Empirical constraints on partitioning of platinum group elements between Cr-spinel and primitive terrestrial magmas
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Abstract

Recent experimental studies and in situ LA-ICP-MS analysis on natural Cr-spinel have shown that Rh and IPGEs (Ir-group platinum group elements: Ru, Ir, Os) are enriched in the lattice of Cr-spinel. However, the factors controlling the partitioning behaviour of these elements are not well constrained. In this study, we report the Rh, IPGE, and trace element contents in primitive Cr-spinel, measured by LA-ICP-MS, from nine volcanic suites covering various tectonic settings including island arc picrites, boninites, large igneous province picrites and mid-ocean ridge basalts. The aim is to understand the factors controlling the enrichment of Rh and IPGEs in Cr-spinels, to estimate empirical partition coefficients between Cr-spinel and silicate melts, and to investigate the role of Cr-spinel fractional crystallization on the PGE geochemistry of primitive magmas during the early stages of fractional crystallization.

There are systematic differences in trace elements, Rh and IPGEs in Cr-spinels from arc-related magmas (Arc Group Cr-spinel), intraplate magmas (Intraplate Group Cr-spinel), and mid-ocean ridge magmas (MORB Group Cr-spinel). Arc Group Cr-spinels are systematically enriched in Sc, Co and Mn and depleted in Ni compared to the MORB Group Cr-spinels. Intraplate Group Cr-spinels are distinguished from the Arc Group Cr-spinels by their high Ni contents. Both the Arc and Intraplate Group Cr-spinels have total Rh and IPGE contents of 22–689 ppb whereas the MORB Group Cr-spinels are depleted in Rh and IPGE (< 20 ppb). Palladium and Pt contents are below detection limit for all of the studied Cr-spinels (<1–5 ppb). The time-resolved spectra of LA-ICP-MS data for Cr-spinels mostly show constant count rates for trace element and Rh and IPGEs, suggesting homogeneous distribution of these elements in Cr-spinels. The PGE spikes observed in several Cr-spinels were interpreted to be PGE-bearing mineral inclusions and excluded from calculating the PGE contents of the Cr-spinels.

On primitive mantle normalized diagrams the Arc Group Cr-spinels are characterized by a fractionated pattern with high Rh and low Os. The Intraplate Group Cr-spinels show flat patterns with positive Ru anomalies. Our results, together with the experimental and empirical data from previous studies, show that PGE patterns of Cr-spinel largely mimic that of the rock in which they are found, and that Rh, Ir and Os contents increase with increasing Fe³⁺ contents (i.e. magnetite component) in Cr-spinel, although Ru does not. These observations suggest that the enrichment of Rh and IPGEs in Cr-spinel is controlled by a combination of the Rh and IPGE contents in parental melts and the magnetite component of the spinel. Empirical partition coefficients (D) for Rh and IPGEs between Cr-spinels and silicate melts were calculated using the Rh and IPGE contents.
of the Cr-spinel and their host volcanic rocks after subtracting the accumulation effect of Cr-spinel. The $D$ values for the Intraplate and MORB Group Cr-spinels increase with increasing magnetite component in Cr-spinel and range from 6 to 512, which is consistent with previously reported experimental and empirical values. In contrast, the Arc Group Cr-spinels have significantly higher $D$ values (e.g. up to $\sim 3700$ for Ru) than those of the Intraplate and MORB Group at the same magnetite concentration in the Cr-spinel, suggesting Rh and IPGEs dissolved in silicate melt have stronger affinity for Cr-spinel under arc magma conditions than in intraplate magmas. This may be partly attributed to the low temperature of arc magmas relative to intraplate magmas, which leads to the Arc Group Cr-spinels having more octahedral sites at the same magnetite components than the Intraplate Group Cr-spinels. Because of significantly higher $D$ values for the Arc Group Cr-spinels, compared with the Intraplate Group and MORB Group spinels, fractional crystallization of Cr-spinel will more efficiently fractionate Rh and IPGE from Pd and Pt in arc systems than in intraplate and MORB systems, which accounts for the highly fractionated PGE patterns in arc basalts.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
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1. INTRODUCTION

All terrestrial magmas contain higher concentrations of PPGEs (Pd-group platinum group elements: Pd, Pt, Rh) relative to IPGEs (Ir-group platinum group elements: Ir, Ru, Os). As a consequence, they show fractionated primitive mantle normalized PGE patterns with $\text{Pd}/\text{Ir}_{\text{P}} > 1$, although the magnitude of fractionation varies with magma types. Various mineral phases are suggested to account for the fractionation during partial melting and fractional crystallization (Barnes et al., 1985). These phases include monosulfide solid solution, platinum group minerals and olivine, but recently the role of Cr-spinel has attracted increasing attention.

Cr-spinel is a common early-crystallizing mineral in most primitive terrestrial magmas. Therefore, its chemistry reflects the primitive magma composition from which it crystallizes and its composition provides useful information on the nature of its parent magma (e.g. Barnes and Roeder, 2001; Kamenetsky et al., 2001). The influence of Cr-spinel fractional crystallization on platinum group element (PGE) geochemistry has been debated recently. Positive correlations between Cr and PGE contents in volcanic rocks (e.g. Hamlyn et al., 1985), and the significant enrichment of PGE in cumulus rocks with abundant Cr-spinel (e.g. Page et al., 1984), have suggested that Cr-spinel may be an important host mineral for PGEs. However, it has been unclear whether these elements are in solid solution in Cr-spinel or hosted by PGE-bearing mineral (PGE) inclusions within Cr-spinel phenocrysts.

Early studies suggested that PGE cluster or micro-nugget hosted by Cr-spinels play more important role in fractionation of PGE (Tredoux et al., 1995; Ballhaus and Sylvester, 2000). Fiorentini et al. (2004) investigated Cr-spinels from komatiitic basalts from the Agnew-Wiluna Belt, Australia using in situ laser ablation (LA)-ICP-MS. They attributed the abundant Ir-Os or Pt spikes in LA-ICP-MS time-resolved depth profiles of some Cr-spinels to presence of PGM inclusions. However, recent LA-ICP-MS studies of volcanic Cr-spinel have shown that significant amounts of Rh and IPGEs are homogeneously distributed in Cr-spinel at the sub-micrometer scale, suggesting that they are held in the crystal lattice. Locmelis et al. (2011) reported that komatiitic Cr-spinels from the Yilgarn Craton, Australia contain 200–500 ppb of Ru. Park et al. (2012) measured the PGE contents of Cr-spinels from the oxidized Ambae arc picrites and showed that they contain average concentrations of 78 ppb Rh, 96 ppb Ru, 44 ppb Ir and 40 ppb Os, which are at least an order of magnitude higher than those in the whole-rock picrite samples in which they were found. Pagé et al. (2012) and Pagé and Barnes (2016) investigated Cr-spinels from various rock types including komatiite, boninite, oceanic island tholeiitic basalt (OIB), continental flood basalt (CFB) and mid-ocean ridge basalt (MORB) and found that they all have tens of ppb Rh and IPGEs, except for the MORB Cr-spinels, which are depleted in PGEs (<13 ppb). Arquín et al. (2016) studied Cr-spinels from Emeishan picrites and reported 20–30 ppb of Rh, Ir and Os and ~250 ppb of Ru in the Cr-spinel. Kamenetsky et al. (2015) showed that Cr-spinels from an oxidized Siberia meimechite have 11 ppb Rh, 240 ppb Ru, 51 ppb Ir, and 91 ppb Os whereas those from the reduced Uralian ankaramites are less enriched in these elements with 60–70 ppb Rh and Ru and <10 ppb Ir and Os. The enrichment of Rh and IPGEs in volcanic Cr-spinels is consistent with experimental studies of spinel phases (Capobianco and Drake, 1990, 1994; Righter et al., 2004; Brenan et al., 2012). Palladium and Pt contents were both below the detection limit of LA-ICP-MS in all of these studies (7 ppb Pd and 6 ppb Pt; Park et al., 2012), which was attributed to charge mismatch and the different ionic radius for Pd and crystal configuration for Pt (Brenan et al., 2012).

Brenan et al. (2012) suggested that one of the major controlling factors for partitioning of Rh, Ru and Ir into Cr-spinel is the availability of the divalent octahedral site in the spinel structure. As PGEs have a high octahedral site preference energy, they preferentially partition into the octahedral sites in the spinel structure (Brenan et al., 2012 and references therein). As a consequence, divalent Rh, Ir and possibly some Ru substitute Fe$^{2+}$ or Mg$^{2+}$ in the octahedral sites. Pure chromite has a normal-spinel structure with no divalent octahedral sites, but as the proportion of the magnetite component increases in the spinel the fraction of the divalent octahedral sites increases, and hence the
partition coefficients of Rh and IPGEs also increase. 

Brenan et al. (2012) confirmed this hypothesis by a high temperature (>1400 °C) experimental study of the partitioning of Rh, Ru and Ir between Cr-spinel and silicate melts and found positive correlations between the ferric iron contents in the Cr-spinel and the partition coefficients for these elements. A similar phenomenon was observed from the study of natural Cr-spinels. Park et al. (2012) measured PGE contents in Cr-spinel from highly oxidized arc magmas and reported that the Rh, Ru and Os contents increase as the magnetite component of the Cr-spinel increases. However, when Cr-spinels from different magmatic systems are compared, this correlation is not apparent (Pagé and Barnes, 2016), which implies other factors, such as temperature, the PGE solubility in silicate melts and magma composition might be more important for partitioning of PGEs in Cr-spinel than the magnetite component. It should also be noted that the PGE contents of Cr-spinels might also be affected by sub-solidus re-equilibration and subsequent PGM exsolution (e.g. Park et al., 2012; Barnes et al., 2016; Pagé and Barnes, 2016).

In this study, we have measured the PGE and trace element contents of Cr-spinels from diverse magmatic suites using the in situ LA-ICP-MS technique. The aims of this study are: (1) to constrain the factors for Rh and IPGE enrichment in Cr-spinel, (2) to estimate empirical partition coefficients for Rh and IPGEs between Cr-spinel and silicate melts, and (3) to understand the effect of Cr-spinel crystallization on PGE geochemistry in terrestrial magmatic systems.

2. SAMPLE DESCRIPTION

We have measured PGE and trace element contents in Cr-spinels from nine volcanic suites. Volcanic rocks from diverse tectonic settings were selected, which include: a high-K island arc picrite from the Eastern Kamchatka (Kamenetsky et al., 1995); a boninitic picrite from the Margi area of the Troodos ophiolite, Cyprus (Cameron, 1985); an arc ankararite from the Urals, Russia; tholeiitic picrites from West Greenland (Holm et al., 1993) and the Emeishan large igneous province, China (Hanski et al., 2010; Kamenetsky et al., 2012); two komatiites and a picritic basalt from Finland (Hanski et al., 2001); mid-ocean ridge basalts from the Mid-Atlantic Ridge (MAR) at 43°N (Shibata et al., 1979; Kamenetsky and Crawford, 1998), the project FAMOUS area (the French-American Mid-Ocean Undersea Study; Langmuir et al., 1977; Kamenetsky, 1996), and the Macquarie Island, SW Pacific (Husen et al., 2016). The most primitive samples were selected where possible to ensure that the analysed Cr-spinels reflect the primitive magma composition with minimal chemical variations due to fractional crystallization. These samples, together with the samples from literatures (Locmelis et al., 2011; Park et al., 2012; Kamenetsky et al., 2015; Pagé and Barnes, 2016; Arguin et al., 2016) show a wide range in major element compositions, especially in FeO/Rh (FeO/(FeO + Cr + Al)), which has enabled us to investigate the influence of the Cr-spinel composition on the partitioning of PGEs and other trace elements between Cr-spinel and silicate melts. In this study, we divided the Cr-spinels into three groups: Arc, Intraplate and MORB Groups based on their tectonic settings.

2.1. Arc magmatism

2.1.1. Kamchatka picrite

Sample Ty-24 is from the Tumrok Range, Eastern Kamchatka (Kamenetsky et al., 1995), which is a thick (up to ~1.2 km) late Cretaceous and Paleogene picrite-basalt volcanic succession. The picrite has a glassy matrix with skeletal clinopyroxene and amphibole crystals. Cr-spinel occurs mostly as inclusions in olivine phenocrysts with Fo contents that range from 85 to 94 mol% (Kamenetsky et al., 1995).

2.1.2. Troodos picrite

One sample from the Upper Pillow Lavas in the Margi area of the Troodos Massif was selected for analyses. The Upper Pillow Lavas occur as a narrow, discontinuous belt that surrounds the Troodos Massif. Most of the picrites from the Margi area have a glassy matrix and olivine microphenocrysts with Fo contents of 90–92 mol% (Cameron, 1985). The Margi Cr-spinels have compositions close to magnesiocromite and occur as inclusions in olivine or euhedral microphenocrysts in the groundmass with a grain size of ~50 µm (Cameron, 1985).

2.1.3. Urals ankararite

Sample Pe1023 is a part of a large lava bomb within a tuff deposit of the Gadel’sha paleovolcano, which belongs to the Irendyk volcanic formation in the Magnitogorsk island arc zone in the Southern Urals. It is a highly clinopyroxene-phryic volcanic rock with 30–35% of clinopyroxene phenocrysts (Mg# = 0.90–0.93), 3–10 mm in size. Rare olivine phenocrysts, which range in size from 5 to 10 mm, are completely replaced by secondary minerals. Cr-spinel forms 0.5–1 mm-sized idiomorphic microphenocrysts in the groundmass or more often in the former olivine phenocrysts.

2.2. Intraplate magmatism

2.2.1. Greenland tholeiitic picrite

The olivine-phryic rock selected for this study represents typical picritic lavas belonging to the Paleocene Vaigat Formation in West Greenland (Holm et al., 1993; Larsen and Pedersen, 2000). Abundant olivine (86–92 mol% Fo) and rare Cr-spinel phenocrysts are set in a glassy matrix.

2.2.2. Emeishan picrite, China

The tholeiitic basalts from the Emeishan Large Igneous Province are subdivided into two groups: high-Ti and low-Ti basalts (Xu et al., 2001). We have analysed Cr-spinels from three picrite samples from the Emeishan Large Igneous Province, China. One sample (EM-43) from the Binchuan area is associated with low-Ti basalts (Kamenetsky et al., 2012). The other two samples (9EJH-06 and 10EJH-06) are from the Lijiang area where massive picrite lavas occur as ~40 m thick intercalations in the lower parts of a 5.5-km-thick succession of pyroxene-phryic high-Ti basalts (Hanski et al., 2010).
2.2.3. Paleoproterozoic komatiite, Finland

We have analysed Cr-spinel from three samples from the Central Lapland greenstone belt, coming from two different areas located 30 km apart, Jeesiörova (12D-PPR-97 and 17-EJH-97) and Peuramaa (sample 800-46-LVP-86) and occurring as amygdaloidal massive flows or pillow lavas. The Jeesiörova samples can be classified as LREE-depleted Ti-enriched komatiites and the Peuramaa sample as LREE-enriched picritic basalt.

2.3. Mid-ocean ridge magmatism

2.3.1. MORB from the Mid-Atlantic Ridge at 43°N

Sample AH32-12-7 was dredged by the R/V Atlantis II from the 1440 to 1820 m water depth in the median valley of MAR at 42°57’N, 29°10’W (Kamenetsky and Crawford, 1998). It is a porphyritic pillow basalt with ~5 vol.% phenocrysts of olivine and clinopyroxene, in which Cr-spinel occur as inclusions. The host rocks are primitive basalts containing 10–12 wt.% MgO and 13.2–15.2 wt.% CaO (Kamenetsky and Crawford, 1998).

2.3.2. MORB from the FAMOUS area

Samples ARP-73-10-03 and CH31 DR08-136-02 were dredged from the FAMOUS area of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge at 36°50’N (Kamenetsky, 1996). They are olivine phricic picrites, containing olivine phenocrysts with Fo contents of up to 92.1 mol.%. Cr-spinel occurs in a glassy or fine-grained matrix as small crystals and as inclusions in olivine.

2.3.3. MORB from the Macquarie Island, SW Pacific

Macquarie Island (~34 km long and ~5 km wide) is located in the SW Pacific between New Zealand, Tasmania and Antarctica, as an emerged part of the Macquarie Ridge. The island hosts a complete ophiolite section composed of mantle harzburgite, lower crustal troctolite, wehrlite, dunite and gabbro, to sheeted dykes and pillow lavas. Two picrite samples (SL2 and 60,796) used in this study were taken from lensoidal bodies intercalated with basaltic pillow lavas. They are porphyritic rocks containing euhedral phenocrysts (up to ~30 vol.%) of olivine, Cr-spinel, plagioclase and clinopyroxene. The olivine compositions are primitive with Fo contents up to 91 mol.%. Cr-spinel occurs both in the groundmass and as inclusions in olivine.

3. ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUE

3.1. Mineral major, trace element and PGE analysis

The major element compositions of Cr-spinel in the studied samples were analysed by a Hitachi SU-70 Schottky field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM) fitted with Oxford INCA Energy XMax 80 silicon drift detector energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry system (Central Science Laboratory, University of Tasmania). The results are consistent with previously measured values (Cameron, 1985; Kamenetsky et al., 1995; Kamenetsky, 1996; Kamenetsky and Crawford, 1998; Kamenetsky et al., 2012). Platinum group and trace element contents in Cr-spinel were measured by LA-ICP-MS at Research School of Earth Sciences, Australian National University, using the method described by Park et al. (2012). In summary, the system is composed of a 193 nm excimer laser (Lambda Physik Compex 110) and an Agilent 7700x quadrupole ICP-MS. The analyses were performed using laser beam sizes of 80–105 µm, a pulse rate of 5 Hz, and an energy fluence of ~2.5 J/cm². The NIST 612 or 610 glasses were used as external standards for trace elements and the CANMET po727 FeS standard for the PGEs. The composition of the po727 standard is reported in Barnes et al. (2008). The Al and Fe contents, independently obtained by FE-SEM, were used as an internal standard for the trace elements and PGEs respectively to correct the yield differences between the Cr-spinels and the external standards. Data reduction was carried out using an in-house excel spread sheet according to the method of Longerich et al. (1996).

The measured isotopes were 25Mg, 27Al, 29Si, 45Sc, 49Ti, 51V, 55Cr, 58Mn, 57Fe, 59Co, 61Ni, 65Cu, 66Zn, 101Ru, 103Rh, 105Pd, 189Os, 192Os, 195Ir, and 195Pt. The isotopic interference derived from metal oxides (MO+) and metal argides (MAR+) were monitored by analyses of pure Cu metal (C-430, Fisher Scientific Company) and synthetic Ni-sulphide. The expected isotopic interferences are around 1.4 ppb Ru per 1000 ppm Ni and 7.7 ppb Rh per 1000 ppm Cu in Cr-spinel, which result in negligible isotopic interferences. The fraction of 65Ni40Ar+ and 66Cu40Ar+ on 103Ru and 105Rh (<1.5%) for most samples. Micro inclusions, identified as spikes in time-resolved spectra data, were excluded when calculating the concentrations.

Multiple analyses were performed using the CANMET po727 FeS standard, the NIST 610 and 612 glass standards and an in-house PGE glass standard provided by Guilherme Mallmann. The latter was analysed for quality control. The results are present in Appendix B. The measured PGE and trace element concentrations of the standards agree within two standard deviations with the published or working values (Sylvester and Eggoins, 1997; Jochum et al., 2011; Park et al., 2012).

3.2. Whole-rock PGE analysis

The whole-rock PGE contents of samples from three of the volcanic suites were measured: the Urals ankaramite sample (Pe1023), Macquarie Island MORB glass sample (47,979), and Finland komatiite sample 12C-PPR-97 (from the same outcrop as sample 12D-PPR-97) (Table 1). The sample Pe1023 was analysed by a Ni-sulphide fire assay method combined with Te-coprecipitation at Geoscience Laboratories, Canada (Method code: IMP-200). The
method determination limits are 0.4 ppb for Au, 0.12 ppb for Pd, 0.17 ppb for Pt, 0.04 ppb for Rh, 0.08 ppb for Ru, 0.01 ppb for Ir. The measured PGE contents in sample Pe1023 are 0.88 ppb Au, 7.69 ppb Pd, 19.8 ppb Pt, 0.7 ppb Rh, 0.34 ppb Ru, 0.2 ppb Ir. The Macquarie Island MORB was analysed by the Ni-sulfide fire assay – isotope dilution method of Park et al. (2012). Rh concentration was determined by the method of Meisel et al. (2003) using count rates of $^{103}$Rh and $^{106}$Pd with assumption that loss of Rh during the analytical procedure was similar to that of Pd. The detection limits are 12 ppt Ir, 1 ppt Ru and 3 ppt Rh. The Ir, Ru and Rh contents in sample 47,979 are 0.53 ppb, 0.80 ppb and 0.39 ppb, respectively. The komatiite sample 12C-PPR-97 was analysed for PGEs using Ni-sulfide fire assay followed by Te co-precipitation and measurement by ICP-MS at the Geological Survey of Finland. Their concentrations are Os 2.73 ppb, Ir 3.05 ppb, Ru 0.53 ppb, 0.80 ppb and 0.39 ppb, respectively. The komatiite sample 12C-PPR-97 was analysed for PGEs using Ni-sulfide fire assay followed by Te co-precipitation and measurement by ICP-MS at the Geological Survey of Finland. Their concentrations are Os 2.73 ppb, Ir 3.05 ppb, Ru 4.36 ppb, Rh 1.07 ppb, Pt 20.9 ppb, Pd 11.6 ppb.

4. RESULTS

4.1. Major element compositions

Major element compositions of Cr-spinels in the studied rocks are presented in Table 2 and Fig. 1. The Arc Group Cr-spinels from the Kamchatka picrite, Troodos picrite and Urals ankaramite are characterized by low Al$_2$O$_3$ and TiO$_2$ contents (Fig. 1a). The Fe$^{3+}$/R$^{3+}$ of the Kamchatka Cr-spinels ranges from 0.10 to 0.23 which is significantly higher than in Cr-spinels from the other localities at similar Mg#, suggesting strongly oxidized conditions during their crystallization (Fig. 1b). The Fe$^{3+}$/R$^{3+}$ ratios in Cr-spinels from the Troodos picrite and Urals ankaramite are ~0.05 and ~0.08, respectively, which are relatively low. The average Cr# and Mg# values of the Cr-spinel from the Kamchatka and Troodos picrite are >0.72 and >0.60, respectively (Table 2). These are similar to those of the most primitive Cr-spinel in each picrite suite (Cameron, 1985; Kamenetsky et al., 1995). The average Cr# and Mg# values of the Urals ankaramite are 0.82 and 0.55 respectively.

The Intraplate Group Cr-spinels from the West Greenland and Emeishan igneous provinces have slightly higher average Al$_2$O$_3$ and TiO$_2$ contents than the Arc Group Cr-spinels analysed in this study (Fig. 1a). The Emeishan Cr-spinel compositions can be divided into two sub-groups. Those from the high-Ti picrites (9EJH-06 and 10EJH-06) contain higher TiO$_2$ and lower Al$_2$O$_3$ and plot in the OIB field. The Cr-spinels from the low-Ti picrites (EM-43) contain low TiO$_2$ (~0.54 wt.%), reflecting the composition of the magma from which they crystallized (Fig. 1a). Both the Greenland and Emeishan Cr-spinels are characterized by relatively low Mg# of ~0.54 and intermediate Fe$^{3+}$/R$^{3+}$ of ~0.1 (Fig 1b). The Finland komatiite and picritic basalt Cr-spinels have very similar major element compositions in spite of the significant differences in their REE and large ion lithophile element contents in host volcanic rocks (Hanski et al., 2001; Hanski and Kamenetsky, 2013). They have average Mg# of 0.66 and 0.60 and Fe$^{3+}$/R$^{3+}$ of 0.07 and 0.09, respectively (Fig. 1; Table 2).

The MORB Group Cr-spinel from the MAR at 43°N, the FAMOUS area and the Macquarie Island are characterized by significantly high Al$_2$O$_3$ contents (avg. >20 wt. %), the lowest Fe$^{3+}$/R$^{3+}$ (avg. <0.05) and low Cr# (avg. <0.59) that reflect crystallization from a reduced Al-rich melt (Fig. 1; Table 2).

4.2. Trace element compositions

The trace element contents of the Cr-spinels are presented in Table 3, Fig. 2 and Appendix B. Fig. 2 shows a comparison of trace element compositions for the volcanic Cr-spinels normalized to average composition of the Cr-spinels from the Eastern Pacific Rise (EPR) MORB reported in Pagé and Barnes (2009). We changed the order of elements from Pagé and Barnes (2009) to clarify the compositional differences between Arc, Intraplate and MORB Group Cr-spinels.

The Arc Group Cr-spinels from the Kamchatka and Troodos picrites and Urals ankaramite are characterized by fractionated patterns with about 1.5 times enriched in Sc, Mn, and Co and are depleted in Ni a factor of 2 compared to the EPR MORB Cr-spinels (Fig. 2; Pagé and Barnes, 2009). These trends are consistent with the trace element characteristics of Cr-spinel from other arc-related magmatic systems: the Ambae picrite (Park et al., 2012), and the boninites from the Thetford Mines Ophiolite (Québec) and Bonin Island (Pagé and Barnes, 2009). Vanadium and Zn contents are variable. They are relatively enriched in Cr-spinels from boninites compared with those from arc picrites.

The Intraplate Group Cr-spinels from the Greenland and Emeishan picrites and the Finland komatiites and picritic basalts, also show fractionated patterns with slight enrichment of Sc, Mn, Co and Zn, and depletion of Ni compared to the EPR MORB Cr-spinel (Fig. 2). The compositions of MORB Cr-spinels from Mid-Atlantic Ridge at 43°N, FAMOUS area, and Macquarie Island in this study are similar to the EPR MORB Cr-spinels (Pagé and Barnes, 2009) in most trace elements, but about a factor of 2 lower in Zn and Ni.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality and type</th>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>Cr (ppm)</th>
<th>Pd (ppb)</th>
<th>Pt (ppb)</th>
<th>Rh (ppb)</th>
<th>Ru (ppb)</th>
<th>Ir (ppb)</th>
<th>Os (ppb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie Island MORB</td>
<td>47,979</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urals ankaramite</td>
<td>Pe-1023</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland komatiite</td>
<td>12C-PPR-97</td>
<td>3742</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.d., Not determined.
Table 2
Average major element composition of the Cr-spinel (wt.%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rock type and locality</th>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Cr₂O₃</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺</th>
<th>Fe³⁺/Σ</th>
<th>Mg#</th>
<th>Cr#</th>
<th>Cr³⁺/R³⁺</th>
<th>Fe³⁺/R³⁺</th>
<th>Al³⁺/R³⁺</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arc Group Cr-spinel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamchatka picrite</td>
<td>TY-24</td>
<td>9  avg.</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TY-24</td>
<td>1σ</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urals ankaramite</td>
<td>Pe1023</td>
<td>5  avg.</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pe1023</td>
<td>1σ</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troodos picrite</td>
<td>Margi</td>
<td>9  avg.</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Margi</td>
<td>1σ</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraplate Group Cr-spinel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenland picrite</td>
<td>2142</td>
<td>7  avg.</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2142</td>
<td>1σ</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emeishan picrite (High-Ti)</td>
<td>9EJH-06, 10EJH-06</td>
<td>11 avg.</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9EJH-06, 10EJH-06</td>
<td>1σ</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emeishan picrite (Low-Ti)</td>
<td>EM-43</td>
<td>4  avg.</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EM-43</td>
<td>1σ</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland komatiite</td>
<td>12D-PPR-97, 17A-EJH-97</td>
<td>12 avg.</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12D-PPR-97, 17A-EJH-97</td>
<td>1σ</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland picritic basalt</td>
<td>800-Y6-LVP-86</td>
<td>6 avg.</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>800-Y6-LVP-86</td>
<td>1σ</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MORB Group Cr-spinel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR, 45°N MORB</td>
<td>All32-12-2</td>
<td>5 avg.</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All32-12-2</td>
<td>1σ</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie Island MORB</td>
<td>SL2, 60,796</td>
<td>10 avg.</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>100.1</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SL2, 60,796</td>
<td>1σ</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAMOUS MORB</td>
<td>ARP 73-10-03, CH31 PR08-136-02</td>
<td>8 avg.</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ARP 73-10-03, CH31 PR08-136-02</td>
<td>1σ</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a TiO₂ concentrations below 0.6 wt.% were measured by LA-ICP-MS.
b Obtained by stoichiometric calculations using the equations of Barnes and Roeder (2001).
4.3. Platinum group element compositions

The average PGE abundances of the studied Cr-spinels are shown in Table 3 and Figs. 3–8, 10 and 11, with the full data reported in Appendix B. Most of the Cr-spinels have concentrations of Ru (up to 423 ppb), Rh (up to 173 ppb), Os (up to 80 ppb), and Ir (up to 191 ppb) that are well above the detection limits of LA-ICP-MS (Table 3). However, the MORB Group Cr-spinels are characterized by low PGE contents, which are close to or slightly above the detection limits (~1–10 ppb). Palladium, Pt and Re were not detected by LA-ICP-MS in any of the studied Cr-spinels. Fig. 3 shows a representative time-resolved spectrum for two Cr-spinel grains analysed by LA-ICP-MS. The Rh and IPGE patterns were uniform during ablation, showing a homogeneous distribution of these elements within the spatial resolution of the LA-ICP-MS analysis (Fig. 3a). PGE-rich inclusions in some Cr-spinel grains were identified as spikes in the time-resolved spectra (Fig. 3b; Appendix B). These spikes were most abundant in the Cr-spinels from the Kamchatka picrite, with 4 out of 10 analyses showing Pt ± Ir ± Os spikes. They were excluded when calculating the PGE contents of the Cr-spinel.

The average PGE contents of the Cr-spinels from each locality are normalized to primitive mantle (PM) values in Fig. 4. The Arc Group Cr-spinels are characterized by fractionated Rh and IPGE patterns with relatively high Rh and low Os contents. These features are consistent with observations from Cr-spinels from other arc-related magmas, the Ambae picrite, Bonin Island and Thetford Mines Ophiolite boninite (Park et al., 2012; Pagé and Barnes, 2016). The Intraplate Group Cr-spinels show surprisingly similar Rh and IPGE trends, which are characterized by zigzag patterns with relatively enriched Ru and depleted Ir contents compared to Rh and Os. Such patterns are similar to the patterns of the Cr-spinel from the Alexo komatiite, Hawaiian tholeiite, Siberia meimechite and Emeishan picrite (Kamenetsky et al., 2015; Arguin et al., 2016; Pagé and Barnes, 2016). The MORB Group Cr-spinels are PGE-poor. The Arc Group and Intraplate Group Cr-spinels are clearly distinguished in a binary diagram of RhN/RuN vs. IrN/OsN (Fig. 5a).

The Rh and IPGE patterns of Cr-spinels from a given suite are sub-parallel to each other except for the Kamchatka picrite sample (Fig. 6). Several Cr-spinel grains from this suite show distinctive patterns characterized by positive or negative Ir anomaly and differ from the majority of the
Table 3
Average trace element and PGE composition of the Cr-spinel measured by LA-ICP-MS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rock type and locality</th>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Sc</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Ru</th>
<th>Rh</th>
<th>Pd</th>
<th>Re</th>
<th>Os</th>
<th>Ir</th>
<th>Pt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arc Group Cr-spinel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamchatka picrite</td>
<td>TY-24</td>
<td>10 avg.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1σ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urals ankaramite</td>
<td>Pe1023</td>
<td>5 avg.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1921</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>1824</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1σ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troodos picrite</td>
<td>Margi</td>
<td>9 avg.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2131</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>1323</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1σ</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1359</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraplate Group Cr-spinel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenland picrite</td>
<td>2142</td>
<td>8 avg.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4595</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>1704</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1σ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emeishan picrite (High-Ti)</td>
<td>9EJH-06, 10EJH-06</td>
<td>14 avg.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9950</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1476</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>1138</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1σ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emeishan picrite (Low-Ti)</td>
<td>EM-43</td>
<td>4 avg.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3063</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>2049</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1σ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland komatiite</td>
<td>12D-PPR-97, 17A-EJH-97</td>
<td>12 avg.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3456</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>1054</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>1249</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1σ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland picritic basalt</td>
<td>800-Y6-LVP-86</td>
<td>6 avg.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6915</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>1163</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>1208</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1σ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3159</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MORB Group Cr-spinel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR, 43°N MORB</td>
<td>All32-12-2</td>
<td>8 avg.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2997</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1σ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie Island MORB</td>
<td>SL2, 60,796</td>
<td>10 avg.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3022</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1σ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAMOUS MORB</td>
<td>ARP 73-10-03, CH31 PR08-136-02</td>
<td>10 avg.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1546</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1σ</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trace elements are in ppm and PGE are in ppb.

n.a., Not analyzed.

* Half of the detection limit values were used for some analyses for calculating average PGE concentrations.
analysed spinel crystals (Fig. 6a). Such large Ir variations, compared to other Rh and IPGEs, were also observed in the Cr-spinels from the Ambae picrite (Park et al., 2012). Park et al. (2012) attributed it to co-crystallization of an Ir-bearing Pt-alloy with the Cr-spinel.

The Cr-spinels from the Emeishan high-Ti and low-Ti picrites have slightly different Rh and PGE patterns (Fig. 6d and e). The Cr-spinels from the former contain relatively less Rh and Ru than those from the latter. Similar PGE abundances and systematics have been also reported by Arguin et al. (2016). The Rh-IPGE patterns for Cr-spinels from the Finland komatiite and picritic basalt do not exhibit discernible differences (Fig. 6f).

Iridium and Os in the studied Cr-spinel show overall positive correlations with \( \text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{R}^{3+} \) whereas Ru does not (Fig. 7a–c). Similar trends were also observed in Park et al. (2012) and Pagé and Barnes (2016). Rhodium exhibits a positive correlation with \( \text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{R}^{3+} \) for the Arc Group.
Cr-spinels, but not for the Intraplate Group Cr-spinels (Fig. 7d). The Intraplate Group Cr-spinels are relatively enriched in Ru, Ir and Os and depleted in Rh compared to the Arc Group Cr-spinels at a given Fe3+/R3+. Iridium is positively correlated with Os (Fig. 8), whereas there is no clear correlation of Ir with Rh and Ru (not shown).

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. Trace elements in Cr-spinels

Although the major element chemistry of Cr-spinels has been widely used to constrain the petrogenesis and tectonic environments of their host volcanic rocks (e.g., Barnes and Roeder, 2001; Kamenetsky et al., 2001), there is shortage of trace element data for Cr-spinels. Pagé and Barnes (2009) have measured trace element compositions of Cr-spinels from boninites of the Thetford Mines Ophiolite and Bonin Island, and MORB from the EPR. They found discernible differences between Cr-spinels from boninite and MORB. Recently, Park et al. (2012) and Kamenetsky et al. (2015) have measured trace elements in Cr-spinel from the Ambae picrite, Siberia meimechite and Urals ankaramite. Our results, together with the data from previous studies, show that there are systematic differences in trace element abundances and ratios for Cr-spinel from the various magma types. In Fig. 2, the Arc Group Cr-spinels are characterized by fractionated patterns with enrichment of Sc, Mn and Co and depletion of Ni relative to the EPR MORB Cr-spinels (Page and Barnes, 2009). Vanadium shows the largest variation in the Arc Group Cr-spinels. The Cr-spinels from relatively reduced boninite contain systematically more V than those from oxidized island arc picrites with high Fe3+/R3+ (Fig. 2a). The V contents of Cr-spinels decrease with increasing Fe3+/R3+ in Fig. 7e. This variation is largely due to multivalent state of V in natural magmatic system (V2+, V3+, V4+ and V5+; Sutton et al., 2005). Because vanadium can replaces trivalent or tetravalent cations in octahedral sites of Cr-spinels (Bordage et al., 2011) its partition coefficient decreases with increasing oxygen fugacity as V is oxidised to V5+. Mallmann and O’Neill (2009) showed experimentally that the D(V3+/silicate melt) decreases from ~10 at QFM-1 to <1 at QFM + 2.
Despite the large major element compositional variations in Cr-spinel phenocrysts (Fig. 1) and their parental melt, the Intraplate Group Cr-spinels exhibit similar trace element patterns (Fig. 2b). They are also characterized by slightly higher Sc, Mn, Co and Zn, and lower V and Ni than those from EPR MORB (Pageé and Barnes, 2009) as also shown in the Arc Group Cr-spinels. However, they can be distinguished from the Arc Group Cr-spinels by their high Ni and Ti contents (Fig. 2b). The Cr-spinels from Mid-Atlantic Ridge at 43°C176N, FAMOUS area, and Macquarie Island, analysed for this study, are depleted in Ni and Zn relative to the EPR MORB Cr-spinel (Pageé and Barnes, 2009). This can be partly attributed to the high Ni contents (565 ppm) in the MORB sample from the Garrett Transform Fault of EPR used by Pageé and Barnes (2009) whereas the MORB samples studied in this study contain 145–225 ppm Ni (Shibata et al., 1979; Kamenetsky and Eggins, 2012). However, it should be noted that the EPR MORB Cr-spinels contain 1766 ppm Ni (Pageé and Barnes, 2009), which is the highest Ni contents among all measured Cr-spinels (Fig. 7f). Given that intraplate magmas are commonly more enriched in Ni than MORB magmas (Niu et al., 2011), it requires other factors to explain the extremely high Ni contents in the EPR MORB Cr-spinels reported by Pageé and Barnes (2009).

The trace element data of Cr-spinels can be used to constrain the composition of the magma from which they crystallized and hence their tectonic setting and provide complementary information to that obtained from the major elements. Our study shows that Arc Group Cr-spinels show the highly fractionated trace element patterns with enrichment of Sc, Mn and Co and depletion of V compared to the MORB Group Cr-spinels (Fig. 2), which agrees with Pageé and Barnes (2009). The discrepancy between the two groups are particularly important in understanding petrogenesis of mantle podiform chromitites because most of them form either at arc or mid-ocean-ridge settings.

5.2. Enrichment of Rh and IPGEs in Cr-spinel

Our results show that Cr-spinels from diverse tectonic settings are variably enriched in Rh and IPGEs compared to the melts from which they crystallized. This confirms the conclusions of previous studies, based on in situ analysis of natural Cr-spinel (Locmelis et al., 2011; Park et al., 2012; Pageé et al., 2012; Kamenetsky et al., 2015; Pageé and Barnes, 2016; Arguin et al., 2016) and experimental study of Brenan et al. (2012) that Cr-spinel can be an important host for Rh and IPGEs in solid solution. The constant counts rate of Rh and IPGEs in the LA-ICP-MS time-resolved spectra (Fig. 3), and the correlations of Rh and IPGE contents with Cr-spinel compositional parameters, such as Fe3+/R3+ (Fig. 7), show that these elements are held in the Cr-spinel lattice and not in inclusions. Homogeneous distribution of sub-micron-sized PGE-clusters in Cr-spinel may produce similarly constant count rates during ablation, but this option is highly unlikely, as discussed in detail by Pageé and Barnes (2016). They excluded this possibility with the argument that if there were PGE clusters, the size of such inclusions should be from >0.15 to 1.5 µm and hence they could be easily detected by backscattered electron image analysis and Pd and Pt should also be detected along with the other PGEs.

5.2.1. PGE contents in melts

The Rh and IPGE contents in Cr-spinels are controlled by the composition of the melts from which they crystallized and by the partition coefficients for these elements between Cr-spinels and silicate melts. Fig. 9 shows PM-normalized Rh and IPGE patterns for host volcanic rocks. The intraplate magmas have high Rh and IPGE contents, which are only slightly lower than those of primitive mantle values, and flat PM-normalized PGE patterns whereas magmas are characterized by fractionated patterns with relative enrichment of Rh and depletion of IPGEs. Flat PGE patterns are of typical intraplate magmas (Barnes et al.,
Fig. 6. Primitive mantle normalized Rh and IPGE contents of Cr-spinel from (a) the Kamchatka picrite, (b) the Troodos picrite, (c) the Emeishan low-Ti picrite (d) the Greenland picrite, (e) the Emeishan high-Ti picrite, (f) the Finland komatite and picritic basalt, (g) Urals ankaramite and (h) Siberia meimechite. The gray fields in (c) and (e) are the compositions of Cr-spinel from the Emeishan low and high-Ti picrite previously reported in Arguin et al. (2016). The Urals and Siberia meimechite Cr-spinels compositions are from Kamenetsky et al. (2015).
The fractionated patterns of the Arc Group Cr-spinels and the overall flat patterns with positive Ru anomalies of the Intraplate Group Cr-spinels are inherited from their magma compositions (Figs. 4 and 9). This is also consistent with similar trends for both Cr-spinel and whole rock in a binary diagram of RhN/RuN vs IrN/OsN (Fig. 5).

Fig. 7. Binary plots of Fe$^{3+}$/R$^{3+}$ vs. (a) Os, (b) Ir, (c) Ru, (d) Rh, (e) V and (f) Ni. The error bars are one standard deviation of multiple analyses for Cr-spinel from each locality.
These systematic differences between the Arc and Intraplate Group Cr-spinels have already been discussed by Park et al. (2015a) and Pagé and Barnes (2016) using fewer samples. The zigzag PGE patterns of the Intraplate Group Cr-spinels (Fig. 4b) and their low Rh/N/RuN, which are systematically below the whole-rock values (Fig. 5), suggest that there is also fractionation of Ru from Rh and other IPGEs due to differences in their compatibility in Cr-spinel.

The significantly lower PGE contents in MORB Group Cr-spinels result from the low abundances of Rh and IPGEs in MORB melts (Fig. 9c). The presence of sulphide blebs and compatible behaviour of PGEs in primitive MORBs from the MAR (Peach et al., 1990; Kamenetsky et al., 2013; Lissner et al., 2014) and Southwest Indian Ridge (Yang et al., 2014) suggest that Cr-spinel crystallized under sulfide-saturated conditions in primitive MORB melts from the two areas. Extraction of the sulfide melts will significantly deplete the coexisting MORB melts in all PGEs. Pagé and Barnes (2016) also attributed the low PGE contents in the MORB Group spinels to sulfide saturation during MORB magma generation. In contrast the high Rh and IPGE contents of the Arc and Intraplate Group Cr-spinels analysed in this study suggests that they crystallized from sulfide-undersaturated magmas.

5.2.2. PGM crystallization

There is a growing evidence for co-crystallization of PGMs with Cr-spinel (Brenan and Andrews, 2001; Barnes and Fiorentini, 2008; Park et al., 2012, 2013; Kamenetsky et al., 2015; Arguin et al., 2016). Because these PGMs include phases with different PGE affinities (e.g. Ru-rich laurite, Ir-rich or Pt-rich alloys), fractional crystallization of these phases will change the PGE contents in melts by fractionating one element with respect to others, and hence the PGE contents in Cr-spinels. The Cr-spinels analysed in this study show sub-parallel Rh and IPGE patterns within a given suite, except for those from the Kamchatka picrite (Fig. 6). This suggests that it is unlikely that the melts were saturated with IPGE and Rh bearing alloy or sulfide phases during fractionation of the primitive Cr-spinels from the Greenland picrite, Finland komatiite and picritic basalts, and Emeishan picrites. The spikes in the time-resolved spectra of LA-ICP-MS analysis (Fig. 3b; Appendix B) indicate formation and entrapment of PGE-rich phases by local reduction near the rim of growing Cr-spinel grains (e.g. Finnigan et al., 2008), but there is no evidence that this has had a detectable influence on the PGE geochemistry of the spinels. However, Rh and IPGE patterns of the Kamchatka Cr-spinels show marked variations especially in Ir (Fig. 6a). Also, Pt ± Ir ± Os spikes were observed more frequently in the Cr-spinels from the Kamchatka picrite (4 out of 10 analyses) than in Cr-spinels from other locations. These observations suggest that the Kamchatka...
magma was saturated with an Ir-bearing Pt-alloy during Cr-spinel crystallization, which preferentially depleted the melt in Ir relative to other IPGEs, leading to the Ir depletion in some Cr-spinels. The similar phenomenon was also observed in the Cr-spinels from the Ambae picrite, Vanuatu arc (Park et al., 2012).

5.2.3. Magnetite components of Cr-spinel

Brenan et al. (2012) have shown experimentally that the partitioning of Rh and IPGEs between Cr-spinel and silicate-melt is largely controlled by Fe$^{3+}$/R$^{3+}$ contents (i.e. magnetite component) in Cr-spinel due mainly to the high octahedral site preference energy of these elements. Rhodium and Ir are mostly divalent in natural magmatic systems (Borisov and Palme, 1995; Ertel et al., 1999) and have seven electrons in the outermost d shell in the low spin state (Zhang et al., 2010), suggesting a strong affinity for the octahedral site. Ruthenium is dominantly trivalent in magmas (Borisov and Nachtwey, 1998) with five electrons in the outermost d shell in the low spin state (Geschwind and Remeika, 1962). The octahedral site preference energy is likely to be lower for Ru than Rh and Ir because Ru has zero tetrahedral crystal field stabilization energy (e.g., Burns and Fyfe, 1964).

Brenan et al. (2012) suggested that as Fe$^{3+}$ content of Cr-spinel increases, more divalent octahedral sites become available in its lattice, which increases the partition coefficients of the divalent Rh and IPGEs. This suggestion is consistent with previous experimental studies on magnetite, which have yielded extremely high partition coefficients for Rh (up to 530), Ru (up to 1143) and Ir (up to 22,000) (Capobianco and Drake, 1990, 1994; Richter et al., 2004). Park et al. (2012) provided supporting evidence for this hypothesis using natural samples. They measured the Rh and IPGE contents of Cr-spinel from the highly oxidized Ambae picrite from Vanuatu ($O_2 = QFM + 2.5$), and showed that Rh, Ru and Os correlate positively with the Fe$^{3+}$/R$^{3+}$ ratio of Cr-spinel. However, these correlations were not clearly observed in the recent LA-ICP-MS study of natural Cr-spinel by Pagé and Barnes (2016) in which they compared Cr-spinel compositions from diverse magmatic systems. They suggested that the correlation between Fe$^{3+}$ and Rh and IPGE in Cr-spinel could be due to the number of vacancies in the spinel because Fe$^{3+}$ contents are calculated assuming perfect stoichiometry.

Our dataset, which covers a broader ranges of Fe$^{3+}$/R$^{3+}$ than the previous studies, clearly shows that the Fe$^{3+}$ content of Cr-spinel is the dominant factor for controlling the enrichment of Os, Ir and possibly Rh in Cr-spinel (Fig. 7). Iridium and Os are positively correlated with Fe$^{3+}$/R$^{3+}$ in Cr-spinel in all samples although the Intraplate Group Cr-spinels contain systematically higher Ir and Os contents than those from the Arc Group Cr-spinels at a given Fe$^{3+}$/R$^{3+}$ (Figs. 7a and b). This is partly due to the Intraplate Group magmas have an approximately one order of magnitude higher Ir and Os content (Fig. 9). For the Arc Group Cr-spinels a positive correlation between Rh and Fe$^{3+}$/R$^{3+}$ exists, whereas the Intraplate Group Cr-spinels do not show any correlation. However, such correlations are observed within some individual volcanic suites such as the Greenland picrite (this study), Siberia meimechite (Kamenetsky et al., 2015) and Emeishan picrite (Arguin et al., 2016). The scattered Rh trends for the Intraplate Group Cr-spinels, from different localities, are probably because of their concentrations being close to the detection limits of the LA-ICP-MS (<1–5 ppb).

Ruthenium behaves differently from the other IPGEs and is not correlated with Fe$^{3+}$/R$^{3+}$, indicating that the availability of the divalent octahedral site is not a critical factor controlling the Ru partitioning to Cr-spinel. These trends are consistent with the different valence states of Rh and IPGEs. Rhodium and Ir are usually divalent in natural magmas (Borisov and Palme, 1995; Ertel et al., 1999), so that their partition coefficients are thought to increase as the number of divalent octahedral sites in the Cr-spinel increases, whereas Ru can be both divalent and trivalent (Borisov and Nachtwey, 1998). As a consequence, the partitioning of Ru is more complex due to combined effects of Ru$^{2+}$ and Ru$^{3+}$ substitution. Having similar ionic radius and the same charge, divalent Ru should behave similarly to divalent Ir and Rh and partition strongly into Cr-spinels with a high magnetite component. In contrast, the partition coefficient for trivalent Ru will not be significantly influenced by increasing magnetite components in Cr-spinel because of abundant trivalent octahedral sites in Cr-spinel. The relatively higher Ru content of the Intraplate Group Cr-spinels compared with the Arc Group Cr-spinels, at a given Fe$^{3+}$/R$^{3+}$, suggests that the magma composition is the principal controlling factor (Fig. 7c).

The valence state of Os is poorly constrained compared to other PGEs mainly because it is highly volatile under oxidized conditions and has a low solubility in silicate melts, which makes it difficult to investigate experimentally. Fortenfant et al. (2006) attempted solubility experiments under highly oxidized conditions at $O_2 > QFM + 2$ and 1350°C and suggested that Os is trivalent under these conditions. However, the positive correlations with the Ir and magnetite components of the Cr-spinel (Figs. 7a and 8) in this study clearly show that Os behaves like other divalent cations and partitions in Cr-spinel as Os$^{3+}$. This suggests that the valency of Os is 2+ even under the oxygen fugacity conditions of natural magmatic systems analysed in this study ($\sim$QFM-1 $< fO_2 < QFM + 2.5$) or that the previously determined valence state was too high. The estimation of the valence state by Fortenfant et al. (2006) was based on 3 data points. Further experimental studies are required to confirm their conclusions.

5.3. Empirical partition coefficients between Cr-spinel and silicate melt

Mass balance studies, using the PGE content of volcanic Cr-spinels and their host rocks, have shown that Cr-spinel may account for a large fraction of the whole-rock PGE budget (up to 40% for Os, 25% for Ir, 30% for Rh, and 30–100% for Ru; Pagé and Barnes, 2016). Therefore, one should be cautious about using PGE contents of the host rocks as a proxy for melt compositions. Park et al. (2012) used the PGE content of an aphyric picritic lava and the compositions of Cr-spinel in equilibrium with the lava to
estimate Cr-spinel-silicate melt partition coefficients. Arguin et al. (2016) used the composition of a primitive melt after correcting the influence of Cr-spinel and olivine accumulation on whole-rock PGE contents (Li et al., 2012). Instead, Pagé and Barnes (2016) used PGE contents of the volcanic rocks as estimates of melt compositions. Because the role of silicate minerals in the whole-rock PGE budget is not understood, they argued that corrections for the effect of silicate minerals may not be straightforward and can introduce another source of error. If we assume that the PGEs do not partition into the silicate minerals (\(D = 0\)), accumulation of the silicate phenocrysts will dilute the melt composition. However, recent experimental studies on the PGE partitioning between olivine and silicate melt have shown that these elements can be moderately compatible in olivine (\(D_{\text{Rh, Ru, Ir}}^{\text{D}} = 0.5–2.7\); Brenan et al., 2003, 2005).

In this study, we estimate the empirical \(D_s\) for the partitioning of Rh and IPGE between Cr-spinel and silicate melt using the concentrations of these elements in Cr-spinels and their host volcanic rocks where available, or similar rocks from the same volcanic series if the host rock is not available, after deducting the Rh and IPGEs held in the Cr-spinel from the whole-rock. We used the mass balance method suggested by Pagé and Barnes (2016) as reported in Appendix A.

For the Urals ankaramite the host volcanic rock (Pel023), and for the Macquarie Island MORB the most primitive volcanic glass (8.8 wt.% MgO; sample 47,979) in the same suite, were used for estimating the empirical partition coefficients (Table 1). The PGE contents of a komatiite sample 12C-PPR-97, which was collected from the same volcanic area as 12D-PPR-97 (Table 1), were used as proxies for the Greenland komatiite and picritic basalt samples. The Cr-spinels from the two suites show almost identical PGE patterns (Fig. 6f), suggesting similar PGE concentrations in both melts. Average PGE contents of the Greenland picrite (\(n = 6\); 19–26 wt.% MgO; Philipp et al., 2001), Emeishan high-Ti (\(n = 3\); 24–27 wt.% MgO and TiO\(_2\) > 1 wt.%; Zhang et al., 2005; Li et al., 2012) and low Ti picrites (\(n = 6\); 21–27 wt.% MgO and TiO\(_2\) < 1 wt.%; Li et al., 2012) were used to calculate the empirical partition coefficients for spinels from these suites. The PGE contents of the Ambae aphyric picrite from the Vanuatu arc (10.7 wt.% MgO; Park et al., 2012) and the Thetford Mines Ophiolite boninite (Pagé and Barnes, 2016) were used for the Kamchatka arc picrite and the Troodos boninite samples, respectively. Mass balance calculations (Appendix B) show that the contribution from Cr-spinel to the whole-rock Rh, Ir and Os contents are less than 10% for most samples although 20–65% of the Ru contents in the whole-rock compositions can be accounted for by Cr-spinel in the Greenland picrite, the Finland komatiite and picritic basalt, the Siberia meimechite, and Emeishan picrite. The rest of the whole-rock PGE budget is held in the fine-grained matrix as well as in silicate mineral phenocrysts, sulfide and alloy phases. Therefore, the calculated empirical partition coefficients are the minimum values.

The empirical partition coefficients calculated using whole-rock PGE contents after subtracting the contributions from Cr-spinel microphenocrysts are reported Table 4. For all the samples Ru is the most compatible among PGEs with the partition coefficients ranging from 18 to 3708 (Table 4), which can be explained by it having the highest affinity for the trivalent octahedral site. Rhodium and other IPGEs show similar but, variable compatibilities with \(D\) values of 7–641. In order to quantitatively show the variations in the partition coefficients with the magnetite component, Brenan et al. (2012) has expressed the partition coefficients for divalent and trivalent cations as follows:

\[
D_{\text{molar}}^{\text{mol}} = ( k_{\text{tet}}^{\text{tet}} (1 - x) / 3 ) + ( k_{\text{oct}}^{\text{oct}} x / 3 )
\]

where \(D_{\text{molar}}^{\text{mol}}\) are mineral/melt partition coefficients for divalent and trivalent cations (mole% ratio), respectively, \(k_{\text{tet}}^{\text{tet}}\) and \(k_{\text{oct}}^{\text{oct}}\) are divalent cation affinity for IV- and VI-coordinated sites respectively, \(k_{\text{tet}}^{\text{tet}}\) and \(k_{\text{oct}}^{\text{oct}}\) are trivalent cation affinity for IV- and VI-coordinated sites, respectively, and \(x\) is the spinel inversion parameter, which is the fraction of the inverted spinel components in which divalent cations occupy half of the octahedral sites. The parameter ranges from 0 for normal spinel (e.g. chromite) and 1 for fully inverted spinel (e.g. magnetite). The inversion parameter was calculated at 1300°C following the method of Kurep (2005). Brenan et al. (2012) modelled the variation in the PGE partitioning with the inversion parameter in a binary Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-FeCr\(_2\)O\(_4\) system because the effects of MgAl\(_2\)O\(_4\) and FeAl\(_2\)O\(_4\) components are compensated by each other. The high temperature site occupancies are similar in the MgAl\(_2\)O\(_4\)-FeCr\(_2\)O\(_4\) systems (Mattioli and Wood, 1988) whereas the addition of FeAl\(_2\)-O\(_3\) reduces the amount of the divalent octahedral sites (Nell et al., 1989). Model 1 and 2 in Fig. 10 show the variations in partition coefficients for divalent and trivalent cations, respectively.

Fig. 10 shows the variations in the partition coefficients for Rh and IPGEs plotted against the inversion parameter, \(\text{Fe}^{3+}/(\text{Fe}^{3+}+\text{Cr}^{3+})\), in the \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4\)-FeCr\(_2\)O\(_4\) system. The D values calculated in this study are consistent with previously reported experimental and empirical data and the modelled variations (Model 1 in Fig. 10) by Brenan et al. (2012). These confirm the validity of using whole-rock PGE contents in volcanic rocks after correcting the Cr-spinel effect as proxies for silicate melt compositions. The D values for Rh, Ir, and Os increase with increasing \(\text{Fe}^{3+}/(\text{Fe}^{3+}+\text{Cr}^{3+})\) in Cr-spinel following the trends of Model 1, which suggests that these elements are mostly divalent in the magmatic systems and their partitioning is controlled by the availability of divalent octahedral sites in Cr-spinel.

Note that the Arc Group spinels have consistently higher D values for Rh and IPGEs at a given \(\text{Fe}^{3+}/(\text{Fe}^{3+}+\text{Cr}^{3+})\) ratio than those from Intraplate Group (Fig. 10). The compositions of the Intraplate and MORB Group Cr-spinels, together with experimental results (Brenan et al., 2012), are best explained by Model 1 with the affinity of divalent Rh, Ir and Os for the VI-coordinated site \(k_{\text{oct}}^{\text{oct}}\) of
Table 4
Empirical partition coefficients of Rh and IPGE (D<sub>Cr-spinel-silicate melt</sub>).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample type</th>
<th>References</th>
<th>Cr-spinel compositions</th>
<th>Whole-rock compositions after subtracting Cr-spinel accumulation</th>
<th>Empirical partition coefficients D&lt;sub&gt;Cr-spinel-silicate melt&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ru (ppb)</td>
<td>Rh (ppb)</td>
<td>Os (ppb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arc group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamchatka picrite&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urals ankaramite</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troodos picrite&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambae picrite</td>
<td>Park et al. (2012)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urals ankaramite</td>
<td>Kamenetsky et al. (2015)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonin Island boninite</td>
<td>Pagé and Barnes (2016)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troodos picrite&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troodos picrite&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urals ankaramite</td>
<td>Kamenetsky et al. (2015)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonin Island boninite</td>
<td>Pagé and Barnes (2016)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraplate group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenland picrite&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emeishan picrite (High-Ti)&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emeishan picrite (Low-Ti)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland komatiite</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland picritic basalt</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siberia meimechite</td>
<td>Kamenetsky et al. (2015)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emeishan basalts (High-Ti)&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Arguin et al.(2016)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emeishan basalts (Low-Ti)&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Arguin et al.(2016)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emeishan basalts</td>
<td>Pagé and Barnes (2016)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaiian tholeiite</td>
<td>Pagé and Barnes (2016)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexo komatiite</td>
<td>Pagé and Barnes (2016)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MORB group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie Island MORB</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPR MORB</td>
<td>Pagé and Barnes (2016)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.d., not determined.

<sup>a</sup> Os contents in italics are assumed to be the same as for Ir contents.

<sup>b</sup> Ambae arc aphyric picrite composition (Park et al., 2012) are used for whole-rock PGE contents.

<sup>c</sup> TMO (Thetford Mines Ophiolite) boninite compositions (Pagé and Barnes, 2016) are used for whole-rock PGE contents.

<sup>d</sup> Average Greenland picrite compositions (n = 6; 19–26 wt.% MgO; Philipp et al., 2001) are used for whole-rock PGE contents.

<sup>e</sup> Average Emeishan high-Ti picrite composition (n = 3; 24–27 wt.% MgO and TiO2 > 1 wt.%; Zhang et al. 2005 and Li et al. 2012) is used for whole-rock PGE contents.

<sup>f</sup> Average Emeishan high-Ti picrite composition (n = 6; 21–27 wt.% MgO and TiO2 < wt.%; Li et al. 2012) is used for whole-rock PGE contents.
200–1100 and for the IV-coordinated site ($k_{2\text{oct}}^+$) of 0.9 whereas a $k_{2\text{oct}}^+$ of >6500 is required for the Arc Group Cr-spinels, which suggests that these elements are more compatible in Cr-spinel from arc magmatic systems at similar ranges of magnetite components (Fig. 10).

The variations in the $D$ values for Ru are different from Rh and other IPGEs. Their partition coefficients are higher by a factor of $/C_{24}^{10}$ compared to the $D$ values for Rh, Ir, and Os at Fe$^{3+}$/(Fe$^{3+}$+Cr$^{3+}$) <0.1, but they slightly decrease with increasing inversion parameter at Fe$^{3+}$/(Fe$^{3+}$+Cr$^{3+}$) of >0.1 (Fig. 10d). This trend may result from the multivalence states of Ru in silicate melts. At relatively reducing conditions (i.e. lower inversion parameter), the amount of divalent Ru in silicate melts is high and its partitioning into Cr-spinel is dependent on the magnetite component, whereas under more oxidizing conditions (i.e. higher inversion parameter), the fraction of trivalent Ru increases and its $D$ value will be less affected by magnetite components in the Cr-spinel. Fig. 10d shows two models for $D_{\text{Ru}}$ (Cr-spinel-silicate melt variations for the Intraplate Group Cr-spinels and for experimental results (Brenan et al., 2012) plotted against Fe$^{3+}$/(Fe$^{3+}$+Cr$^{3+}$).
Model 1 and 2 curves represent the trends of D values if the valence state of Ru is 2+ and 3+, respectively. Model 1 is consistent with the increasing trend at Fe\(^{3+}/(\text{Fe}^{3+}+\text{Cr}^{3+}) < 0.1\), whereas Model 2 accounts for the slightly decreasing trend at Fe\(^{3+}/(\text{Fe}^{3+}+\text{Cr}^{3+}) > 0.1\). The compositional variations of the Arc Group Cr-spinels require a high \(k_{\text{Cr}}^{2+}\) of \(~16,000\) at \(k_{\text{tet}}^{2+}\) of 0.9.

At magmatic temperatures (>1000 °C), the MgAl\(_2\)O\(_4\) component of the Cr-spinel can also have divalent octahedral (Mg) sites (Mattioli and Wood, 1988). However, its influence on Rh and IPGE partitioning may not be as dominant as magnetite because there is no correlation between Rh and IPGE contents and Al\(^{3+}/R^{3+}\) (Al\(^{3+}/(\text{Fe}^{3+}+\text{Cr}^{3+}+\text{Al}^{3+})\)) in Cr-spinel (Fig. 1). Rather, the maximum D values for Rh and IPGEs decrease with increasing Al\(^{3+}/R^{3+}\) due to decreasing Fe\(^{3+}/R^{3+}\).

The estimated D values for the Arc Group Cr-spinels are consistently higher than those of the Intraplate Group Cr-spinels at the similar ranges of Fe\(^{3+}/(\text{Fe}^{3+}+\text{Cr}^{3+})\) although the discrepancy becomes smaller at low Fe\(^{3+}/(\text{Fe}^{3+}+\text{Cr}^{3+})\) (Fig. 10), which requires another factor to increase affinity of Rh and IPGE in the Arc Group Cr-spinel in addition to the magnetite components. These trends cannot be attributed to the differences in major element compositions of the Cr-spinel from each group because they have similar ranges of spinel parameters, such as Cr#, Mg# and Fe\(^{3+}/R^{3+}\) (Fig. 1). The TiO\(_2\) contents of the Intraplate Group Cr-spinels are consistently higher than those of Arc Group Cr-spinels (Fig. 1a). However, the effect of ulvöspinel component would be the opposite to what is observed, because an increase in the fraction of the ulvöspinel component should increase the compatibility of divalent Rh and IPGEs in Cr-spinel.

One possible explanation is the temperature dependency of the divalent octahedral site in magnetite. Magnetite is a pure inverse spinel at room temperature, but the amount of ferrous iron in the octahedral site of the magnetite structure decreases with increasing temperature (Willmann et al., 1998). The crystallization temperature of the Arc Group Cr-spinels is ~1200 °C (e.g. Ambae arc picrite; Eggins, 1993), which is generally 100 to 200 °C lower than those of the Intraplate Group Cr-spinels (~1300–1400 °C). Therefore, the Arc Group Cr-spinels have more divalent octahedral sites at the same level of the magnetite component, resulting in higher partition coefficients. This is consistent with the partition coefficients for the Intraplate Group Cr-spinels, which are comparable with those obtained by high-temperature experimental studies (1400 °C and 1900 °C; Brenan et al., 2012).

5.4. Why do arc lavas have highly fractionated PGE patterns?

Several studies of the PGE geochemistry of arc lavas have shown that they are characteristically depleted in IPGEs relative to PPGEs (Woodland et al., 2002; Dale et al., 2012; Park et al., 2013, 2015b). The PM-normalized PGE patterns of arc basalts are compared with intraplate magmas such as the Hawaiian OIB and the Greenland CFB in Fig. 12. Arc basalts are enriched in Pd and significantly depleted in Ru and Ir, having Pd\(_N/Ir_N\) from 100 to 165 whereas the Hawaiian OIB and Greenland CFB are characterized by mildly fractionated patterns with Pd\(_N/Ir_N\) of 5–10 over a similar range of MgO contents. Our results show that these patterns can be explained by differences in the compatibility of Rh and IPGEs in Cr-spinel between arc magmas, OIBs and CFBs. The empirical partition coefficients of Rh and IPGE, with the exception of Ru are less than 91 for the Intraplate Group Cr-spinels (Table 4). Given an olivine/Cr-spinel ratio of 100:1 for most basaltic and picritic magmas (Roeder et al., 2006) and that the bulk D’s for Rh and IPGEs are <1 in OIB and CFB magmas, Cr-spinel fractionation will have little influence.
Fig. 12. Primitive mantle normalized PGE contents in basalts and picrites from various island arcs, Greenland and Hawaii. Primitive mantle values from McDonough and Sun (1995). Lesser Antilles picrite and basalt are an average of four picrites with 13.6–15.3 wt. % MgO and an average of three basalts with 5.8–10.5 wt.% MgO respectively from Woodland et al. (2002). Tonga central basalt is a weighted average of six analyses on two basalt samples with 10 and 14 wt.% MgO (Dale et al., 2012), Pual ridge basalt is an average value of four analyses on two samples with 7–7.8 wt.% MgO (Park et al., 2013). Niuaatoh-Motutahi basalt is an average of three basalts with 7.2–14.3 wt.% MgO (Park et al., 2015b). Greenland picrite and basalt are an average of six picrite samples (14.2–19.1 wt.% MgO; Philipp et al., 2001) and twenty-one basalt samples (7.2–13.0 wt.% MgO; Philipp et al., 2001) respectively. Hawaii picrite and basalt are an average of seven picrites with 14.9–18.0 wt. % MgO and of six basalts from the Mauna Kea and Huualai respectively (Ireland et al., 2009).

on PdN/IrN. This is consistent with the almost identical PdN/IrN of both picrites and basalts in Greenland and Hawaii (Fig. 12a). In contrast, the compatibilities of Rh and IPGEs in Cr-spinel are much higher in arc magmas, particularly under oxidized conditions (Dale et al., 2012). Mantle chromitite is formed by interaction between ascending mantle-derived melts and ambient mantle at the crust-mantle boundary (Zhou et al., 1994; Arai, 1997). It has been suggested that moderately depleted harzburgitic mantle, compared with typical oceanic lithospheric mantle, facilitates Cr-spinel crystallization to form chromitite (Zhou et al., 1994; Arai, 1997). In contrast, reaction between lherzolitic mantle and high-Al MORB melts forms Al-rich chromitite (Arai, 1997). The upper mantle beneath arcs is considered to be mildly depleted and therefore favours Cr-rich chromitite formation, which is consistent with the observations that most of the large chromitite deposits occur in ophiolites produced at subduction zones (Dilek and Furnes, 2011). Furthermore, based on the result of this study, partitioning of Rh and IPGEs to Cr-spinel should be efficient in oxidized sub-arc mantle. This accounts for the relatively high abundances of Rh and IPGEs in Cr-rich chromitite formed in subduction zone compared with MOR-type Al-rich chromitite (Gonzalez-Jimenez et al., 2014 and references therein). However, it should be noted that Cr-spinels from ophiolitic mantle chromitites are depleted in Rh and IPGEs in Cr-spinel (Pagé and Barnes, 2016) and contain IPGE-rich minerals as inclusions (e.g. Page et al., 1984; Prichard et al., 2017). It is still open to debate as to whether these IPGE-rich minerals co-crystallized with Cr-spinels and entrapped as inclusions, whether they formed by exsolution from Cr-spinels or whether they formed by diffusion towards base metal sulfide inclusions, as suggested by Pagé and Barnes (2016) and Barnes et al. (2016), during slow cooling. In any case the retention of Rh and IPGEs at depth is more likely in sub-arc mantle where Cr-rich chromitite forms (Dilek and Furnes, 2011), which can fractionate PPGE from IPGE in primitive arc magmas.
6. CONCLUSIONS

The trace element and PGE contents in Cr-spinel were measured from various magma types by the in situ LA-ICP-MS technique. Systematic differences were observed in trace element and PGE compositions between Cr-spinels from arc, intraplate and MORB magmas. The Arc Group Cr-spinels are enriched in Sc, Mn, and Co and depleted in Ni compared to the MORB Group Cr-spinels. The Intraplate Group Cr-spinels can be distinguished from Arc Group Cr-spinels by their high Ni contents.

Most of the studied Cr-spinels contain tens of ppb Rh and IPGEs in solid solution, except for Cr-spinel from MORB. The Arc Group Cr-spinels show characteristically fractionated PM-normalized PGE patterns with high Rh and low Ir contents. The Intraplate Group Cr-spinels have flat PGE patterns with positive Ru anomalies. The MORB Group Cr-spinels are PGE-poor. The trace element and PGE compositions of Cr-spinels, which reflect the magma compositions and conditions, can be used in conjunction with major element compositions, to constrain tectonic environments.

The enrichment of Rh and IPGEs in Cr-spinel is mainly controlled by the Rh and IPGE contents in silicate melts and the inverse spinel parameter, Fe$^{3+}$/R$^{3+}$ (i.e. oxygen fugacity). The available evidence suggests that the influence of co-crystallizing PGM is negligible, as also shown in Pagé and Barnes (2016). The positive correlation between Rh, Ir, and Os contents and the magnetite component in Cr-spinel confirms the previous suggestion drawn from the experimental study of Brenan et al. (2012). Empirical partition coefficients for Rh and IPGE, calculated in this study increase with the inverse spinel parameter and agree well with the previously reported D values from experimental and empirical studies. However, the Arc Group Cr-spinels have systematically higher D values than those of the Intraplate Group Cr-spinels at a given Fe$^{3+}$/R$^{3+}$. Such discrepancy is partly due to lower temperature of the arc magmas than those of intraplate magmas, which causes increase in divalent octahedral site in Cr-spinel at the same magnetite fraction.

Due to the higher compatibility of Rh and IPGEs in Cr-spinel from arc magmas, compared with those from other tectonic settings, Cr-spinel induded fractionation of the PGEs will be greatest in oxidized arc environments. The highly fractionated PGE patterns of arc primitive magmas can be explained by fractional crystallization of Cr-spinel in the early stage of magma differentiation, although some of the observed fractionation arises from mantle processes such as melting of depleted mantle with residual IPGE alloys and precipitation of chromitite as the ascending magma reacts with depleted harzburgite.
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APPENDIX A. MASS BALANCE CALCULATION AND ESTIMATION OF EMPirical PARTITION COEFFICIENTS D$_{Cr-spinel-silicate melt}$

The mass balance calculation was performed using the method described in Pagé and Barnes (2016). The mass fraction of Cr-spinel in a volcanic rock (%Cr-spinel) was estimated with the following equation:

\[
% \text{Cr-spinel} = \frac{\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3^{\text{WR}}}{\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3^{\text{Cr-spinel}}} \times 100 \times 0.75
\]

where \( \text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3^{\text{WR}} \) = whole-rock \( \text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3 \) content and \( \text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3^{\text{Cr-spinel}} \) = \( \text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3 \) contents in Cr-spinel. This calculation assumes that 75% of Cr from the whole-rock is hosted by Cr-spinel, as suggested by Arguin et al. (2016). The mass fraction of Cr-spinel is used to estimate the proportion of contribution from Cr-spinel in the whole-rock PGE budget expressed as follows:

\[
F^{\text{Cr-spinel}}(\%) = 100 \times \left( \frac{C^{\text{Cr-spinel}}}{C^{\text{WR}} \times \% \text{Cr-spinel}} \right)
\]

where \( F^{\text{Cr-spinel}}(\%) \) = % of contribution from Cr-spinel in the whole-rock for element i, \( C^{\text{Cr-spinel}} = \) Content of element i in Cr-spinel, and \( C^{\text{WR}} \) = Content of element i in the whole-rock. To constrain the empirical partition coefficient, we estimated the PGE contents in Cr-spinel melts with the following equation:

\[
C_{i}^{\text{melt}} = \text{Content of element } i \text{ in silicate-melt} = \left( \frac{C^{\text{WR}}}{100 - \% \text{Cr-spinel}} \times \frac{C^{\text{Cr-spinel}} \times \% \text{Cr-spinel}}{100 - \% \text{Cr-spinel}} \right)
\]

APPENDIX B. SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.05.039.
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