This uptake, particularly over the shelf/slope, was driven by strong biological productivity as observed in NCP estimates that were as high as 6.4 mol C m$^{-2}$. Although micronutrients were not measured, it is likely that this strong biological productivity was sustained through its supply. The largest CO$_2$ uptake was observed near Cape Darnley and the magnitude of the CO$_2$ sink is commensurate with other coastal and shelf based estimates of CO$_2$ uptake, both in East Antarctica and along the west Antarctic Peninsula. Further offshore, in the western sector of the study area, the warmer waters of the Weddell Gyre dominated surface water biogeochemical dynamics, reducing gas solubility and causing a broad region of CO$_2$ outgassing.
Wintertime under-ice estimates of $\text{CO}_2$ indicate that the majority of the surface waters in the BROKE-West study region were supersaturated with respect to the atmosphere. The seasonal synergy between winter sea-ice cover and biological productivity during the summer however, act to reduce the flux of CO$_2$ to the atmosphere, highlighting the important role that sea ice plays in the biogeochemical dynamics of the region. Because the observed changes to the East Antarctic sea ice are complex and are comprised of mixed signals on regional to local scales, making predictions about the future CO$_2$ source/sink nature of the SIZ is difficult. This is highlighted by the large variability in the drivers and timing of carbon cycling dynamics in this region. As such, the future CO$_2$ uptake or outgassing in the study area will most likely depend on the response of the solubility and biological pumps to: 1) changes in sea-ice seasonality and 2) the enhanced ventilation of carbon- and nutrient-rich deep water driven by strengthening winds over the Southern Ocean.
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Appendix A: Underway measurements

Surface measurements of $f$CO$_2$ were made every minute, by pumping seawater from the ship’s intake located approximately 8 meters below sea level. A non-dispersive infrared gas analyzer (LI-COR, LI-6252) was used to measure the CO$_2$ mole fraction (XCO$_2$), which was calibrated every 6 hours with a set of four standard gases: CO$_2$-free air, and three concentrations of CO$_2$ in air (298.80, 337.17 and 372.05 ppm). The gas standards were provided by the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO), Melbourne, Australia and were calibrated on the World Meteorological Organisation WMO-X95 mole fraction scale. Atmospheric XCO$_2$ (dry) was measured after the standards by pumping clean outside air from an intake on the ship’s forward mast. The equilibrator temperature measurements were made with a platinum resistance thermometer calibrated to an accuracy of 0.01°C at a National Association of Testing Authorities laboratory at CSIRO, Hobart. Sea surface salinity was measured using a Sea-Bird SBE 45 thermosalinograph along with sea surface temperature, which was measured from a remote temperature sensor (SBE 38 thermistor: accuracy ± 0.003°C) located near the ship’s underway seawater intake. The XCO$_2$ data were corrected to in-situ water temperature and 100% humidity by using the equations of Weiss (1974) and Copin-Montegut (1988).

The accuracy and precision of the underway $f$CO$_2$ measurements are better than ± 2 μatm based on field comparisons (Körtzinger et al., 2000) and crossover analyses using the SOCAT database (Bakker et al., 2014). The accuracy of the measurements was also checked with the mean atmospheric XCO$_2$ concentration measured during the study of 376.96 ± 0.29 ppm (1 s.d.; n = 849) in good agreement with the mean XCO$_2$ value from the NOAA ESRL Carbon Cycle Cooperative Global Air Sampling Network (Dlugokencky et al., 2015) of 377.48 ± 0.29 ppm, which is an averaged and smoothed product for the same time and region.

Measurements of underway surface oxygen concentration ([O$_2$]) were made using an oxygen optode (Aanderaa, model 4835, serial number: 241) that was calibrated against discrete concurrent measurements of oxygen concentration using modified Winkler titrations (Culberson et al., 1991). The optode calibration coefficients were calculated using a linear regression of sea surface temperature and the observed offset in oxygen concentration ($\Delta$[O$_2$]$_{\text{winkler-optode}}$) ($y = (-1.8 ± 0.3)x + (19.8 ± 0.4)$; n = 50,
$r^2 = 0.38$, standard error = 2 μmol kg$^{-1}$. The oxygen concentration at saturation ($[O_2]_{sat}$) was determined from atmospheric pressure, seawater temperature and salinity measurements (García & Gordon, 1992; 1993).
Appendix B: Dissociation constants

The performance of the various dissociation constants used to calculate carbonate system parameters were examined by comparing measured $f$CO$_2$ values, from the ship’s underway intake, with the mean calculated $f$CO$_2$ values from discrete concurrent measurements of DIC, TA and nutrients (Table 2.4). The dissociation constants generally over-predicted $f$CO$_2$ values in the study area, with the dissociation constants of Cai and Wang (1998), Roy et al. (1993) and Mehrbach et al. (1973) refit by Dickson and Millero (1987), providing the best agreement with measured values. Although the constants of Cai and Wang (1998), on average, produced the smallest difference between calculated and measured $f$CO$_2$ values, the difference between these three dissociation constants was statistically insignificant. For this study, we used the dissociation constants of Roy et al. (1993) to calculate carbonate system parameters for consistency with previous studies from within the SIZ of the Southern Ocean (Bates et al., 1998; Gibson & Trull, 1999; Sweeney et al., 2000; Roden et al., 2013) and due to the low temperatures that the constants were determined at.

Table 2.4 The mean difference between calculated and measured $f$CO$_2$ ($\Delta f$CO$_2$ (calc - meas)) using different dissociation constants of carbonic acid, determined in a range of temperature, salinity and seawater types.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dissociation Constants</th>
<th>Temp Range (°C)</th>
<th>Salinity Range</th>
<th>Seawater Type</th>
<th>Mean $\Delta f$CO$_2$ (μatm) (1 s.d.; n = 75)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roy et al. (1993)</td>
<td>0-45</td>
<td>5-45</td>
<td>Artificial seawater</td>
<td>9 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goyet &amp; Poisson (1989)</td>
<td>-1-40</td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td>Artificial seawater</td>
<td>10 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansson (1973) refit by Dickson &amp; Millero (1987)</td>
<td>2-35</td>
<td>20-40</td>
<td>Artificial seawater</td>
<td>33 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansson (1973), Mehrbach et al. (1973) refit by Dickson &amp; Millero (1987)</td>
<td>2-35</td>
<td>20-40</td>
<td>Artificial seawater</td>
<td>9 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansson and Mehrbach refit by Dickson &amp; Millero (1987)</td>
<td>2-35</td>
<td>20-40</td>
<td>Artificial seawater</td>
<td>16 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEOSECS (Mehrbach et al., 1973)</td>
<td>2-35</td>
<td>19-43</td>
<td>Seawater</td>
<td>23 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peng et al. (1987)</td>
<td>2-35</td>
<td>19-43</td>
<td>Seawater</td>
<td>36 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cai &amp; Wang (1998)</td>
<td>2-35</td>
<td>0-49</td>
<td>Seawater and artificial seawater</td>
<td>6 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lueker et al. (2000)</td>
<td>2-35</td>
<td>19-43</td>
<td>Seawater</td>
<td>12 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mojica Prieto &amp; Millero (2002)</td>
<td>0-45</td>
<td>5-42</td>
<td>Seawater</td>
<td>18 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millero et al. (2002)</td>
<td>-1.6-35</td>
<td>34-37</td>
<td>Field measurements</td>
<td>14 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millero et al. (2006)</td>
<td>0-50</td>
<td>1-50</td>
<td>Seawater</td>
<td>17 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millero (2010)</td>
<td>0-50</td>
<td>1-50</td>
<td>Seawater</td>
<td>19 ± 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C: Salinity normalization

Nitrate and DIC data (X) were normalized ($nX$) to a mean salinity of 34.208 (the mean salinity in the $T_{\text{min}}$ layer), to account for changes in concentrations due to the effects of ice melt and formation. The normalization assumes that as sea ice forms, the brines that originate from salt rejection increase TA, DIC, nutrients and salinity in proportion to each other. Therefore, if the brines exchange with the underlying seawater, the normalized values will not change. Processes that could cause a net change in nitrate or DIC compared to salinity within the brines and potentially influence the normalized values in the underlying water include precipitation of the hydrated CaCO$_3$ mineral, ikaite (Dieckmann et al., 2008), sea-air gas exchange, and biological production/respiration.

$$nX = X \cdot \frac{34.208}{\text{Salinity}} \quad \text{Equation 2.4}$$
Appendix D: Net community production

Values of NCP were obtained for each CTD profile by linearly interpolating \( nDIC \) values between each bottle, based on 2-metre depth intervals (\( dz \)), and then calculating seasonal carbon deficits using the following equation (Rubin et al., 1998):

\[
NCP_c = \int_0^{z^*} \left( [nDIC]_{\text{winter}} - [nDIC]_{\text{summer}} \right) dz + CO_2^{\text{ice-free}}
\]

where \([nDIC]_{\text{winter}}\) indicates the concentration of \( nDIC \) in the \( T_{\text{min}} \) layer, which is assumed to broadly reflect the preceding wintertime conditions (Le Corre & Minas, 1983; Jennings et al., 1984), and \([nDIC]_{\text{summer}}\) represents the summertime concentration of \( nDIC \), integrated to the depth of the \( T_{\text{min}} \) layer (\( z^* \)). Assuming that sea ice forms an effective barrier to sea-air gas exchange, the calculated seasonal deficit value was then corrected for sea-air CO2 exchange by the addition of \( CO_2^{\text{ice-free}} \) values for the ice-free period prior to sampling.

Estimates of NCP derived from nitrate concentrations were calculated using a similar approach, however the correction for sea-air CO2 exchange was not required. Nitrate values were converted to carbon by using the Redfield carbon/nitrate ratio of 6.625 (Redfield et al., 1963).

\[
NCP_N = \int_0^{z^*} ([nN]_{\text{winter}} - [nN]_{\text{summer}}) dz \cdot 6.625
\]

A third method of estimating NCP was utilized from continuous underway shipboard measurements of oxygen/argon (\( O_2/Ar \)) ratios conducted every 2 minutes by membrane inlet mass spectrometry (MIMS) (Kaiser et al., 2005). NCP was calculated using the equation:

\[
NCP_O \approx k_{O_2} \cdot [O_2]_{\text{sat}} \cdot \Delta(O_2/Ar)
\]

where \( k_{O_2} \) is the gas exchange velocity of oxygen, computed using the daily average CCMP winds (Atlas et al., 2011) and the formulation of Wanninkhof et al. (2013). The gas exchange velocity was weighted using the method of Reuer et al. (2007) to
account for wind speed history at the collection site using the climatological mixed layer depths (MLD) of Kara et al. (2003). To correct for the difference between climatological and observed MLDs, 15 m were added to the climatological values. O$_2$/Ar ratio measurements were calibrated with discrete water samples taken from the same seawater outlet as used for the MIMS. $\Delta$(O$_2$/Ar) is defined as the biological oxygen supersaturation (e.g. Cassar et al., 2011) calculated using the vertical flux correction of Castro-Morales et al. (2013):

$$\Delta(O_2/Ar) = \left[ \frac{([O_2] + F_e + F_v)/[Ar]}{([O_2]/[Ar])_{sat}} - 1 \right]$$  

Equation 2.8

Where $F_e$ (entrainment flux), describes the change of the mixed layer O$_2$ inventory during mixed layer deepening and $F_v$ is the change in the O$_2$ mixed layer inventory due to diapycnal eddy diffusion across the base of the mixed layer, calculated using the following equations:

$$F_e = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\Delta z_{mix})^2}{\Delta t} \frac{\partial c(O_2)}{\partial z}_{oxy}$$  

Equation 2.9

$$F_v = -K_z \frac{\partial c(O_2)}{\partial z}_{oxy}$$  

Equation 2.10

The $\Delta z_{mix}$ term in Equation 2.9, is the thickness of the entrained water column for each of the 30 days ($\Delta t$) prior to sampling, estimated from the MLD climatology of Kara et al. (2003). The $\partial c(O_2)/\partial z_{oxy}$ term in both Equations 2.9 and 2.10 is the concentration gradient in the oxycline, estimated by the difference between measured [O$_2$] in the mixed layer and the mean [O$_2$] in the $T_{min}$ layer. The value of the eddy diffusivity coefficient ($K_z$) in Equation 2.10 is 1.0 x 10^{-5} m$^2$ s$^{-1}$ (Howard et al., 2004). Estimates of NCPO were then divided by 1.4 to allow expression in terms of organic carbon production, (or DIC uptake) (Laws, 1991; Bender et al., 1999).
Appendix E: Partitioning of oxygen saturation

The total change in oxygen saturation was calculated using the following equation:

$$\Delta O_2^{\text{total}} = [O_2] - [O_2]_{\text{sat}}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 2.11}

The biological contribution to changes in oxygen saturation was then calculated as follows:

$$\Delta O_2^{\text{bio}} = \frac{[Ar]}{[Ar]_{\text{sat}}} \cdot [O_2]_{\text{sat}} \cdot \Delta(O_2/Ar)$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 2.12}

where $[Ar]/[Ar]_{\text{sat}}$ is assumed to equal one (Cassar et al., 2011).
Appendix F: Additional sections

Figure 2.12 Leg 1 at 40°E, a) DIC (μmol kg⁻¹), b) CO₂ (μatm), c) saturation state of aragonite (Ωₐ), and d) pHₘₚₐₚ, e) salinity and f) potential temperature (°C). The black dashed lines, on this and other similar plots, represent the 28.03 kg m⁻³ (upper) and the 28.27 kg m⁻³ (lower) neutral density surfaces that partly delineate major water masses in the study region. The black dots show the bottle and CTD locations. The white dots show the location of the Tmin value and the white lines show the base of the seasonal mixed layer (upper), seasonal pycnocline (middle) and Tmin layer (lower). The marginal ice zone is indicated by a white rectangle at the surface towards the southern end of each leg. Scale changes are indicated by the breaks in the axis.
Figure 2.13 Leg 5, a) DIC (µmol kg⁻¹), b) fCO₂ (µatm), c) saturation state of aragonite (Ωₐr), d) pHₕₐₚₕ, e) salinity and f) potential temperature (°C).
Figure 2.14 Leg 7, a) DIC (μmol kg\(^{-1}\)), b) f CO\(_2\) (μatm), c) saturation state of aragonite (Ω\(_\text{ar}\)), d) pH\(_{\text{wass}}\), e) salinity and f) potential temperature (°C).
Figure 2.15 Leg 9, a) DIC (μmol kg$^{-1}$), b) $f$CO$_2$ (μatm), c) saturation state of aragonite (Ω$_{ar}$), d) pH$_{sw}$, e) salinity and f) potential temperature (°C).
3 Annual cycle of carbonate chemistry and decadal change in coastal Prydz Bay, East Antarctica

All of the research contained within this chapter has been published as:


Note: The published CO₂ flux term in this chapter is defined as air-to-sea (rather than sea-to-air) and therefore positive CO₂ flux values indicate oceanic uptake rather than outgassing.

Abstract

The annual cycles of dissolved carbon dioxide (CO₂) system parameters were determined for a coastal site in East Antarctica using samples collected from May 2010 to February 2011 in Prydz Bay. These observations show the seasonal influence of ice formation and melt, biological production, and air-sea CO₂ flux on changes in total dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), pHₖ₅ and the saturation state of aragonite (Ωₐᵣ). Net community production of 1.8 ± 0.4 mol C m⁻² in the productive summer months (November-February) caused large seasonal decreases in DIC. The decrease in DIC caused a change in surface water partial pressure of CO₂ from values oversaturated with respect to the atmosphere in the ice-covered winter period, to undersaturated waters in the summer months. The study site was estimated to be an annual net sink for CO₂ of 0.54 ± 0.11 mol C m⁻² year⁻¹. The calculated pHₖ₅ and Ωₐᵣ values varied seasonally from 7.99 to 8.20 and 1.19 to 1.92, respectively. The observed variability was compared to similar measurements carried out in 1993-95 at the same location. Natural variability in carbon cycle dynamics caused changes in pHₖ₅ that were nearly twice as large as those expected from changes estimated due to the uptake of CO₂ from the atmosphere over this time, assuming that the surface waters tracked increases in atmospheric CO₂. This highlights the difficulties associated with predicting trends in seawater pH and dissolved CO₂ system parameters in dynamic, high latitude, coastal locations with sparse temporal and spatial carbon cycle observations.
3.1 Introduction

The oceanic uptake of anthropogenic carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) from the atmosphere lowers the pH and the dissolved carbonate ion (CO$_3^{2−}$) concentration of seawater (Orr et al., 2005; Feely et al., 2004), causing ocean acidification. A consequence of declining CO$_3^{2−}$ concentration is a reduction in the saturation state ($\Omega$) of calcium carbonate (CaCO$_3$), a mineral used in the production of shells and skeletal material of many marine organisms. Laboratory experiments indicate that many marine organisms react adversely to decreases in CO$_3^{2−}$ concentrations and $\Omega$ that will occur under future atmospheric CO$_2$ scenarios (Raven et al., 2005).

The Southern Ocean is considered particularly sensitive to changes in carbonate chemistry, due primarily to its low buffer capacity (Sabine et al., 2004). This region is predicted to be one of the first regions to experience widespread undersaturation of aragonite ($\Omega_{ar} < 1$) (McNeil & Matear, 2008), a major biogenic form of CaCO$_3$ in high-latitude Southern Ocean waters (Honjo, 2004; Hunt et al., 2008; Post et al., 2010; Bednaršek et al., 2012). The role of the coastal ocean in the carbon cycle however, is poorly constrained, particularly in seasonally ice-covered regions (Bates, 2006). Difficulty in understanding the carbon cycle in high-latitude coastal environments stems from a lack of field measurements, particularly in winter, and from strong spatial gradients in carbonate chemistry that occur on many continental shelves (Sweeney et al., 2000; Semiletov et al., 2007; Gao et al., 2008; Takahashi et al., 2009; Shadwick et al., 2011). Furthermore, natural variability may accelerate or dampen changes in the carbonate chemistry associated with ocean acidification (Feely et al., 2008; Borges & Gypens, 2010). Future change in the carbonate chemistry is also likely to be complicated by climate related physical and biological feedbacks in the carbon cycle associated with the loss of sea ice, increased stratification (Smith & Nelson, 1986) and intensification of winds (Borges et al., 2008; Arrigo, G. L. van Dijken, et al., 2008).

The ecological significance of the Antarctic shelf and the disproportionately large fraction of Southern Ocean productivity it supports (Arrigo et al. 1998, 2008) underpins the importance of understanding the exposure of organisms to changing carbonate chemistry. This chapter presents observations on the annual cycle of the inorganic carbon system at a coastal site in Prydz Bay, East Antarctica. Measurements
of physical parameters, as well as the total dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), total alkalinity (TA) and nutrient concentrations of the seawater were made over a ten-month period in 2010 and 2011. The results show the seasonal influence of sea ice, net community production and air-sea CO₂ flux on the inorganic carbon system at a high-latitude, seasonally ice-covered, coastal location. These data are compared with seasonal observations made at the same site in 1993-95 (Gibson & Trull, 1999), allowing an assessment of decadal change in carbonate chemistry in the seasonal sea-ice zone of East Antarctica.

Figure 3.1. Prydz Bay is located in the Indian Ocean sector of East Antarctica. Shown on the map are the approximate frontal location of the Southern Antarctic Circumpolar Current Front (SACCF), the Antarctic Slope Current (ASC) and the Prydz Bay Gyre, derived from the analysis of Smith et al. (1984), Middleton & Humphries, (1989), Nunes Vaz & Lennon, (1996) and Heywood et al. (1999). The red box shows the location of the study site. The red dots show the location of the offshore profiles (Princess Elizabeth Trough, 2005; http://cdiac.ornl.gov/oceans/glodap).
3.2 Data and methods

3.2.1 Oceanographic setting

Prydz Bay is the third largest embayment in the Antarctic continent, and lies in the Indian Ocean sector of East Antarctica (Figure 3.1). The circulation in Prydz Bay is dominated by a large cyclonic gyre, extending from within the Bay to the Antarctic Divergence at about 63°S (Smith et al., 1984; Middleton & Humphries, 1989; Nunes Vaz & Lennon, 1996; Williams et al., 2010). A westward flow along the shelf, that is part of the wind-driven Antarctic slope current (ASC), supplies water to Prydz Bay. A second deeper inflow also occurs across the shelf break. This water is a mixture of recirculated bay waters and warmer upwelled circumpolar deep water (CDW). The main discharge from Prydz Bay occurs via a coastal current to the west.

The three principal water masses on the shelf are Ice Shelf Water, formed by seawater contact at depth with the Amery Ice Shelf, Low Salinity Shelf Water (LSSW) and High Salinity Shelf Water (HSSW). The HSSW and LSSW are typically separated at a salinity of 34.6 (Nunes Vaz & Lennon, 1996). During the relatively ice-free spring/summer periods, HSSW is modified by ice melt and solar warming to form Antarctic Surface Water (ASW) (Nunes Vaz & Lennon, 1996). A complex suite of physical and biological factors drives considerable inter-annual variations in both phytoplankton biomass and speciation in the inshore waters of the region that can influence the dissolved carbon system (Gibson et al., 1997). High levels of biological activity in the region appear to be initiated by sea-ice algae growth in mid-October (Gibson et al., 1999). Dramatic increases in biological production occur in early- to mid-December (Perrin et al., 1987; Davidson & Marchant, 1992; Robinson et al., 1999; Gibson & Trull, 1999) with peak production occurring from December to February, followed by a decline in March when sea ice forms and daylight hours diminish.

3.2.2 Sampling and analytical procedure

Total dissolved inorganic carbon, total alkalinity and nutrient samples were collected every two weeks from May 2010 until February 2011 using a 1.7-L Niskin bottle from three sites offshore from Davis station in the Vestfold Hills, East Antarctica (Figure 3.2, Tables 3.1 and 3.4). Sea-ice formation prevented sampling in March and April. Samples were collected approximately 2 m from the bottom of the water
column at each of the three sites from depths of 20, 30 and 75 m. This chapter will focus on observations made at Site 1, which is the same location as the 1993-95 study. Additional observations from Sites 2 and 3 are used to establish a salinity and TA relationship for the region.

Figure 3.2. The location of the three study sites offshore from the Australian Antarctic research station, Davis, located in the Vestfold Hills of East Antarctica. Bathymetry data courtesy of Geoscience Australia.

Seawater temperature values were measured with a WTW 315i handheld conductivity and temperature meter (accuracy ± 0.5 °C) inserted into the top of the Niskin bottle immediately after retrieval. Samples of 250-mL each for DIC and TA analysis were then drawn from the Niskin bottle, and 100-μL of saturated HgCl₂ solution was added to each sample within an hour of sampling to halt biological activity. These samples were tightly sealed in 250-mL Kimax (DIC) and 250-mL Schott Duran (TA) screw cap glass bottles and stored in the dark at ~20 °C for 6-12 months and returned to CSIRO, Australia, for analysis. Tests carried out over a year indicate that the samples can be preserved and stored in excess of 12 months without changing the DIC or TA values by more than the analytical precision of ± 2 μmol kg⁻¹ for both parameters (B. Tilbrook, personal communication).
Table 3.1. Location and depth of study sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Sampling/water depth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>68°34.20 S</td>
<td>77°56.40 E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>68°33.63 S</td>
<td>77°53.41 E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>68°33.65 S</td>
<td>77°50.29 E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total DIC in a sample of seawater is defined as (Dickson et al., 2007):

$$\text{DIC} = [\text{CO}_2^+] + [\text{HCO}_3^-] + [\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 3.1}

where the square brackets indicate the concentration of the dissolved species. Samples of DIC were analyzed using a Single Operator Multiparameter Metabolic Analyzer following the procedure in Dickson et al. (2007). The TA is defined as the number of moles of hydrogen ion equivalent to the excess of proton acceptors over proton donors in 1 kg of sample (Dickson et al., 2007) and was determined by open-cell potentiometric titration using a 0.1 M hydrochloric acid titrant (Dickson et al., 2007) that had been calibrated by coulometric titration (Dickson & Afghan, 2003). Routine analyses of Certified Reference Material from Scripps Institution of Oceanography were used to verify if the measurement accuracy and precision for DIC and TA analyses were better than ± 2 μmol kg\(^{-1}\). Salinity was measured on the DIC samples using a Seabird SBE4 conductivity sensor with an accuracy of ± 0.05. Analysis of duplicate DIC and TA samples showed ranges of 4 μmol kg\(^{-1}\) and 8 μmol kg\(^{-1}\), respectively. Duplicate samples of DIC and TA from the open ocean, that have been stored for similar periods of time as the Prydz Bay samples, typically agree to better than 2 μmol kg\(^{-1}\). The greater difference in the Prydz Bay duplicate samples appears to be related to the difficulties in sampling at near freezing conditions and is small relative to the seasonal variability described below.

Nutrient samples were collected in 15-mL polypropylene tubes and stored in the dark at -20°C for 10-18 months before analysis at CSIRO, Australia. Samples collected before 2 November 2010 were accidentally defrosted for a 12-day period and refrozen before analyses could be carried out. The inadvertent freeze thaw cycle that the nutrient samples were exposed to may have compromised some of the nutrient samples and will be discussed further in Section 3.3.4. Nutrient concentrations of nitrate + nitrite (NO\(_3^-\) + NO\(_2^-\)) (hereafter nitrate), phosphate (HPO\(_4^{2-}\)) and silicic acid
(H₄SiO₄) were determined using standard colorimetric methods (Hansen & Koroleff, 2007), adapted for flow injection analysis on a 5-channel LACHAT Quik-Chem 8000 autoanalyzer. Measurement accuracy for nitrate, phosphate and silicic acid was ± 0.3 μmol kg⁻¹, ± 0.02 μmol kg⁻¹ and ± 0.6 μmol kg⁻¹ respectively (V. Latham, personal communication). The analysis of duplicate samples affected by the freeze thaw cycle showed ranges of 0.5 μmol kg⁻¹, 0.06 μmol kg⁻¹ and 5.7 μmol kg⁻¹ respectively, whilst samples that were not affected, showed ranges of 0.3 μmol kg⁻¹, 0.09 μmol kg⁻¹ and 1.3 μmol kg⁻¹ respectively.

Nutrient, DIC and TA data (X) were normalized (nX) to a mean winter salinity (1994 and 2010 combined) of 34.32, to account for changes in concentrations due to the effects of ice melt and formation:

\[ nX = X \cdot \frac{34.32}{\text{Salinity}} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 3.2}

The normalization assumes that as sea ice forms, brines that originate from salt rejection increase TA, DIC, nutrients and salinity in proportion to each other. If the brine exchanges with the underlying seawater, the normalized values will not change, irrespective of the ice thickness. Processes that could cause a net change in nutrients, DIC or TA compared to salinity within the brines and potentially influence the normalized values in the underlying water include precipitation of the CaCO₃ mineral, ikaite (Dieckmann et al., 2008), air-sea gas exchange, and biological production/respiration.

Delille et al. (2007) attempted to quantify the importance of these processes in Antarctic sea ice. They found that CaCO₃ precipitation could account for some variability in TA, although most brine had a constant normalized value similar to the underlying water. Ikaite concentrations in Antarctic ice have typical values of 5 mg L⁻¹ of sea ice (Dieckmann et al., 2008). The formation of this much CaCO₃ precipitate would cause about a 100 μmol change in TA per liter of ice. If all the brine drains from an average ice thickness of 1 m and mixes into the underlying 20 m deep water column, the net affect will be about a 5 μmol L⁻¹ or 4.9 μmol kg⁻¹ decrease in the average water column nTA, and a 2.4 μmol kg⁻¹ decrease for nDIC. The near zero intercept for the TA+N versus salinity regression, described below, indicates that
CaCO₃ precipitation does not alter the nTA values in the seawater underlying the ice to levels greater than the measurement accuracy.

Biological drawdown of DIC and nutrients within the sea-ice brines in spring, as noted by Delille et al. (2007), may cause a decoupling of these parameters with salinity, relative to DIC and nutrient concentrations in the underlying water column. As the ice warms and the brine within the sea ice drains, the signal detected in the underlying water could therefore be a combination of biological activity in the ice or in the water column. Thus the calculated net community production and changes in DIC, discussed later, would still be correct, though would not distinguish between contributions from the sea ice versus the water column.

The saturation state of aragonite (Ω_{ar}), the partial pressure of CO₂ (pCO₂) and pH on the seawater scale (pH_{sws}) were calculated from DIC, TA and nutrient data for both 1993-95 (Gibson & Trull, 1999) and 2010-11 using the standard set of carbonate system equations with the CO2SYS program (van Heuven et al., 2011). The Ω_{ar} is defined as the product of the concentrations of Ca²⁺ and CO₃²⁻ divided by the solubility product for aragonite (K’_{spar}) (Mucci, 1983). The concentration of Ca²⁺ is estimated from the salinity, and the CO₃²⁻ concentration is calculated from the DIC and TA data:

$$\Omega_{ar} = \frac{[Ca^{2+}][CO_3^{2-}]}{K'_{spar}}$$

Equation 3.3

The appropriate use of equilibrium constants for different parameter combinations has been widely discussed in the literature (e.g. Johnson et al., 1999; Wanninkhof et al., 1999; Chierici & Fransson, 2009). At water temperatures observed in this study (<0°C), Wanninkhof et al. (1999) and Bates (2006) showed there is only a small difference in calculated carbonate parameters (e.g. pCO₂ < 5 μatm) when different equilibrium constants are used (i.e., Mehrbach et al., 1973 refit by Dickson & Millero, 1987; Goyet & Poisson, 1989; Roy et al., 1993). Furthermore, the equilibrium constants of Goyet & Poisson (1989) and Roy et al. (1993) may be more suitable for polar waters as their determination of dissociation constants was performed at lower temperatures (~1°C or 0°C). For consistency with previous studies from the Antarctic shelf (Bates et al., 1998; Sweeney et al., 2000) we used the equilibrium constants of Roy et al. (1993).
Gibson & Trull (1999) used measured pH at 25°C, DIC and nutrient values to calculate carbonate system parameters. Some pH measurements from their 1993-95 study showed large variations compared to coulometric DIC measurements, which resulted in unrealistic variations in calculated carbonate parameters. Their pH measurements required the samples to be warmed from near freezing temperatures to 25°C for spectrophotometric measurement, during which time some sample alteration may have occurred, and they were unable to determine the accuracy of the pH measurements against seawater standards. We consider the best comparison of carbonate system parameters between this study and that of Gibson & Trull (1999) to be with the directly measured concentrations of DIC, nutrients and salinity. The DIC, nutrient and salinity measurements were made in both studies using the same techniques applied to stored samples returned to Australia for analysis. In order to compare changes between 1994 and 2010, we calculated carbonate system parameters from the measured DIC concentrations and values of TA measured for this study, with TA estimated for Gibson & Trull from a linear regression of salinity, TA and nitrate (TA+N) data (Figure 3.3; $y = (68 \pm 1)x + (7 \pm 21)$, $n = 280$, $r^2 = 0.98$, standard error = 4 μmol kg$^{-1}$). The data used to calculate the TA+N/salinity relationship were from measurements made in 2010-11 (this study), and from samples shallower than 500 m that were measured for shelf and offshore waters south of 62°S on CO2/WOCE hydrographic sections of the nearby Princess Elizabeth Trough in 2005 and at the southern end of the WOCE SR3 transect at 140°E measured in 1994 (http://cdiac.ornl.gov/oceans/glodap). Salinity values from the 1993-95 Gibson & Trull study were used to calculate TA+N values and measured nitrate concentrations were subtracted to estimate the TA for their samples.

3.2.3 Sea-ice thickness measurements

Sea-ice thickness measurements were made near all three sampling sites throughout the study period (P. Heil, personal communication). To determine if changes in salinity at Site 1 were driven by local sea-ice formation, the observed changes in ice thickness were compared to modelled changes in ice thickness based on a salt budget integrated over the 20 m water column at Site 1 (Charrassin et al., 2008). The model utilized mean fast-ice salinity concentrations measured in the region (Worby et al., 1998). The salinity and density of the sea ice was assumed to be 5.3 and 920 kg m$^{-3}$,
Figure 3.3. The salinity and TA+N relationship of all sampling sites in 2010 (red dots) and from the nearby offshore waters of the Princess Elizabeth Trough (PET, black dots; <500 m depth), measured in 2005, and from the southern end of the WOCE SR3 transect, measured in 1994 (data available from GLODAP: http://cdiac.ornl.gov/oceans/glodap). A linear regression yielded the following equation, $y = (68 \pm 1)x + (7 \pm 21)$ ($n = 280$, $r^2 = 0.98$, standard error = 4 μmol kg$^{-1}$).

respectively. The total ice thickness model was initiated from the first measured sea-ice thickness observations in May 2010.

3.2.4 Air-sea CO$_2$ flux calculations

A positive air-sea CO$_2$ flux (mol m$^{-2}$ month$^{-1}$) value implies a net transfer from the atmosphere into the ocean and was computed from the air-sea gradient in $p$CO$_2$ ($\Delta p$CO$_2$) in the following equation:

$$\text{CO}_2 \text{ flux} = k_{av} \cdot \alpha \cdot \Delta p\text{CO}_2$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 3.4}$$

where $k_{av}$ (m s$^{-1}$) is the gas transfer velocity, parameterized as a function of the Schmidt number (Sc) of the gas and the wind speed and $\alpha$ is the CO$_2$ solubility (mol m$^{-3}$ atm$^{-1}$). The gas transfer velocity, $k_{av}$, was computed using average monthly wind speeds recorded by the Australian Bureau of Meteorology’s 10-meter wind anemometer at the nearby Davis station field office and using the formulation of Wanninkhof (1992) for long-term winds:
The use of long-term average wind speeds, when compared with short-term steady winds, may result in an underestimate of gas transfer velocities by as much as 30% (Wanninkhof, 1992). The in situ CO₂ solubility was computed using seawater temperature and salinity and the equations of Weiss (1974). However, as seawater $p$CO₂ was computed from DIC and TA concentrations at 20 m, the $\Delta p$CO₂ term may be underestimated in summer due to surface stratification (Gibson & Trull, 1999).

Atmospheric CO₂ values were not directly measured at Davis and are taken from the South Pole station (http://scrippsco2.ucsd.edu/data/spo.html). A comparison of atmospheric CO₂ concentrations at the South Pole and at Casey station on the Antarctic coast (66° 17'S, 110° 31'E; Steele et al., 2007) between the years 1997 and 2006 showed very little monthly variation (± 0.44 ppm, 1 s.d.). Indicating the CO₂ concentrations at the South Pole are a good approximation of atmospheric concentrations at the study site. The atmospheric CO₂ concentrations were converted to partial pressures by following the procedure in Dickson et al. (2007) using the mean monthly atmospheric pressure recorded at Davis (www.bom.gov.au/climate/data/stations) and the seawater vapour pressure calculated from the salinity and temperature of the surface waters (Ambrose & Lawrenson, 1972; Millero & Leung, 1976). The computed air-sea CO₂ fluxes were then scaled to account for ice cover by using a multiplier equal to 100 minus the percentage ice coverage. The sea-ice coverage was determined from direct observations at the site (Table 3.2). This method assumes that sea ice provides an effective barrier to air-sea CO₂ gas exchange, and that air-sea CO₂ fluxes are a linear function of sea-ice coverage. Several studies have suggested that sea ice does not fully inhibit air-sea exchange of biogenic gases (e.g., Semiletov et al., 2004; Loose et al., 2009). At 100% sea-ice coverage, we set the multiplier to 1% for consistency with previous studies (Bates et al., 2006; Mucci et al., 2010; Shadwick et al., 2011).

Table 3.2. Estimation of sea-ice concentration made from observations at the study site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% ice-cover</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2.5 Controls on total dissolved inorganic carbon

Assuming that their contributions to the property budgets are relatively homogenous regionally, particularly over the shelf (Roden et al., 2016), horizontal advection can be ignored and the temporal changes in DIC are considered to be the sum of the changes due to biological and physical processes and air-sea CO₂ exchange. The contributions of these various processes to the observed monthly changes in DIC were calculated for Site 1 by interpolating single day DIC values between samplings and summing the observed changes for each month. Changes in DIC (ΔDIC_{total}) are considered to be the sum of the changes due to: 1) freshwater flux from ice formation and melt (ΔDIC_{ice}), 2) biology (ΔDIC_{bio}), and 3) air-sea CO₂ flux (ΔDIC_{as}). Changes in DIC due to CaCO₃ formation and dissolution were found to be small, as discussed in Section 3.3.3.

\[
\Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{total}} = \Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{ice}} + \Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{bio}} + \Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{as}} \quad \text{Equation 3.6}
\]

The monthly contribution of changes in DIC due to ice formation and melt (ΔDIC_{ice}) was determined by subtracting the monthly change in salinity normalized DIC (ΔnDIC) from ΔDIC_{total}:

\[
\Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{ice}} = \Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{total}} - \Delta n\text{DIC} \quad \text{Equation 3.7}
\]

The change in DIC due to biological processes, or net community production (NCP; NCP = Net Primary Production – Heterotrophic Respiration), was determined using two separate approaches. The first approach estimated the net contribution from biological processes (ΔDIC_{bio}^c) by calculating the difference between ΔDIC_{total} and the sum of the ΔDIC_{ice} and ΔDIC_{as}:

\[
\text{NCP}^C = \Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{bio}}^C = \Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{total}} - \Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{ice}} - \Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{as}} \quad \text{Equation 3.8}
\]

The second, independent approach, utilized monthly changes in salinity normalized nitrate (nN) concentrations and a C/N Redfield ratio of 6.6 (Redfield et al., 1963):

\[
\text{NCP}^N = \Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{bio}}^n = \Delta n\text{N} \cdot 6.6 \quad \text{Equation 3.9}
\]
3.2.6 Error analysis

The uncertainties in calculated carbonate parameters were estimated by calculating the quadratic sum of the partial uncertainties associated with the input parameters of CO$_2$ system calculations. A second method of error propagation utilized a Monte Carlo simulation. The inputs for the simulation were generated by a random number generator with a normal distribution, which required the mean and standard deviation of each input variable for carbonate system calculations. The simulation was solved 10,000 times with the standard deviation being used as the magnitude of the error. A comparison of the two methods showed no difference in the estimated uncertainty. Estimates of the uncertainties associated with monthly ∆DIC are listed in Table 3.3. The uncertainty associated with ∆DIC$_{\text{total}}$ ($\sigma_{\text{total}}$) was estimated from the quadratic sum of DIC measurement uncertainty. A 20% uncertainty is associated with ∆DIC$_{\text{as}}$ ($\sigma_{\text{as}}$) term that is largely due to uncertainty in the parameterization of the gas transfer velocity (see Equation 3.5, Naegler et al., 2006; Sweeney et al., 2007; Watson et al., 2009). The uncertainty associated with ∆DIC$_{\text{ice}}$ ($\sigma_{\text{ice}}$) was estimated from the quadratic sum of errors associated with $\Delta n$DIC and ∆DIC$_{\text{total}}$. Finally, propagation of the above uncertainties results in an estimate of the errors associated with ∆DIC$_{\text{bio}}$ ($\sigma_{\text{bio}}$), with the measurement accuracy of nitrate being used to establish the uncertainty of ∆DIC$_{\text{bio}}$ ($\sigma_{\text{bio}}^n$). However, because biological production did not always occur in Redfield ratios, this estimate of $\sigma_{\text{bio}}^n$ should be considered a lower limit on the uncertainties associated with changes in DIC due to biological production, as discussed later in Section 3.4.1.

Table 3.3. Estimates of the uncertainties associated with the monthly controls of ∆DIC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Error (mol m$^{-2}$ month$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{total}}$</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{as}}$</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{ice}}$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{bio}}^c$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{bio}}^n$</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Results

3.3.1 Physical parameters

For the purposes of this study the seawater temperature values for winter and spring are assumed to be near the seawater freezing point of -1.88 °C, consistent with previous measurements from the study area during this time (Gibson & Trull, 1999). Sea ice started to form and completely cover the region during March. By early-May, when water sampling started, the sea ice was 63–65 cm thick at all sampling sites. Ice thickness increased gradually in the region until a maximum thickness of 167.5 cm was measured at Site 1 in mid-November. Sea-ice thickness then decreased until all ice was blown out from the area on 21 January 2011. The formation and melt of sea ice in the area was largely responsible for changes in salinity (Figure 3.4a). The contribution of evaporation and precipitation (snow) to changes in salinity is

![Image](image_url)

**Figure 3.4.** a) Measured sea-ice thickness (solid line) and the modeled sea-ice thickness (dashed line) at the study site based on the observed changes in salinity. b) Annual salinity cycle from May 2010 to February 2011 at Site 1, divided into High Salinity Shelf Water (HSSW) and Low Salinity Shelf Water (LSSW) at 34.6. The shaded area represents one standard deviation of measurement accuracy.
negligible due to sea-ice cover and low precipitation rates in the Davis area (www.bom.gov.au). Brine rejection from sea-ice formation increased salinity concentrations to a maximum value of 34.78 in winter, and ice melt during the warmer summer months caused salinity values to decrease to 33.62 in February. The expected sea-ice thickness due to the observed changes in seawater salinity at Site 1 is shown by the dashed line in Figure 3.4a, with the solid line indicating the observed sea-ice thickness. Calculations of sea-ice thickness based on salinity changes agree until early-August. From August to late-September, a decrease in salinity (0.19) caused a departure in the observed and modelled sea-ice thickness. The change in salinity is small relative to the seasonal amplitude and could result from local variations in water column mixing and sea-ice production (Worby et al., 2008).

3.3.2 Total alkalinity

Total alkalinity (TA) can be altered by the formation or dissolution of CaCO₃ and changes in salinity through freshwater addition (ice melt) or removal (ice formation). Changes in TA associated with the uptake and release of dissolved nitrate (NO₃⁻) during photosynthesis or respiration (Brewer & Goldman, 1976) can be accounted for by summing the concentrations of TA and nitrate (TA+N). Nitrate values, when not available, were linearly interpolated between samples. The TA+N concentrations at the study site show seasonal variability associated with changes in salinity (Figure 3.5a). By normalizing to a constant salinity (nTA+nN), the seasonal variability is removed (Figure 3.5b), indicating summer melting and winter ice formation can account for all of the TA change within the measurement uncertainties. The measurement uncertainty however, allows for up to a maximum of 12 μmol kg⁻¹ change seasonally that could be due to CaCO₃ formation and dissolution.

3.3.3 Total dissolved inorganic carbon

The seasonal change in DIC (Figure 3.6a) follows a pattern of lower concentrations for the more productive spring to summer period and higher values in winter. A gradual increase from May to late-July to 2256 μmol kg⁻¹ is followed by a decrease in DIC starting in late-spring to a summertime minimum of 2124 μmol kg⁻¹ in February. Salinity normalized DIC (nDIC) increased by 22 μmol kg⁻¹ from May to a mean winter value (Figure 3.6b) of 2224 ± 3 μmol kg⁻¹ (1 s.d.; n = 7). This gradual increase
Table 3.4. Measured parameters at Site 1 (20 m) between May 2010 and February 2011. Dates marked with * indicate measurements used to calculate mean winter values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Salinity</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>TA (µmol kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>DIC (µmol kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Nitrate (µmol kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Phosphate (µmol kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Silicic acid (µmol kg⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 May 2010</td>
<td>34.05</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2185</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 May 2010</td>
<td>34.13</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2316</td>
<td>2206</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 June 2010</td>
<td>34.21</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 June 2010</td>
<td>34.26</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>2335</td>
<td>2217</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 July 2010</td>
<td>34.61</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>2349</td>
<td>2233</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 August 2010*</td>
<td>34.78</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>2362</td>
<td>2256</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 August 2010*</td>
<td>34.68</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>2351</td>
<td>2248</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 September 2010*</td>
<td>34.55</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>2337</td>
<td>2239</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 September 2010*</td>
<td>34.58</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>2338</td>
<td>2244</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06 October 2010*</td>
<td>34.60</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>2340</td>
<td>2238</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 October 2010*</td>
<td>34.55</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>2335</td>
<td>2236</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 November 2010*</td>
<td>34.56</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>2339</td>
<td>2241</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 November 2010</td>
<td>34.62</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>2337</td>
<td>2229</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01 December 2010</td>
<td>34.54</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>2340</td>
<td>2225</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 December 2010</td>
<td>34.45</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>2345</td>
<td>2207</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 January 2011</td>
<td>34.05</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>2318</td>
<td>2148</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 January 2011</td>
<td>33.85</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>2308</td>
<td>2128</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 February 2011</td>
<td>33.62</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>2292</td>
<td>2124</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.5. Seasonal cycle, from May 2010 to February 2011, of (a) the sum of total alkalinity and nitrate (TA+N) and (b) salinity normalized TA+N (nTA+nN) at Site 1. The shaded areas represent one standard deviation of measurement accuracy. The gray bar at the top of this and all similar plots represents the presence of sea-ice cover.

from May to July is likely to result from the remineralization of organic matter during the winter. A rapid decrease of $n\text{DIC}$ of 68 μmol kg$^{-1}$ is observed from early-November (spring) to January. As the effects of freshwater fluxes have been removed from $n\text{DIC}$, the 68 μmol kg$^{-1}$ change can be attributed to CO$_2$ flux and biological activity (NCP). Figure 3.7 shows that the majority of this decrease occurred in the onset of the spring/summer bloom when sea ice still covered much of the study site. Based on the range of $n\text{TA}+n\text{N}$ discussed previously, the upper limit of changes in DIC due to CaCO$_3$ formation and dissolution is 6 μmol kg$^{-1}$ (Brewer & Goldman, 1976). Resolving such small changes in DIC however, exceeds the detection limits of this study and any change in DIC due to calcification or dissolution is included in the estimate of NCP. Following a rapid decrease in sea-ice concentrations in January, the air-sea flux of CO$_2$ caused an increase in DIC. However, a net decrease in DIC is
observed due to the strength of DIC draw down from NCP and ice melt (Figure 3.7, Table 3.5).

![Graph of seasonal cycle from May 2010 to February 2011 of DIC and salinity normalized DIC at Site 1. The shaded areas represent one standard deviation of measurement accuracy.]

**Figure 3.6.** Seasonal cycle, from May 2010 to February 2011, of (a) total dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and (b) salinity normalized DIC (nDIC) at Site 1. The shaded areas represent one standard deviation of measurement accuracy.

### 3.3.4 Nutrients

The concentrations of salinity normalized macronutrients, phosphate and nitrate, exhibited similar seasonal cycles throughout the study (Figure 3.8a and Figure 3.8b). Concentrations gradually increased throughout the winter to maximum values of phosphate and nitrate in August (2.02 μmol kg⁻¹) and September (29.0 μmol kg⁻¹), respectively. The lowest concentrations of nitrate (16.0 μmol kg⁻¹) and phosphate (1.50 μmol kg⁻¹) coincided with periods of minimum DIC in summer.

The seasonal cycle of salinity normalized silicic acid (Figure 3.8c), showed a minimum in May (42.6 μmol kg⁻¹). The occurrence of minimum silicic acid values this late in autumn is unexpected, as minimum values typically coincide with
Figure 3.7. Controls on monthly changes in DIC at Site 1 from May 2010 to February 2011. Negative values indicate a decrease in DIC. Error bars represent one standard deviation of propagated uncertainties.

maximum biological productivity in the summer months (Perrin et al., 1987; Davidson & Marchant, 1992; Gibson & Trull, 1999; Robinson et al., 1999). This may be related to the frequency of the sampling not capturing minimum values during the summer or alternatively, it could reflect issues involving the inadvertent freeze-thaw cycle that nutrient samples were exposed to. However, samples collected immediately before and after the inadvertent thawing (late-October versus early-November) show similar silicic acid concentrations, suggesting that any compromising of the samples

Table 3.5. Controls on DIC at Site 1 for a given month from May 2010 to February 2011. Rates of change are in mol m\(^2\) month\(^{-1}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>(\Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{total}})</th>
<th>(\Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{as}})</th>
<th>(\Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{ice}})</th>
<th>(\Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{bio}}^s)</th>
<th>(\Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{bio}}^n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>-1.33</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>-1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
must have been the result of prolonged storage times (greater than one year) or polymerized silicate (Dore et al., 1996) in some samples rather than the freeze-thaw event itself.

Figure 3.8. Annual cycle from May 2010 to February 2011 (red line) and December 1993 and February 1995 (blue line) (Gibson & Trull, 1999) of salinity normalized (S = 34.32) dissolved inorganic nutrients (a) phosphate, (b) nitrate and (c) silicic acid at Site 1. The shaded areas represent one standard deviation of measurement accuracy.
Monthly nutrient utilization ratios (Table 3.6) were derived from the deficit between the lowest salinity normalized nutrient concentrations in each month versus the highest values observed in winter. The N/P utilization ratios were close to Redfield (106C:16N:1P) in December, but were higher throughout the remaining summer months and lower in November. The Si/N utilization ratio was greater than 1 in November, but below 1 for the summer months, indicating that either the phytoplankton composition was diverse or that diatom growth in this coastal environment was not limited by iron availability (Brzezinski, 1985; Hutchins & Bruland, 1998; Takeda, 1998; Sambrotto et al., 2003).

Table 3.6. The utilization ratios of nutrients during the biologically productive months of December-February, relative to maximum nutrient concentrations in November.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>N:P</th>
<th>Si:N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.5 Calculated carbonate parameters

The seasonal cycle of $\Omega_{ar}$ at Site 1 (Figure 3.9b) varied between 1.19 and 1.92, with the variations caused largely by seasonal changes in $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ concentration driven by biological processes. Changes in temperature and salinity also have a small effect on $\Omega_{ar}$. For example a temperature increase of 1°C causes an increase in $\Omega$ of <1%. The $\Omega_{ar}$ was nearly constant over the winter months with a mean value of 1.24 ± 0.03 (1 s.d.; n = 7), and from November the values increased to a maximum of 1.92 in January. The seasonal cycle of $p\text{H}_{\text{sws}}$, calculated at in-situ temperature (Figure 3.9a), showed a similar trend to that of $\Omega_{ar}$ and mirrored the cycle of DIC. Minimum values of 7.99 were calculated for September with a maximum of 8.20 in January.

The calculated $p\text{CO}_2$ (Figure 3.9c) was over-saturated with respect to the atmosphere in late-winter and spring, with a maximum value of 433 μatm in September. Minimum values of 252 μatm were calculated over summer, with the shift to undersaturated and ice-free waters resulting in CO$_2$ uptake. The estimates of air-sea flux were scaled to account for ice-cover in the study area (Figure 3.7). Assuming a net air-sea CO$_2$ flux of zero for the ice-covered months of March and April, when no
sampling was undertaken, we estimate that the study site was a net sink for CO₂, with a net annual air-sea CO₂ uptake of 0.54 ± 0.11 mol C m⁻² year⁻¹.

**Figure 3.9.** Seasonal cycle of (a) pHₘₚₜ (at in situ temperature) at Site 1 between May 2010 and February 2011 with (b) the aragonite saturation state ($\Omega_{ar}$) and (c) seawater $p$CO₂ and the mean atmospheric $p$CO₂ (dashed line; 373.4 μatm). The shaded areas represent one standard deviation of propagated uncertainties.
3.4 Discussion

3.4.1 Net community production

Increased NCP in spring, that appears to be linked to greater light availability and the commencement of sea-ice melt, caused a rapid reduction in DIC (Figure 3.7). NCP was estimated from the total $\Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{bio}}$ and $\Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{n}}$ (Equations 3.8 and 3.9; Table 3.5). In the productive months of November–February, the carbon based estimate of NCP ($\text{NCP}_C$) yielded a value of $1.8 \pm 0.4$ mol C m$^{-2}$, similar to the nitrate based estimate ($\text{NCP}_N$) of $1.6 \pm 0.1$ mol C m$^{-2}$ with low values in other months. The total amount of carbon exported off the shelf due to the biological production in November-February is unknown. However due to the shallow nature of the study site, it is likely that much of this organically fixed carbon was later remineralized back into the water column (Gibson & Trull, 1999).

Despite the good agreement between the $\text{NCP}_C$ and $\text{NCP}_N$ estimates, it is worth considering potential biases in each. Inaccuracies associated with the estimate of local sea-ice concentrations may result in the sea-ice scaled $\text{NCP}_C$ term being under (or over) estimated due to variations in DIC caused by air-sea gas exchange. Uncertainties in the $\text{NCP}_N$ value may arise from the assumption of Redfield C/N utilization ratios, as ratios throughout the productive season varied in a manner that may reflect iron availability (Takeda, 1998) and/or species composition (Sambrotto et al., 2003). Although, when considered over the length of the productive season, nutrient utilization ratios within the surface waters of the Antarctic have been shown to mostly follow the classic Redfield model (Hoppema & Goeyens, 1999). Short-term variations in N/P utilization ratios have previously been associated with diatom growth in coastal Prydz Bay (Gibson, 1998) and in the Ross Sea (Arrigo et al., 1999; Sweeney et al., 2000). Gibson et al. (1997) also observed that different phytoplankton species in the study region were dominant at different times of the summer and that phytoplankton assemblages and the biomass present exhibited significant inter-annual variability. Gibson et al. (1997) suggested that this variability is a function of such environmental parameters as seasonal and inter-annual changes in light availability (sea-ice extent), water temperature and salinity, and nutrient availability. Considering that such inter-annual variations in biological productivity exist, it is necessary to
consider how these changes might influence the carbon cycle and therefore acidification trends over decadal time scales.

3.4.2 Decadal change in carbonate chemistry

The observed variability in the seasonal drawdown of DIC in spring and summer in high-latitude waters, driven largely by biological productivity, necessitates the use of winter-time observations when establishing trends in the long-term changes of carbonate chemistry. The mean winter $n$DIC concentrations (Figure 3.10a) between 1994 and 2010 show that the 2010 value ($2224 \pm 3$ $\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$ (1 s.d.; n=7)) is 34 $\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$ higher than the 1994 winter value ($2190 \pm 5$ $\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$ (1 s.d.; n = 6; 11 July 1994 to 4 November 1994)). The anthropogenic component of this change can be estimated by recalculating winter-time carbonate system parameters, allowing for increases in atmospheric CO$_2$ over the 16-year period and assuming the surface waters tracked the atmospheric increase. By using 1994 TA values and increasing the calculated seawater $p$CO$_2$ by the observed atmospheric increase (~28 $\mu$atm) an estimated increase in winter-time DIC of 12 $\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$ from 1994 to 2010 would be expected. This however, leaves an increase in $n$DIC of 22 $\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$ above that expected from atmospheric uptake alone.

The seasonal cycle of pH$_{sws}$ in 1994 was similar to the cycle observed in 2010, with lower values in winter and early-spring and higher values in summer. The mean winter value of pH$_{sws}$ in 2010 ($8.00 \pm 0.01$ (1 s.d.; n = 7)) was 0.11 lower than the mean winter value in 1994 ($8.11 \pm 0.02$ (1 s.d.; n = 6)) (Figure 3.10b). The expected decrease in pH$_{sws}$ from 1994 values, if the surface ocean uptake tracks the atmospheric CO$_2$ increase, is 0.04, indicating that ocean CO$_2$ uptake is not the only process affecting carbon cycle dynamics on the shelf environment and driving observed changes in pH$_{sws}$ from 1994 to 2010. Temperature variations between the two years cannot explain the observed differences in pH as seawater temperature was near freezing during both winters.

Due to the paucity of winter-time physical oceanographic observations in Prydz Bay and deep convective mixing over the continental shelf, previous inferences about the variability of winter-time Shelf Water properties have been restricted to summertime observations of remnant winter water from the temperature minimum layer in the oceanic domain (Smith et al., 1984). More recently, physical oceanographic
properties in June and November have been observed in the surface water (<20 m) near Davis by using Elephant seals equipped with CTD instruments. During these

![Figure 3.10](image)

**Figure 3.10.** Seasonal cycles at Site 1 between May 2010 and February 2011 (red line), and December 1993 and February 1995 (blue line) (Gibson & Trull, 1999) of (a) salinity normalized (S = 34.32) DIC (nDIC) and (b) pH_{sws} (at in situ temperature). The dashed lines show the predicted response of both nDIC and pH_{sws} from 1994 values to 2010, assuming that ocean acidification (OA) was the only process controlling carbonate chemistry in Prydz Bay. The shaded areas represent one standard deviation of measurement accuracy and propagated uncertainties.

months, salinity values ranged from 33.40 to 34.40 (G. Williams, personal communication). The mean winter-time salinity value of 34.61 ± 0.08 (1 s.d.; n = 7) at Site 1 in 2010 was 0.58 higher than the mean winter value observed in 1994 (34.03 ± 0.07 (1 s.d.; n = 6)). Whilst the values observed at Site 1 in 2010 are outside of the range of values reported by using the Elephant seal data, it is clear that large variations in winter-time salinity exist in the coastal waters of Prydz Bay. Despite the observed variability in salinity regimes, the comparison of nDIC values between the two years removes the effects of freshwater flux on DIC concentrations.
Intrusions of Modified Circumpolar Deep Water (mCDW) onto the shelf in the eastern sector of Prydz Bay have also been observed using Elephant seal data (G. Williams, personal communication). Observations in 2005 of mCDW properties near the shelf-break in Prydz Bay (Princess Elizabeth Trough, 2005; http://cdiac.ornl.gov/oceans/glodap), suggest that the higher salinity, DIC and nutrient concentrations and lower pH values associated with such intrusions could help to explain some of the observed variability in chemical and physical oceanographic properties on the shelf.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Ocean acidification</th>
<th>Biological production</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ΔnDIC_{1994–2010}</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A comparison of nN concentrations between 1994 and 2010 shows that mean winter nitrate values were 2.6 μmol kg\(^{-1}\) higher in 2010. This is outside the analytical error (0.3 μmol kg\(^{-1}\)), but of uncertain significance given the sparse sampling and overall trends of winter nitrate in each period (Figure 3.8b). Nonetheless, if this was the result of lower biological productivity prior to 2010 and assuming C/N remineralization occurred in Redfield proportions, a corresponding increase of ~17 μmol kg\(^{-1}\) in DIC relative to 1994 values would be observed. Adding this with the 12 μmol kg\(^{-1}\), for equilibration with the anthropogenic increase of atmospheric CO\(_2\), accounts for all but 5 μmol kg\(^{-1}\) of the observed increase in nDIC since 1994 (Table 3.7). This suggests that atmospheric CO\(_2\) uptake and variations in the cycling of carbon and nutrients between 1994 and 2010 account for most of the observed change in DIC and pH.

3.5 Conclusion

These observations show the relative seasonal influences of biological production, ice formation and melt, and air-sea CO\(_2\) flux in 2010, combined to drive changes in dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) at a coastal site in Prydz Bay, East Antarctica. The largest changes in DIC were caused by phytoplankton growth beneath the sea ice in spring and summer, which resulted in low seawater pCO\(_2\) values and a net CO\(_2\) uptake of 0.54 ± 0.11 mol C m\(^{-2}\) year\(^{-1}\). Comparison to previous work reveals that natural variability in carbon cycle dynamics over decadal time scales caused pH changes that
were nearly twice as large as those expected from anthropogenic CO2 alone. This highlights the difficulties in establishing trends in the ocean carbon dioxide system in dynamic, high latitude, coastal locations with sparse temporal and spatial carbon cycle observations.
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4 Manipulating seawater pH under sea ice: carbonate chemistry of an in-situ Antarctic free ocean CO₂ enrichment experiment

Abstract
The first Antarctic free-ocean CO₂ enrichment (antFOCE) experiment was conducted at a coastal site near Casey station in East Antarctica to study the response of benthic communities to ocean acidification. This was achieved through the manipulation of seawater carbonate chemistry in experimental chambers from December 2014 to February 2015. Changes in dissolved CO₂ system parameters showed how the experimental setup successfully maintained a mean pH offset within the experimental chambers of -0.38 ± 0.07 pH units, relative to ambient values, for approximately 6 weeks of the 8-week experimental period. Diel and seasonal fluctuations in ambient pH were duplicated in experimental chambers, located on the seafloor (13 m depth) under sea ice, where the seawater pH was manipulated to match values expected in the surface mixed layer by the end of this century under the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Representative Concentration Pathway 8.5 greenhouse gas concentration trajectory. The mean pH, saturation state of aragonite and the fugacity of CO₂ values in the experimental chambers were 7.680 ± 0.085, 0.62 ± 0.15 and 914 ± 160 µatm, respectively. This experiment demonstrates the feasibility of FOCE systems, even under extreme conditions such as those experienced under sea ice in Antarctica.
4.1 Introduction

Anthropogenic carbon dioxide (CO₂) has been accumulating in the oceans, lowering the pH of seawater (Feely et al., 2004; Orr et al., 2005), causing ocean acidification. Since the start of the Industrial Revolution the oceans have absorbed approximately 28% of CO₂ emissions to the atmosphere (Sabine et al., 2004; Le Quéré et al., 2015), causing a decrease in surface seawater pH of 0.1 units (Orr et al., 2005). Under the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) greenhouse gas concentration trajectories, surface ocean pH is predicted to decline by a further 0.2 to 0.4 units by 2100 (Pörtner et al., 2014). This decline in ocean pH is predicted to have serious consequences for marine ecosystems (Orr et al., 2005), from direct effects on physiology, metabolism, and calcification rates; to indirect effects on food webs, species interactions (Kroeker et al., 2013) and phytoplankton community composition (Neven et al., 2011; Trimborn et al., 2013).

One of the key challenges for ocean acidification research is to understand how the physiological effects of lower pH on individual species translates into ecosystem effects (Gattuso et al., 2011). The relative paucity of knowledge of the effects of ocean acidification, particularly on polar marine organisms and ecosystems, in comparison to other latitudes (Fabry et al., 2009), highlights the need for further experimental efforts in these regions. This is especially relevant given that the Southern Ocean is considered to be particularly sensitive to changes in carbonate chemistry, due primarily to its low buffer capacity (Sabine et al., 2004), which results in greater ocean acidification per unit CO₂ increase than temperate and tropical waters (Revelle & Suess, 1957). Field perturbation experiments at the community level will help bridge the gap in scaling from physiological studies on individual species to ecosystem effects (Pörtner et al., 2014).

Free-ocean CO₂ enrichment (FOCE) systems were developed to address the need for studies on the effects of ocean acidification on biological communities in-situ, over long time scales (from weeks to months) (Gattuso et al., 2014), whilst allowing for the natural variation in other environmental parameters. Originally developed at the Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute (MBARI) (Walz et al., 2008; Kirkwood et al., 2011), FOCE systems allow for the precise control of pH (by CO₂ enrichment) within in-situ experimental chambers as an offset from natural background pH values.
(hereafter ambient pH) on intact benthic communities. They provide a crucial link between organism level studies and scaling up to ecosystem effects by allowing the indirect effects of species interactions and changes in food webs to be examined (Gattuso et al., 2014). MBARI is now compiling FOCE technology and expertise into an open source package called xFOCE (www.xfoce.org), which this chapter will contribute to. These efforts are being made so as to reduce the time investment and technological development required for future FOCE experiments and to establish a broader community that contributes expertise and technical support.

From 19 December 2014 to 27 February 2015, a consortium of Australian, MBARI and Plymouth Marine Laboratory researchers conducted the first Antarctic FOCE (antFOCE) experiment to investigate the impacts of higher atmospheric CO₂ concentrations and lower seawater pH on Antarctic benthic communities. We used a pH perturbation of -0.4 pH units from ambient values. This equates to the expected decrease in surface ocean mixed-layer pH under the IPCC’s Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 greenhouse gas concentration trajectory for the year 2100, which equates to atmospheric CO₂ levels of approximately 936 ppm (IPCC, 2013). By maintaining a pH offset of -0.4 and allowing for natural pH variation, the pH in the experimental chambers would vary according to diel and seasonal processes that influence pH in the natural environment. This assumes that these processes themselves will not change under future ocean acidification scenarios, which will be discussed later in Section 4.4.1. This chapter describes the technical aspects and performance of the antFOCE system and reports on the changes in carbonate chemistry that were observed during the experiment, alongside high-resolution measurements of ambient pH in O’Brien Bay, near Casey station in East Antarctica (Figures 1.2 and 4.1).

4.2 Data and methods

The antFOCE system consisted of two experimental chambers (chambers A and B) and two replicate control chambers (chambers C and D) that enclosed sections of benthos, and two open control plots (no chambers, plots E and F) in ~13 metres of water depth beneath sea ice. The pH within the experimental chambers was manipulated (~0.4 pH units below ambient values) by the incremental addition/adjustment of CO₂ enriched seawater (ESW) during a two-week
‘acclimatization’ phase and an eight-week ‘experimental’ phase. This was controlled and monitored by a surface sensor array and a series of switchable taps and pumps that allowed seawater to be sampled from the surface (Figure 4.2). Specific details regarding each of these components will be discussed in the following sections.

Figure 4.1 Map of the Mitchell and Bailey Peninsulas in the Windmill Islands of East Antarctica, showing the location of Casey station and the O’Brien Bay study sites.

4.2.1 Experimental setting

The antFOCE system was deployed in December 2014 after divers had surveyed the site for suitable areas to locate the seabed experimental setup. The site was selected
based on the following criteria: 1) accessibility from shore, 2) shallow water depth (for convenient diver access), 3) a mixed habitat of soft sediment and small boulders that supported a diverse and abundant invertebrate community and 4) a site that was not contaminated by activities from Casey station. The chambers were placed on patches of sediment at least 10 cm deep and at least 9 m² in extent so that sediment/water interactions could be monitored. Divers deployed the underwater components over a period of several weeks while the surface system was transported to the site and assembled. The site was located in a small sheltered embayment within the larger O’Brien Bay (Figure 4.1). The site was covered by fast ice for the duration of the experiment, which was 260 cm thick at the start and approximately 200 cm thick by the end of the experiment. The benthic habitat was a mixture of boulders, cobbles and patches of sediment and was dominated by invertebrates, with no macroalgae present due to the multi-year sea ice reducing light availability. The sediment was covered with a layer of microphytobenthos, which consisted primarily of diatoms (Thompson et al., 2007).

4.2.2 CO₂ enriched seawater

Seawater from near the seabed experimental setup was pumped to the surface and saturated with CO₂ in a pressurized cylinder (internal measurements 1520 mm long x diameter 240 mm, internal volume 69 L) housed in a shipping container with the generator. A mass flow controller (Alicat M series) was used to measure the amount of CO₂ being used, which was supplied from a bank of 69 CO₂ cylinders (food grade F size cylinder, holding 22 kg of CO₂) stored on site adjacent to the generator van. The ESW cylinder contained a misting showerhead at the top and was filled with plastic bio-balls (OVI-Flow ball 2 Biologic Media) to increase the surface area available for diffusion. The ESW, which had an approximate pH of 5.5, was introduced to the seabed experimental setup via a pump and hose system, as discussed below.

4.2.3 Seabed experimental setup

The four chambers were constructed from clear polycarbonate material that measured 2000 mm length x 500 mm width x 500 mm height, with three hinged lids on the top of the chamber and an open bottom. On the outlet end of the chamber there was a 10 mm stainless steel mesh screen. A 280 mm wide rubber skirt flap was attached to the
base of the chamber to help seal the sides. The chamber was attached to a 40 m long cylindrical equilibration duct (Plastcorp Mine flex stainless with steel wire; 500 mm diameter) via a stainless steel collar. The duct consisted of 4 x 10 m long sections joined with stainless steel collars. The inlet end of the duct was attached to 3.5 m of PVC storm water pipe, known as the thruster tube. Each thruster tube consisted of two sections of PVC pipe (250 mm diameter) joined with a collar. The ESW was introduced to the start of the pipe just behind the open end used for the intake of surrounding seawater, which was fitted with a cylindrical section of 10 mm stainless steel mesh (370 mm long, 250 mm diameter) to prevent the ingress of fish and large invertebrates. In the second section, a thruster (Navigator 65-5501) drew water into the pipe past a flow meter (General Oceanics digital lower flow) and into the duct and then eventually through the chamber. Water flow through the system was unidirectional and maintained at 3 cm s$^{-1}$.

4.2.4 Pump system and hoses

Peristaltic pumps were used to: 1) pump ambient seawater from near the chambers to the ESW unit (1 x Albin peristaltic ALP17 56 RPM fixed), 2) pump ESW down to the thruster tubes (2 x Albin peristaltic ALP17 29-6 RPM variable output speed) and 3) pump water from the chambers to the sensor panel (3 x Albin peristaltic ALP17 29 RPM fixed). These pumps were contained in a large insulated plastic box (Techni Ice 1100 L), which was heated internally with a diesel-burning heater (Webasto WEB902023480B). Pressure rated hoses were used to suck up water to use to make ESW (PVC Plutone clear/wire suction internal diam. 19 mm) and to the sensor panel from the chambers (PVC Plutone clear/wire suction 16 mm) as well as carry ESW to the thruster tubes (Barfell Diver air internal diam. 10 mm). The hoses were wrapped in a double coil of heat trace and all wrapped by a layer of insulation (FR Armaflex) contained within a canvas wrapping to prevent seawater freezing in the sub-zero air temperatures. The umbilical of the hoses also contained a 240V power cable that crossed the surface of the sea ice to a large metal sea-ice buoy, which sat in a 900 mm hole in the sea ice. The umbilical and cables passed through the centre of the buoy, into the water, and out to the seabed experimental setup. Power to the entire antFOCE system was supplied from a Cummins C22 D5 (X series) generator, housed on-site in a shipping container. An adjacent 400 L tank of diesel fuel was connected to the generator and regularly refilled from 200 L drums transported to the site.
4.2.5 Sensor array

Water was continuously pumped from the outlet end of three of the benthic chambers (both experimental chambers and one control, manually alternating between chamber C and D) to the surface where it was pumped through the sensor array. To obtain measurements, valves were used to enable water to flow sequentially from each chamber over the sensors for 10-minute periods. Water from chambers not being passed over the sensors went into an overflow disposal line. The sensor array consisted of a dissolved oxygen optode (Aanderaa, model 3835), thermostalinograph (Seabird SBE 45 MicroTSG) and for redundancy, two pH electrodes (Seabird SBE 18 and Honeywell Durafet). Water from the chambers was sampled for biogeochemical measurements (Section 4.2.7) using a valve on the water line leading into the sensor array. An additional pH electrode (Seabird SBE 18) was moved between chambers as a means to compare pH measurements in-situ with those from the surface sensor panel.

4.2.6 Control of pH

The pH of the experimental chambers was controlled by varying the amount of ESW pumped into the thruster tubes to achieve the -0.4 pH offset. The pH was measured by the Honeywell Durafet sensor and the flow rate of the ESW was adjusted slowly by a feedback control loop to ensure stability. Due to the surface sensor system cycling through each chamber every 10 minutes, and the seawater itself taking ~10 minutes to be pumped from the chamber to the surface, a delay of 30 minutes between estimates of pH offset was introduced. Adjustments to pH in the experimental chambers would then take another 10 minutes to propagate back down the ESW line and a further 22 minutes to flow through the equilibration ducting and into the chambers, introducing a total delay of ~1 hour in adjusting the pH within the chamber.

4.2.7 Biogeochemical measurements

Seawater samples of 250-mL, used for the analysis of DIC and TA, were collected from the surface sampling system and from a Niskin bottle at various locations within the study site. 100-μL of a saturated HgCl₂ solution was added to these samples to halt biological activity. DIC was determined using a Single Operator Multiparameter Metabolic Analyser following the procedure in Dickson et al. (2007), and TA was determined by open-cell potentiometric titration using a 0.1 M hydrochloric acid
Figure 4.2 Schematic of the antFOCE system (generated by the Australian Antarctic Division’s Science Technical Support group).
Figure 4.3 Photos of a) personnel preparing for a dive at the antFOCE field site, with the ‘Silver Chalet’, containing the surface sensor array, visible in the background next to the orange shipping container used to house the generator and CO₂ enriched seawater cylinder (Photo: N. Roden), b) a diver tending to one of the experimental chambers (Photo: AAD), c) the equilibration ducting with white thruster tubes attached (Photo: AAD), and d) the surface sensor array inside the Silver Chalet (Photo: N. Roden).
titrant (Dickson et al., 2007). Routine analysis of Certified Reference Material (batch 137) from Scripps Institution of Oceanography were used to verify the measurement accuracy and precision for DIC and TA analyses, which were better than ± 1.0 μmol kg⁻¹ and ± 1.3 μmol kg⁻¹, respectively. Nutrient concentrations of nitrate (NO₃⁻), nitrite (NO₂⁻), phosphate (HPO₄²⁻) and silicic acid (H₄SiO₄⁻) were determined using standard colorimetric methods (Hansen & Koroleff, 2007), adapted for flow injection analysis on a SEAL AutoAnalyzer 3 HR, and yielded a measurement accuracy and precision of ± 0.4 μmol kg⁻¹, ± 0.01 μmol kg⁻¹, ± 0.06 μmol kg⁻¹ and 3 μmol kg⁻¹, respectively.

pH electrodes in the antFOCE system were calibrated using calculated pHsws values (accuracy and precision ± 0.005 pH units, based on propagated uncertainties of measured parameters), that were determined approximately every three days. The pHsws values were calculated using discrete measurements of total dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), total alkalinity (TA) and nutrients using the standard set of carbonate system equations with the CO2SYS program (van Heuven et al., 2011) and the dissociation constants of Roy et al. (1993). Due to minimal drift in the Honeywell Durafet sensor (stability better than 0.005 pH units over weeks to months; Martz et al., 2010), a mean offset correction was applied based on all calibration samples. Significant sensor drift occurred in the Seabird SBE 18 electrodes, which required an alternative calibration procedure, whereby it was assumed that the sensor drift was linearly proportional in time. However, this procedure did not significantly improve the data quality. It was determined that the drift was random in nature and unable to be corrected. As such, only the Honeywell Durafet data are considered here.

Two SeapHOx units (Martz et al., 2010; Bresnahan et al., 2014) were deployed on the seafloor in O’Brien Bay to measure ambient pH, dissolved oxygen, temperature and salinity at 1-hour intervals from 16 December 2014 to 28 February 2015. The units were located in 13 metres of water: one adjacent to the thruster tubes of the antFOCE system (experiment site), used to characterize the ambient seawater conditions, and the other ~300 metres further towards the mouth of O’Brien Bay (outer O’Brien Bay; Figure 4.1) to assess variability within the bay. Honeywell Durafet pH sensors inside the SeapHOx units were calibrated using the approach described previously, by collecting samples with a Niskin bottle. Oxygen optodes (Aanderaa, model 3835) were calibrated at the Commonwealth, Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) Ocean Carbon Laboratory in Hobart, Tasmania, before and
after deployments using Winkler titrations. The calibration data were used to fit the optode response to a Stern-Volmer equation (Uchida et al., 2008), and yielded an accuracy and precision of ± 2 µmol kg⁻¹. Data from the oxygen optode in the surface sensor array were affected by air bubbles trapped inside its housing and are not considered any further in this study. The oxygen concentration at saturation was determined from atmospheric pressure, seawater temperature and salinity measurements (García & Gordon, 1992, 1993). Temperature and salinity were measured using two SBE 37-SI MicroCAT conductivity and temperature recorders that were calibrated at the CSIRO Oceanographic Calibration Facility in Hobart, Tasmania, and yielded an accuracy and precision of ± 0.002°C and 0.004, respectively.

![Figure 4.4](image_url)

**Figure 4.4** The salinity and TA relationship of waters south of 60°S and shallower than 500 m from BROKE-West in 2006, the Princess Elizabeth Trough in 2005 (PET) and from the WOCE SR3 transect, measured in 1994 (data available from GLODAPv2) along with values measured in this study (coloured dots; red = experimental chambers, blue = control chambers, green = ambient seawater, purple = outer O’Brien Bay). A linear regression yielded the following equation, \( y = (62.8 \pm 0.4)x + (163 \pm 13) \) (n = 340, \( r^2 = 0.99 \), standard error = 4 µmol kg⁻¹).

TA data from this study were combined with data from previous studies in the Southern Ocean that used the same measurement techniques, and a linear regression
of salinity versus TA was calculated. This relationship (Figure 4.4) was used to calculate TA from high-resolution salinity measurements. Data used to calculate the regression were from samples shallower than 500 m and south of 60°S and included measurements from the CO2/World Ocean Circulation Experiment (WOCE) hydrographic sections of the nearby Princess Elizabeth Trough in 2005, measurements from the southern end of the 1994 WOCE SR3 transect along 140°E, and measurements from the Baseline Research on Oceanography, Krill and the Environment – West (BROKE-West) study in 2006 (Roden et al., 2016). The correlation between the parameters in Figure 4.4 ($y = (62.8 \pm 0.4)x + (163 \pm 13); n = 340, r^2 = 0.99$, standard error $= 4 \mu$mol kg$^{-1}$) shows that much of the TA variability was caused by freshwater fluxes and indicates that net calcification/dissolution of carbonate minerals in the water column was not a significant contributor to the TA variability, as discussed later in Section 4.3.1. The saturation state of aragonite ($\Omega_{ar}$) (Mucci, 1983) and the fugacity of CO$_2$ ($f$CO$_2$) were calculated from high-resolution measurements of pH$_{sws}$, calculated TA, and the mean phosphate and silicic acid concentrations measured throughout the experimental period of $1.8 \pm 0.2 \mu$mol kg$^{-1}$ and $50 \pm 9 \mu$mol kg$^{-1}$, respectively. Propagating the uncertainties associated with these measured and calculated input parameters, we estimate an uncertainty of $\pm 0.01$ for $\Omega_{ar}$ and $\pm 8 \mu$atm for $f$CO$_2$.

4.3 Results

4.3.1 System performance

The weekly values of biogeochemical parameters in the antFOCE system, measured from 19 December 2014 to 27 February 2015, are summarized in Tables 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 and illustrated in Figure 4.5. The antFOCE deployment period is divided into two separate phases, a two-week acclimatization phase and an eight-week experimental phase. During the acclimatization phase, the pH of the experimental chambers was slowly lowered by incrementally increasing the amount of ESW being fed into the chambers until the target pH reduction of 0.4 from the control chambers was reached. During the eight-week experimental phase, a mean pH offset of $-0.383 \pm 0.066$ and $-0.382 \pm 0.065$ was achieved for chambers A and B, respectively. This resulted in mean undersaturated $\Omega_{ar}$ values of $0.62 \pm 0.15$ and $0.62 \pm 0.13$ (Table 4.2) and mean $f$CO$_2$ values of $914 \pm 159 \mu$atm and $911 \pm 150 \mu$atm (Table 4.3) for both experimental
chambers. The control chambers during the experimental phase had a mean $\Omega_{ar}$ of $1.39 \pm 0.11$ (1 s.d.). The mean $fCO_2$ value of the control chambers were $354 \pm 42$ µatm (1 s.d.), which, like $fCO_2$ values in the ambient environment, were undersaturated with respect to the mean atmospheric $fCO_2$ value of $379 \pm 3$ µatm (1 s.d.).

A comparison of measured TA values in the experimental and control chambers showed no detectable increases in TA as a result of CaCO$_3$ dissolution (Figure 4.4), despite the experimental chambers having undersaturated $\Omega_{ar}$ values. This was initially determined by normalising ($n$) the sum of TA and nitrate (TA+N) concentrations to the mean salinity of 33.645 observed during the antFOCE deployment period. This accounts for changes in these parameters as a result of freshwater input/removal and assumes that TA, nutrients and salinity change in proportion to each other. Summing the concentrations of TA and nitrate accounts for changes in TA associated with the uptake or release of dissolved nitrate during photosynthesis or respiration (Brewer & Goldman, 1976). Consequently, both the experimental and control chambers had similar mean $nTA+nN$ values of $2302 \pm 3$ µmol kg$^{-1}$ (1 s.d.; $n = 57$) and $2301 \pm 3$ µmol kg$^{-1}$ (1 s.d.; $n = 28$), respectively. This result is not unexpected given the short residence time of water within the chambers of ~66 seconds. If we assume a maximum dissolution rate (Archer et al., 1989), porosity and carbonate content within the sediments (<10% by volume), the expected flux of alkalinity due to CaCO$_3$ dissolution equates to only 0.0009 µmol L$^{-1}$, which would be undetectable given the given the accuracy and precision of the TA measurements themselves ($\pm 1.3$ µmol kg$^{-1}$).

During the acclimatization and experimental phases, the system experienced a series of power outages and stoppages due to equipment failure. The failure of the onsite power generator, which was thought to be due to a faulty oil pressure sensor, caused the majority of these outages except for two occasions, where the failure of individual thrusters resulted in system stoppages. Divers replaced the damaged thrusters and the system was restarted within 24 hours. During these system stoppages, CO$_2$ system parameters within the experimental chambers would slowly revert back to ambient conditions until the system could be restarted again. Because measuring the pH of the chambers relied on an external power supply, we were unable to characterize the biogeochemical conditions within the chambers during these system stoppages.
Therefore, the results we report here represent the conditions within the antFOCE chambers from when the system was actually running. Upon restarting the experimental system the pH would gradually be brought back to the desired offset. Depending on how long the system had been down for, this would usually be achieved over a 2-4 hour period. Over the 14 day acclimatization period, 8.55 days were lost due to system stoppages, and over the 56 day experimental period 12.19 days were lost due to system stoppages (downtime), as indicated by the grey bars on Figure 4.5 and summarized in Tables 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3.
Figure 4.5 a) Measured pH_{sw}, b) calculated saturation state or aragonite (Ω_{ar}) and c) calculated fugacity of CO_{2} (f_{CO_{2}}) (µatm) of seawater with atmospheric f_{CO_{2}} (dashed line) of ambient (green line; experiment site), experimental chambers (red line; mean of chambers A and B) and control chambers (blue line; chambers C and D combined) during the antFOCE acclimatization and experimental periods. Lighter coloured lines show high-resolution measurements, with darker coloured lines showing 24-hour low-pass (moving average) filtered data. The grey bars represent periods of experimental system downtime.
Table 4.1 Weekly summary of mean pHsws values (± 1 s.d.) during the acclimatization (19 December 2014 – 2 January 2015) and experimental (2 January 2015 – 27 February 2015) periods of the antFOCE experiment with the total number of downtime days (system stoppages) for each corresponding week and period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ambient (experiment site)</th>
<th>Control chambers</th>
<th>Offset&lt;sub&gt;cont-amb&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Experimental chamber (A)</th>
<th>Offset&lt;sub&gt;exp-cont&lt;/sub&gt; chamber (A)</th>
<th>Experimental chamber (B)</th>
<th>Offset&lt;sub&gt;exp-cont&lt;/sub&gt; chamber (B)</th>
<th>Downtime (total days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acclimatization period</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 1</td>
<td>8.047 ± 0.005</td>
<td>7.991 ± 0.019</td>
<td>-0.059 ± 0.020</td>
<td>7.972 ± 0.060</td>
<td>-0.020 ± 0.058</td>
<td>7.974 ± 0.053</td>
<td>-0.017 ± 0.053</td>
<td>4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td>8.042 ± 0.010</td>
<td>7.998 ± 0.037</td>
<td>-0.049 ± 0.037</td>
<td>7.941 ± 0.057</td>
<td>-0.059 ± 0.067</td>
<td>7.938 ± 0.051</td>
<td>-0.058 ± 0.056</td>
<td>4.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>8.045 ± 0.008</td>
<td>7.994 ± 0.029</td>
<td>-0.054 ± 0.030</td>
<td>7.957 ± 0.060</td>
<td>-0.038 ± 0.065</td>
<td>7.958 ± 0.055</td>
<td>-0.036 ± 0.058</td>
<td>8.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental period</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 1</td>
<td>8.037 ± 0.008</td>
<td>8.029 ± 0.032</td>
<td>-0.006 ± 0.035</td>
<td>7.742 ± 0.110</td>
<td>-0.290 ± 0.113</td>
<td>7.746 ± 0.105</td>
<td>-0.285 ± 0.109</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td>8.046 ± 0.006</td>
<td>8.017 ± 0.041</td>
<td>-0.029 ± 0.040</td>
<td>7.638 ± 0.091</td>
<td>-0.381 ± 0.057</td>
<td>7.648 ± 0.088</td>
<td>-0.370 ± 0.056</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 3</td>
<td>8.058 ± 0.011</td>
<td>8.048 ± 0.031</td>
<td>-0.010 ± 0.031</td>
<td>7.653 ± 0.050</td>
<td>-0.395 ± 0.028</td>
<td>7.648 ± 0.050</td>
<td>-0.400 ± 0.027</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>8.077 ± 0.010</td>
<td>8.060 ± 0.026</td>
<td>-0.015 ± 0.028</td>
<td>7.672 ± 0.096</td>
<td>-0.391 ± 0.074</td>
<td>7.681 ± 0.097</td>
<td>-0.382 ± 0.076</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 5</td>
<td>8.084 ± 0.007</td>
<td>8.075 ± 0.030</td>
<td>-0.009 ± 0.031</td>
<td>7.677 ± 0.063</td>
<td>-0.402 ± 0.025</td>
<td>7.675 ± 0.051</td>
<td>-0.400 ± 0.026</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 6</td>
<td>8.095 ± 0.015</td>
<td>8.093 ± 0.033</td>
<td>-0.003 ± 0.032</td>
<td>7.699 ± 0.062</td>
<td>-0.392 ± 0.054</td>
<td>7.694 ± 0.051</td>
<td>-0.399 ± 0.032</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 7</td>
<td>8.096 ± 0.008</td>
<td>8.092 ± 0.035</td>
<td>-0.004 ± 0.032</td>
<td>7.694 ± 0.081</td>
<td>-0.405 ± 0.034</td>
<td>7.695 ± 0.073</td>
<td>-0.401 ± 0.041</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 8</td>
<td>8.101 ± 0.008</td>
<td>8.093 ± 0.032</td>
<td>-0.009 ± 0.030</td>
<td>7.694 ± 0.072</td>
<td>-0.402 ± 0.042</td>
<td>7.691 ± 0.060</td>
<td>-0.404 ± 0.033</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>8.074 ± 0.025</td>
<td>8.061 ± 0.044</td>
<td>-0.011 ± 0.034</td>
<td>7.680 ± 0.085</td>
<td>-0.383 ± 0.066</td>
<td>7.681 ± 0.078</td>
<td>-0.382 ± 0.065</td>
<td>12.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.2 Weekly summary of mean aragonite saturation state ($\Omega_{ar}$) values (± 1 s.d.) during the acclimatization (19 December 2014 – 2 January 2015) and experimental (2 January 2015 – 27 February 2015) periods of the antFOCE experiment with the total number of downtime days (system stoppages) for each corresponding week and period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ambient (experiment site)</th>
<th>Control chambers</th>
<th>Offset&lt;sub&gt;cont-amb&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Experimental chamber (A)</th>
<th>Offset&lt;sub&gt;exp-cont&lt;/sub&gt; chamber (A)</th>
<th>Experimental chamber (B)</th>
<th>Offset&lt;sub&gt;exp-cont&lt;/sub&gt; chamber (B)</th>
<th>Downtime (total days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acclimatization period</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 1</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.05</td>
<td>-0.16 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.14</td>
<td>-0.04 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.11</td>
<td>-0.04 ± 0.10</td>
<td>4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.10</td>
<td>-0.13 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.13</td>
<td>-0.14 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.12</td>
<td>-0.14 ± 0.13</td>
<td>4.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.08</td>
<td>-0.15 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.14</td>
<td>-0.09 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.12</td>
<td>-0.08 ± 0.13</td>
<td>8.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental period</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 1</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.09</td>
<td>-0.02 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.19</td>
<td>-0.60 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.18</td>
<td>-0.59 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.11</td>
<td>-0.08 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.15</td>
<td>-0.72 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.14</td>
<td>-0.70 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 3</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.09</td>
<td>-0.03 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.07</td>
<td>-0.79 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.07</td>
<td>-0.80 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.07</td>
<td>-0.04 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.18</td>
<td>-0.78 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.19</td>
<td>-0.77 ± 0.14</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 5</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.09</td>
<td>-0.03 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.11</td>
<td>-0.81 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.08</td>
<td>-0.81 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 6</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.09</td>
<td>-0.01 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.11</td>
<td>-0.80 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.09</td>
<td>-0.82 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 7</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.10</td>
<td>-0.01 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.15</td>
<td>-0.82 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.13</td>
<td>-0.82 ± 0.09</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 8</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.10</td>
<td>-0.03 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.14</td>
<td>-0.82 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.11</td>
<td>-0.83 ± 0.08</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.11</td>
<td>-0.03 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.15</td>
<td>-0.77 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.13</td>
<td>-0.77 ± 0.13</td>
<td>12.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.3 Weekly summary of mean fugacity of carbon dioxide (\(f_{\text{CO}_2}\)) (\(\mu\text{atm}\)) values (\(\pm 1\) s.d.) during the acclimatization (19 December 2014 – 2 January 2015) and experimental (2 January 2015 – 27 February 2015) periods of the antFOCE experiment with the total number of downtime days (system stoppages) for each corresponding week and period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ambient (experiment site)</th>
<th>Control chambers</th>
<th>Offset\text{cont-amb}</th>
<th>Experimental chamber (A)</th>
<th>Offset\text{exp-cont} chamber (A)</th>
<th>Experimental chamber (B)</th>
<th>Offset\text{exp-cont} chamber (B)</th>
<th>Downtime (total days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acclimatization period</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 1</td>
<td>368 ± 4</td>
<td>425 ± 20</td>
<td>59 ± 20</td>
<td>450 ± 75</td>
<td>26 ± 74</td>
<td>446 ± 84</td>
<td>23 ± 87</td>
<td>4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td>374 ± 9</td>
<td>419 ± 37</td>
<td>49 ± 38</td>
<td>485 ± 69</td>
<td>69 ± 80</td>
<td>487 ± 62</td>
<td>67 ± 66</td>
<td>4.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>371 ± 8</td>
<td>422 ± 29</td>
<td>55 ± 30</td>
<td>466 ± 74</td>
<td>46 ± 79</td>
<td>465 ± 77</td>
<td>43 ± 81</td>
<td>8.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental period</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 1</td>
<td>379 ± 7</td>
<td>387 ± 31</td>
<td>7 ± 34</td>
<td>810 ± 203</td>
<td>428 ± 202</td>
<td>801 ± 191</td>
<td>417 ± 190</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td>370 ± 6</td>
<td>400 ± 39</td>
<td>30 ± 39</td>
<td>1028 ± 189</td>
<td>631 ± 149</td>
<td>1003 ± 179</td>
<td>605 ± 141</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 3</td>
<td>359 ± 10</td>
<td>369 ± 29</td>
<td>10 ± 29</td>
<td>979 ± 110</td>
<td>610 ± 85</td>
<td>990 ± 112</td>
<td>622 ± 86</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>340 ± 9</td>
<td>354 ± 23</td>
<td>13 ± 24</td>
<td>938 ± 155</td>
<td>589 ± 127</td>
<td>919 ± 153</td>
<td>569 ± 128</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 5</td>
<td>329 ± 6</td>
<td>338 ± 26</td>
<td>8 ± 26</td>
<td>908 ± 112</td>
<td>575 ± 74</td>
<td>908 ± 97</td>
<td>571 ± 69</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 6</td>
<td>319 ± 13</td>
<td>321 ± 28</td>
<td>3 ± 26</td>
<td>855 ± 106</td>
<td>530 ± 93</td>
<td>861 ± 92</td>
<td>541 ± 66</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 7</td>
<td>318 ± 7</td>
<td>321 ± 28</td>
<td>4 ± 26</td>
<td>868 ± 129</td>
<td>556 ± 79</td>
<td>865 ± 121</td>
<td>549 ± 86</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 8</td>
<td>314 ± 7</td>
<td>321 ± 26</td>
<td>8 ± 24</td>
<td>868 ± 111</td>
<td>550 ± 75</td>
<td>872 ± 100</td>
<td>553 ± 67</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>341 ± 25</td>
<td>354 ± 42</td>
<td>11 ± 31</td>
<td>914 ± 159</td>
<td>564 ± 130</td>
<td>911 ± 150</td>
<td>559 ± 124</td>
<td>12.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.2 Ambient variability

The mean values of carbonate system parameters within the control chambers throughout the experimental period, when considered over weekly intervals, were largely the same as values observed in the ambient environment (Tables 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3). The control chambers however, showed significantly more diel variability compared to ambient values (Table 4.4). The mean diel change of pH\textsubscript{sws} in the ambient environment was $0.020 \pm 0.008$ (1 s.d.), compared to $0.077 \pm 0.027$ (1 s.d.) for the control chambers. A comparison of changes in pH\textsubscript{sws} and oxygen concentration within the ambient environment itself showed that pH and oxygen at the outer O’Brien Bay site was generally higher than the values observed near the experiment site. These changes are summarized in Tables 4.4 and 4.5 and illustrated in Figures 4.6 and 4.7. Sea-ice cover of different thickness and age characterized these two locations, with multi-year sea ice over the experimental site measuring 260 cm in thickness, and first-year ice over the outer O’Brien Bay site measuring 150 cm in thickness at the start of the season.

The measured ambient pH\textsubscript{sws} at both sites generally increased during the deployment period with a seasonal range of 8.019 to 8.130 pH units at the experimental site and 8.045 to 8.192 units at the outer O’Brien Bay site (Figure 4.6a). Higher pH levels and an increase in short-term (diel) variability as the season progressed, characterized the outer site. This increase in diel variability (Figure 4.7b), compared to the experiment site (Figure 4.7a), is also observed in the dissolved oxygen concentration (Figure 4.7c and 4.7d), which had a seasonal range of 333 to 374 µmol kg\(^{-1}\) at the experiment site and 335 to 400 µmol kg\(^{-1}\) at the outer site. Oxygen concentration at both sites peaked in late-January (Figure 4.6b) just before a significant decrease in salinity was observed throughout the bay (Figure 4.6d).
Figure 4.6 Ambient measurements between 19 December 2014 and 28 February 2015 of a) pH$_{sws}$ (dots show calibration points) and b) dissolved oxygen (µmol kg$^{-1}$) with oxygen saturation (dashed line), c) day length (hours) and d) salinity and temperature (°C) from the experiment site. Lighter coloured lines show high-resolution measurements, with darker coloured line showing 24-hour low-pass (moving average) filtered data.
Figure 4.7 High-pass filtered $pH_{sw}$ (a and b), dissolved oxygen ($\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$; c and d), salinity (e and f) and temperature (°C; g and h). The zero represents a moving 24-hour average value at any given time. Darker coloured lines are the s.d. of 24-hour moving average of the high-frequency data (lighter coloured lines).
Table 4.4 Summary of daily changes within O’Brien Bay (19 December 2014 – 27 February 2015) of seawater pH_{sws}, dissolved oxygen (µmol kg⁻¹), calculated dissolved inorganic carbon (µmol kg⁻¹), saturation state of aragonite (Ω_{ar}), fugacity of CO₂ (f_{CO₂}) (µatm), salinity and temperature (°C).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>pH_{sws}</th>
<th>O₂ (µmol kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>DIC (µmol kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Ω_{ar}</th>
<th>f_{CO₂} (µatm)</th>
<th>Salinity</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ambient (experiment site)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum range</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum range</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0.301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean range (± 1 s.d.)</td>
<td>0.020 ± 0.008</td>
<td>8 ± 3</td>
<td>9 ± 4</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.02</td>
<td>18 ± 7</td>
<td>0.050 ± 0.048</td>
<td>0.076 ± 0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ambient (outer O’Brien Bay)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum range</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum range</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean range (± 1 s.d.)</td>
<td>0.029 ± 0.016</td>
<td>13 ± 7</td>
<td>11 ± 6</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.05</td>
<td>23 ± 12</td>
<td>0.046 ± 0.045</td>
<td>0.082 ± 0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control chambers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum range</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum range</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean range (± 1 s.d.)</td>
<td>0.077 ± 0.027</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28 ± 10</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.08</td>
<td>69 ± 25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental chamber (A)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum range</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum range</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean range (± 1 s.d.)</td>
<td>0.242 ± 0.17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73 ± 52</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.36</td>
<td>390 ± 246</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental chamber (B)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum range</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum range</td>
<td>0.545</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean range (± 1 s.d.)</td>
<td>0.231 ± 0.16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69 ± 49</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.34</td>
<td>382 ± 233</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.5 Summary of ambient seasonal values within O’Brien Bay (19 December 2014 – 27 February 2015) of seawater pH\textsubscript{sws}, dissolved oxygen (µmol kg\textsuperscript{-1}), calculated dissolved inorganic carbon (µmol kg\textsuperscript{-1}), saturation state of aragonite (Ω\textsubscript{ar}), fugacity of CO\textsubscript{2} (fCO\textsubscript{2}) (µatm), salinity and temperature (°C).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>pH\textsubscript{sws}</th>
<th>O\textsubscript{2} (µmol kg\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>DIC (µmol kg\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>Ω\textsubscript{ar}</th>
<th>fCO\textsubscript{2} (µatm)</th>
<th>Salinity</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ambient (experiment site)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>8.019</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>2105</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>33.079</td>
<td>-1.479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>8.130</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>2206</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>34.257</td>
<td>-0.609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>1.178</td>
<td>0.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (± 1 s.d.)</td>
<td>8.068 ± 0.025</td>
<td>348 ± 7</td>
<td>2166 ± 32</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.05</td>
<td>348 ± 26</td>
<td>33.785 ± 0.430</td>
<td>-1.120 ± 0.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ambient (outer O’Brien Bay)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>8.045</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>2089</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>33.088</td>
<td>-1.466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>8.192</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>2196</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>34.256</td>
<td>-0.639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>1.168</td>
<td>0.827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (± 1 s.d.)</td>
<td>8.100 ± 0.028</td>
<td>356 ± 11</td>
<td>2153 ± 32</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.07</td>
<td>320 ± 25</td>
<td>33.776 ± 0.432</td>
<td>-1.112 ± 0.243</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4 Discussion

4.4.1 Manipulation of carbonate chemistry

The antFOCE system, in line with the xFOCE goals, reduced pH and maintained a pH offset in the experimental chambers that closely tracked the variation observed in the control chambers (Figure 4.8). This offset does not include periods where power and equipment failures resulted in system outages. As a result, the diel variability in the experimental chambers was often larger than the seasonal variability observed at other Antarctic coastal sites (e.g. Gibson & Trull, 1999; Roden et al., 2013; Legge et al., 2015). In a global context this diel variability was not as extreme as the short-term pH variability (days to weeks) observed in many other coastal ecosystems, including kelp forests (range: 0.544), estuaries (range: 0.992), upwelling systems (range: 0.467) and CO₂ vents (range: 1.43) (Hofmann et al., 2011).

Although these system outages will provide challenges in interpreting the biological responses in the experimental chambers, it is possible that these large pH fluctuations could provide unexpected insights into the resilience of Antarctic benthic communities to rapid changes in environmental conditions. Particularly as future pH variability is expected to increase as a result of the reduced buffer capacity of seawater as more CO₂ is absorbed from the atmosphere. The effects of this reduced buffer capacity were not immediately observed in the experimental chambers because the pH values were engineered to track the natural variability inside the control chambers. Understanding how diel and seasonal changes in dissolved CO₂ parameters will manifest themselves in response to future ocean acidification is of great interest to the biogeochemistry community. FOCE technology, therefore, could provide a useful tool for investigating these future changes.

The pH of the control chambers had greater variability than that measured in the ambient environment. A similar observation was made by Cox et al. (2016) in the European FOCE experiment conducted on a seagrass meadow, where the median diel pH variation was 75% greater in the control chambers compared to the ambient environment. The mean diel pH variation in our control chambers was as much as 285% greater (Table 4.4). This variation could have been due to the influence of the chambers themselves on the enclosed biological communities, or alternatively, biological activity or gas exchange (bubbles) within the sample lines may have
contributed to the observed changes. It is unlikely that other physical processes within the sample lines, such as warming, could be responsible for such variations in pH, as temperature changes caused by the heat trace in the sample lines (~3°C of warming) for example, were accounted for when adjusting measured pH values to in-situ conditions. The small volume of air that was occasionally observed in the sample hoses may have contaminated seawater sampled from the chambers, although this volume of air was very small when compared to the volume of water being sampled. Furthermore, a comparison of calculated pH_{sws} from samples of DIC, TA and nutrients, simultaneously collected from the surface sampling system and from inside one of the control chambers with a Niskin bottle, agreed to within 0.001 pH units. This indicates that the carbonate chemistry of seawater as it was pumped from the chambers to the surface sensor array did not change significantly and suggests that much of the difference between the ambient pH and the pH measured in the antFOCE chambers was caused by greater variability in biological production inside the chambers themselves.

![Figure 4.8](image)

**Figure 4.8** Measured pH_{sws} over 7 days (14 January 2015 – 21 January 2015) of ambient (green line; experiment site), experimental chambers (red line; mean of chambers A and B) and control chambers (blue line; chambers C and D combined) during the antFOCE experimental period. Lighter coloured lines show high-resolution measurements, with darker coloured lines showing 24-hour low-pass (moving average) filtered data. The grey bars represent periods of experimental system downtime.
Power outages causing system shutdowns were the main technical problems in the antFOCE system. These were attributable, post experiment, to a faulty oil pressure sensor in the power generator. These outages resulted in increased variability in pH experienced in the experimental chambers, with numerous (Table 4.1) periods where pH reverted back to ambient or between the desired offset and ambient. Implementing power redundancies (e.g. battery backup) into future system designs could reduce the impact of power outages on experimental integrity.

During periods when the antFOCE system was functioning normally, conditions in the experimental chambers represented a significant departure from conditions likely to be experienced over a full annual cycle (e.g. Gibson & Trull, 1999; Roden et al., 2013; Legge et al., 2015; Kapsenberg et al., 2015). A seasonal cycle of carbonate chemistry in coastal Antarctica typically features pH values elevated by primary production in summer and lower values during winter driven by net heterotrophy. At McMurdo station in the Ross Sea for example, coastal pH ranges from 7.93 in June to 8.24 in January, with Ω_{ar} in January ranging from 1.79 to 2.03, while in June it reaches 1.03 (Kapsenberg et al., 2015). At Davis station, East Antarctica, as discussed in Chapter 3, carbonate system parameters were measured between May and February, and the natural seasonal cycle of pH was found to vary from a low of 7.99 in September to 8.20 in January, while Ω_{ar} varied from 1.19 to 1.92 seasonally (Roden et al., 2013).

This seasonal cycle is an important consideration for future FOCE experiments in polar regions, as experimental treatments based on an offset from summer conditions alone may not fully capture the extremes in carbonate chemistry that organisms will be exposed to under future atmospheric CO₂ scenarios. For example, a -0.4 pH offset from ambient conditions maintained over a full year could result in pH levels as low as 7.53 in late winter. This is particularly relevant for organisms, such as pteropods, that have life cycles across multiple seasons (Bednaršek et al., 2012), Antarctic invertebrates with sensitive larval stages (Peck et al., 2004; Peck, 2005), or organisms with extremely slow development times (Peck et al., 2007). Laboratory based ocean acidification experiments have shown adverse affects to the larval stages of Antarctic invertebrates at pH levels of 7.6 – 7.8 (Byrne et al., 2013; Gonzalez-Bernat et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2013), which suggests that the timing of key life stages in relation to
future changes in the seasonal cycle of carbonate chemistry will be important for an organism’s survivability.

4.4.2 Short-term ambient variability

A comparison of ambient pH and dissolved oxygen concentration at two separate locations within O’Brien Bay demonstrates the spatially variable nature of carbonate chemistry within Antarctic coastal systems. This variability was most likely driven by different rates of biological productivity that was influenced by the different sea-ice regimes over each site. Thicker multi-year sea ice over the experiment site for example, would have reduced the amount of light available for photosynthetic communities in the local area, compared to the thinner first-year ice over the outer O’Brien Bay site. Differences in stratification or freshwater input are unlikely to be responsible, as a comparison of temperature and salinity at each site showed no significant differences. The outer site had an increased diel variability (Figure 4.7), particularly later in the season, and generally elevated pH and dissolved oxygen values throughout the season compared to the experiment site (Figure 4.6). The dissolved oxygen concentration was, on average, 8 µmol kg⁻¹ higher and the calculated DIC concentration ~13 µmol kg⁻¹ lower at the outer site (Table 4.5). Dividing this difference in oxygen concentration by 1.4 allows this value to be expressed in terms of organic carbon production, or DIC uptake (Laws, 1991; Bender et al., 1999). For a photosynthetic oxygen production of 8 µmol kg⁻¹, an approximate decrease of 6 µmol kg⁻¹ of DIC would be expected. This explains the majority of the offset observed between the two sites when the uncertainty of calculated DIC concentrations (± 4 µmol kg⁻¹) is considered.

4.5 Conclusion

This is the first in-situ experiment in a polar region to successfully simulate predictions of ocean acidification conditions in a controlled experiment and demonstrates the feasibility of FOCE systems, even under extreme conditions such as those experienced under sea ice in Antarctica. The antFOCE in-situ system overcomes many of the problems associated with mesocosm or laboratory based experiments including a lack of full community representation, disturbance to microbial communities, hydrodynamic isolation, food limitation or control, unnatural light, and lack of natural variation in carbonate system parameters. The use of a
FOCE system also alleviates extreme fluctuations associated with natural analogues such as CO$_2$ vents (Hall-Spencer et al., 2008; Basso et al., 2015), where carbonate system parameters, as a result of variable rates of CO$_2$ venting, can vary rapidly over a short period of time (hours to days).

The antFOCE system was not without its technical challenges. Equipment failure and problems with maintaining a reliable power supply to a remote location compromised the experimental integrity. These problems can largely be avoided in future FOCE designs through the implementation of power system redundancies, although these will increase the expense and complexity of the overall system. Aspects of the system that worked well include the use of the Honeywell Durafet pH sensors, which proved to be a reliable way to monitor pH in both the experimental sensor array and in the ambient environment. The monitoring of ambient conditions revealed local variations in pH and dissolved oxygen concentration that were most likely caused by differences in biological productivity. Understanding local variability and the natural range of exposures that organisms are already subjected to could help improve future FOCE experiments and provide a deeper understanding of the effects of ocean acidification.
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Chapter 5

5 Conclusion

The biogeochemical dynamics in the seasonal sea ice zone (SIZ) of East Antarctica were investigated across different spatial and temporal scales. The SIZ was found to be a weak net source of CO₂ to the atmosphere of $0.07 \pm 0.13 \text{ mol C m}^{-2}$ during the ice-free period (November to February), which is lower than the zonally averaged climatology between 58-78°S of $0.14 \pm 0.07 \text{ mol C m}^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ (Takahashi et al., 2009; Wanninkhof et al., 2013; Lenton et al., 2013). Waters over the shelf and north of the Southern Antarctic Circumpolar Current Front were sites of oceanic CO₂ uptake. This uptake was driven by strong biological productivity as observed from net community production estimates that were as high as 6.4 mol C m². Although micronutrients were not measured, it is likely that this strong biological productivity over the shelf was sustained through their supply from a combination of sea-ice melt and oceanic sediment interactions. The largest CO₂ uptake was observed at a coastal location near Davis station, the magnitude of which, is commensurate with other coastal and shelf based estimates in East Antarctica and along the west Antarctic Peninsula. Further offshore, in the western sector of the study area, the warmer waters of the eastern extension of the Weddell Gyre dominated surface water biogeochemical dynamics, reducing gas solubility and causing CO₂ outgassing.

The timing of sea-ice formation and melt was an important control on the carbon cycle dynamics of the region. Wintertime under-ice estimates of $f$CO₂ indicated that the majority of the surface waters in the study region were supersaturated with respect to the atmosphere. Winter sea-ice cover and summer biological productivity, particularly over the shelf, reduced the flux of CO₂ from the ocean. The relatively brief ice-free period during summer appears to allow sufficient gas exchange, over annual timescales, for the surface waters of the region to track the atmospheric increase in CO₂. Although seasonal observations from Davis station, 16 years apart, suggest that decadal variability in biological productivity can also influence dissolved CO₂ system parameters beyond the changes expected from atmospheric uptake alone.

To determine the future impacts of ocean acidification on benthic communities in the Antarctic environment, the first Antarctic free-ocean CO₂ enrichment (antFOCE) experiment was conducted on the sea floor under multiyear sea ice near Casey station,
East Antarctica. The results show that it is possible to accurately change the carbonate chemistry of seawater in-situ to create predicted future ocean acidification conditions and demonstrates the feasibility of using FOCE systems in the Antarctic environment. Future iterations of FOCE technology should include redundancies for power supply and eventually introduce expected future stressors into the experimental design, such as temperature and freshening. The observed spatial variability of seawater chemistry in the local environment near Casey, and in the broader SIZ of East Antarctica, suggests that certain types of marine habitat may serve as summertime refugia from future ocean changes. Particularly those areas with elevated biological production (Shadwick et al., 2013). FOCE technology could provide a useful tool for testing this hypothesis, particularly when the natural variability in dissolved CO₂ system parameters of a study site has been fully characterised.

Currently, the capacity of the ocean in the SIZ to sequester CO₂ from the atmosphere to the ocean interior is strongly influenced by the presence of sea ice. However, because the observed changes to the East Antarctic sea ice are complex and are comprised of mixed signals on regional to local scales, making predictions about the future CO₂ source/sink nature of the SIZ is difficult. This is highlighted by the large variability in the drivers and timing of carbon cycling dynamics in this region. The future CO₂ uptake or outgassing in the study area will most likely depend on the response of the solubility and biological pumps to changes in sea-ice seasonality and the enhanced ventilation of carbon- and nutrient-rich deep water driven by strengthening winds over the Southern Ocean.
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