

**SUBMISSION TO INQUIRY INTO THE *ASIO LEGISLATION AMENDMENT*  
(*TERRORISM*) BILL 2002 (CTH)**

**Senate Legal and Constitutional References Committee**

**Dr Greg Carne**

**Faculty of Law  
University of Tasmania**

**INDEX**

<b>A. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
 <b>B. INCOMMUNICADO DETENTION UNDER THE BILL: BREACHES OF INTERNATIONAL CIVIL AND POLITICAL RIGHTS</b>	 <b>2</b>
Incommunicado detention: an overview of the bill	2
Incommunicado detention and other issues: breaches of the <i>International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights</i>	2
 <b>C. CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE BILL</b>	 <b>6</b>
Relevant constitutional powers: Purposive or purposive aspect, with the application of a proportionality test	6
Chapter III <i>Commonwealth Constitution</i> immunity on constitutional power	6
The argument as to Chapter III constitutional invalidity	8
Referral of power from the states under s.51 (xxxvii) <i>Commonwealth Constitution</i>	9
 <b>D. DEVELOPING AN ALTERNATIVE MODEL</b>	 <b>10</b>
Alternatives to incommunicado detention	10
Recent overseas legislation: obtaining information from persons not suspected of terrorism offences	10
Canadian legislation: <i>Anti-terrorism Act 2001</i> (Canada)	10
A suggested Australian model based on the Canadian legislation	11

<b>United Kingdom legislation: <i>Terrorism Act 2000</i> (UK), as amended by the <i>Anti-Terrorism, Crime and Security Act 2001</i> (UK)</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>A suggested Australian model based on the United Kingdom legislation</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>E. FURTHER ADVERSE IMPLICATIONS FOR CIVIL AND POLITICAL RIGHTS IN THE AMENDED BILL</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>New and persistent problems with the amended bill</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Approved lawyers: 34A and 34AA</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Issuing authorities: 34A and 34AB</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Prescribed authorities: 34 A and 34B: use of untenured AAT members</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Adopting acts in relation to a written statement of procedures to be followed in exercise of authority under warrants: 34C (3)(ba) and 34C (3A)</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Ability to contact approved lawyer: 34C (3B) and (3C)</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Concept of “contact” with an approved lawyer when in custody or detention: no specificity of right to presence during interrogation: 34C (3B) and (3C) and 34U</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Non-custodial warrant for questioning: 34D (2)(a): access to legal adviser and/or approved lawyer</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Exercise of right to seek remedy before federal court relating to warrant or treatment of person in connection with the warrant: 34E (3)</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Prohibition on contact and prevention from contact by detainee of anyone at any time whilst in custody or detention: 34F(8)</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Retention of evidential burdens: 34G</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Presence of Inspector General of Intelligence and Security during interrogation: 34HA</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Humane treatment of persons specified in the warrant: 34J</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Rules for persons who are 14 but under 18: “likely that the person will commit, is committing or has committed a terrorism offence”: 34 NA (4)(a)</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Offences of contravening safeguards: 34NB requirement of knowledge, but not recklessness or negligence</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Offences of contravening safeguards: identity of alleged offenders: 34NB</b>	<b>19</b>

<b>Status of prescribed authority and immunity in performance of duties: 34SA</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Involvement of lawyers: monitoring of contact: 34U (2)</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Involvement of lawyers: prohibitions on intervening in questioning, addressing the prescribed authority: 34U (4)</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>APPENDICES:</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>1. United Nations Human Rights Committee <i>International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights</i> General Comment 20 on Art 7, General Comment 21 on Art 10, General Comment 8 on Art 9</b>	
<b>2. Relevant sections of <i>Anti-Terrorism Act 2001</i> (Canada)</b>	
<b>3. Relevant sections of <i>Terrorism Act 2000</i> (UK)</b>	
<b>4. “An unnecessary loss of rights” <i>Canberra Times</i> 17 June 2002, 11.</b>	